

# INFLUENCE OF EFFICIENCY AND CAPITAL ADEQUACY ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE'S REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT BANKS IN INDONESIA

Sparta  
STIE-Indonesia Banking School  
Email: sparta1609@yahoo.com

## Abstract

This research study analyzes the efficiency in Indonesian BPD bank and to what extent the efficiency, capital adequacy, bank size and macro economic indicators influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012.

This study uses the variables ROA, CAR, LNSIZE, GDP, GCRED and INF. The models are estimated using Ordinary Least Square and panel data obtained from Indonesian BPD bank. There are 26 Indonesian BPD banks contain 130 observations.

The average BOPO in Indonesian BPD bank for the period of five years is 72.45%. BPD bank Aceh is the most inefficient bank which has BOPO 92.98% and South Sulawesi BPD is the most efficient bank which has BOPO 54.03%. The most inefficient BPD for each year 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 respectively are BDKI, BSTR, BACH, BSUA and BSSN. The most efficient BPD bank for 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 respectively are BSST, BSTA, BKTM, BSTA and BSTA. None of Indonesian BPD bank in Java could be awarded the most efficient bank although they have total assets greater than BPD bank in outside Java.

BOPO, CAR, and LNSIZE have negatively significant influence on Indonesian BPD bank financial performance. Regional economic indicators, GCREDR has negatively significant influences on financial performance BPD bank but INFR has positively significant influences on financial performance and GPDRBT regional economic indicator does not appear to have significant influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank.

**Keywords: BOPO and CAR**

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the post-crisis period (after 2002), bank condition began to recover gradually. It can be seen from current performance of national banks began to improve compared with before the crisis period (Bank Indonesia, 2008). Better performance of national banks indicate that banks can recover from crisis performance. Improving financial performance can be done from two sides. One side, it can be done by operating income and another side by operating costs of bank. Efficiency indicator of bank operating expenses is ratio of operating expenses divided by operating income or shortened by BOPO (Endri, 2008).

Statistical data on bank performance which is issued by Bank Indonesia in 2011 (Table 1) shows that ROA national banks increase from 2001 to 2011. Although ROA performance has increased, but BOPO performance is not optimal. Indonesian banking efficiency level is lower than banks in another countries. BOPO ratio in Indonesia is always above 84% except for 2004. BOPO ratio in Malaysia, Singapore, and Thailand are under 70% (Bank Indonesia, 2011), BOPO figure in Indonesia is still relatively high.

Research banking and financial performance which use efficiency frontier method is investigated by Bonin et.al (2003). He measures bank performance by ROA and ROE and bank efficiency by SFA. He find that banks which have high ROA have positively significant relationship with the level of efficiency. Nigmonov's (2010) measures bank performance by ratio revenue to the bank assets. Micco, Panizza and Yales (2005), and Malgahaes (2010) use ROA as a performance of bank variable. Fiorentino, Karman and Kotter (2006) also use ROA as a standard measurement of bank performance and relate it with the performance of bank efficiency using DEA and SFA. Mirawati (2007) uses financial ratios ROA associated with bank performance using DEA. Alfarizi (2010) measures bank financial performance by ROE. Most of these studies show significant relationship between bank efficiency and bank performance.

**Table 1. Commercial Bank Performance in Indonesia for the Period 2001 – 2011**

Indicator	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Asset (trillion rupiah)	1.099,7	1.117,2	1.213,5	1.272,1	1.469,8	1.693,9	1.986,5	2.310,6	2.534,1	3.008,9	3.652,
TPF (trillion rupiah)	797,4	835,8	888,6	963,1	1.127,9	1.287,1	1.510,8	1.753,3	1.973,0	2.338,8	2.784,
Credit (trillion rupiah)	316,1	371,1	440,5	559,5	695,6	792,3	1.002,0	1.307,7	1.437,9	1.765,8	2.199,
CAR (%)	19,9	22,4	19,4	19,4	19,3	21,3	19,3	16,8	17,4	17,2	16,1
Gross NPL (%)	12,2	7,5	6,8	4,5	7,6	6,1	4,1	3,2	3,3	2,6	2,2
ROA (%)	1,5	2,0	2,6	3,5	2,6	2,6	2,8	2,3	2,6	2,9	3,0
NIM (%)	3,6	4,1	4,6	6,4	5,6	5,8	5,7	5,7	5,6	5,7	5,9
BOPO (%)	98,4	94,8	88,1	76,6	89,5	87,0	84,1	88,6	86,6	86,1	85,4
LDR (%)	39,6	44,4	49,6	58,1	61,7	61,7	66,3	74,6	72,9	75,5	79,0
Sum	145,0	141,0	138,0	134,0	131,0	131,0	130,0	124,0	121,0	122,0	120,0

Source: Bank Indonesia

How to measure the efficiency of bank performance uses traditional efficiency measurement? The study Sudiatno and Suroso (2010) uses BOPO traditional efficiency measures. BOPO is linked to ROA as an indicator of bank financial performance. They find that BOPO has negatively significant effect on bank financial performance. Research Sudiatno and Setyowati (2012), Rusdiana (2012), Sari (2011), and Amalia (2010) with a different year find the same results.

Bank Indonesia Regulation No. 10/15 / PBI / 2008 at 24 September 2008 about Liability Provision of Capital Adequacy of Commercial Banks in article 2, paragraph 1 states "Banks are required to provide a minimum capital of 8% (eight percent) of risk adjusted-weighted assets ". (<http://www.bi.go.id>). That provision has motivate the bank owner to add bank capital with the result that bank can expand the operation and increase the confidence of depositors.

Sufficient bank capital which could cover asset risk can probably improve bank performance (Rose, 2002). It can increase level of confidence of depositors to entrust their funds even though the interest rate is lower at third party funds (TPF). In terms of assets, high levels of capital adequacy will provide asset diversification and expansion opportunities that will improve the ability of bank to make profit or to increase bank financial performance. (Rose, 2002). Bank capital adequacy can affect the performance of the bank (Mester, 1996; Pastor et.al, 1997; Carbo et.al, 1999; and Girardone, Molyenux and Gardener, 2003). Capital adequacy research (CAR), also has positive influence on bank performance in Indonesia (Sudiyatno and Soroso (2010) and Sari (2011)). But Sudiyatno and Setyowati (2012), Amalia (2010) and Rusdini (2012) find that CAR does not significantly affect bank performance.

Chen (2001) examines the efficiency performance of banks in the United States. He uses macroeconomic variables GDP as an independent variable to investigate to what extent its influence on bank performance in that country. He find that macroeconomic conditions affect the performance of small banks, but not in large banks, because large banks have more diversified portfolios than small banks.

Regional Development Bank (BPD) is a bank which majority owner is local government. Currently a lot of BPD has been operating in other provinces. For examples West Sumatera BPD, Java BPD, North Sumatera BPD, West Java and Banten etc. Certainly, expansion of the BPD operational area give impact to capital adequacy, efficiency and performance of BPD itself. This study, is going to investigate to what extent the efficiency and capital adequacy influence bank financial performance for periode from 2008 until 2012.

Efficiency is measured by BOPO. Capital adequacy is measured by CAR and financial performance is measured by ROA. Macro variables such as Gross Domestic Product, inflation regional, regional credit growth use as control variables. Other control variable is the size of LN of bank total assets.

Basic issues in this study are 1). How is the efficiency level in the Indonesian BPD Bank for the period 2008-2012? 2). To what extent efficiency and capital adequacy influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008 – 2012? 3). To what extent macroeconomic indicators of previous year GDP growth, credit growth and inflation influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012?. 4). To what extent size influences on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012?.

The purpose of this study are 1). To investigate the efficiency level in the Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008 - 2012 2). To determine to what extent efficiency, adequacy of capital influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012 3). To determine to what extent macroeconomic indicators influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012 . And 4). To determine to what extent size influences on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008-2012.

The results of this study are expected to be useful: 1). For academics, the results of this study as the one of empirical evidence that can be used in developing specialized knowledge about efficiency, capital adequacy, bank size, macro indicators GDP, credit growth and inflation and its influence on financial performance in Indonesian BPD bank. 2). For the Financial Services Authority (OJK), the results can be used as a basis for evaluation and making policies about efficiency and capital adequacy requirements and operational control in Indonesian BPD bank. 3). For existing practitioners in the BPD, it can be used as a basis for estimating bank financial performance.

## 2. LITERATUR REVIEW

### **Banking Performance**

Performance of financial institutions must be evaluated by separating each unit of production and use better standard perform (Berger and Humphrey, 1997). This is done by applying a non-parametric frontier analysis or parametric frontier analysis in the financial industry.

Banking performance assessment indicators in Indonesia based on the ratios of Capital Adequacy Ratio, Gross Non-Performing Loans, Return on Assets, Net Interest Margin, ROA, and Loan Deposit Ratio (Bank Indonesia Annual Report, 2011).

Bank financial performance in this study uses return on assets (ROA) variable. ROA describes yield that can be given to the corporate funders (Subramanyan, 2010). Another ratio is Return On Equity (ROE). ROE is not used in this study. ROE describes yield from the shareholder perspective.

This study investigates the relationship between bank efficiency and bank financial performance. The results of previous studies show the strong relationship between financial performance and efficiency ratio (Mirnawati, 2007).

### **Banking efficiency**

Bank efficiency is measured by the difference between costs incurred and minimum cost that should be issued by bank to produce the same output (Mardanugraha, 2005). Assessment of bank efficiency is also measured by the cost incurred at bank compared with the cost incurred based on bank best practice. Bank efficiency means the ratio between the cost of the minimum produce a specific output and the actual costs incurred bank (Hartono, 2009). Minimum cost is obtained from the estimated minimum cost of banking functions. Bank efficiency can be divided into two (Farel, 1957 in Fiorentino, Karman and Kotter, 2006), namely technical efficiency and allocative efficiency.

There are two approaches to measure bank efficiency (Hartono, 2009): 1). Traditional Approach, using the Ratio Index Number or BOPO. 2). Frontier Approach, based optimal company behavior in order to maximize output or minimize costs, as a way to achieve economic unit's goal.

Frontier approach provides two approaches: 1). Deterministic Approach: often classified as a Non-Parametric Approach, this approach uses Technical Mathematic Programming, or popular known as Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA). 2). Stochastic Approach: This approach is classified as a parametric approach, using Econometric Frontier. This study uses traditional approach to measure efficiency. Efficiency is measured by BOPO.

**Bank Efficiency and Financial Performance**

The relationship of financial performance and efficiency can be explained from the formulation ROA (Subramanyam, 2010; White, Sondhi and Fried, 2003; Robinson, Munter & Grant, 2004 and Fraser & Ormiston, 2007):

$$ROA = \frac{NIAT}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

Formulation ROA can be decomposed as follows:

$$ROA = \frac{NIAT}{Sales} \times \frac{Sales}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

The more efficient company operation and higher utilization of the company asset, the higher company ability to provide returns to the funder. Thus there is relationship between operating efficiency and asset utilization (using financial ratios NPM and Turn Over Assets) with ROA and ROE (Subramanyam, 2010). The variables ROA, ROE, NPM and turn over assets are financial ratios that are used to measure corporate financial performance.

ROA formula (2) further elaborate to illustrate the performance of bank entity, it can be decomposed as follows:

$$ROA = \frac{NII}{PO - O} \times \frac{PO - PNO}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (3)$$

$$O - O \quad O - O \quad O - T \quad \dots\dots (4)$$

Where ROA is bank return on assets, NII is bank net interest income, PO is operating income, BO is operating expense and PNO is non-operating income. BOH is bank overhead expense and tax is a tax.

Formula 4 is inserted into equation 3, then can be as follows:

$$ROA = \frac{PO - O - PNO - NO - O - Ta}{PO - PNO} \times \frac{PO - PNO}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots(5)$$

Suppose the impact of changes in the level of bank efficiency (EFF) on O and O are symbolized by  $\beta_{ef}$  and  $\beta_{ef}$ , then

$$\beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta PO}{\% \Delta} ; \text{ and } \beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta PNO}{\% \Delta}$$

Assume that  $\beta_{ef}, \beta_{ef} > 0$ , mean that an increase in the level of bank efficiency will increase bank operating income and bank non-operating income. The more efficient bank, the more operating income because bank is able to reduce operating costs with the result that operating income increase. It's because the bank can sell fund is lower than the other bank. Thus, the value of PO after a change in the level of bank efficiency is  $1 + \beta_{ef}$ , and the value of PNO after a change in the level of bank efficiency is  $1 + \beta_{ef}$ .

The impact of a change in the level of bank efficiency (EFF) of the BO, BNO, BOH and Tax can be expressed as follows:

$$\beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta O}{\% \Delta} ; \beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta NO}{\% \Delta} ; \beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta O}{\% \Delta} ; \text{ and } \beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta Ta}{\% \Delta}$$

Assumed value  $\beta_{ef}, \beta_{ef} > 0$  mean an increase in the bank efficiency will make bank charges decrease. Thus, the value of BO, BNO, BOH and Tax after change in efficiency are equal to  $1 - \beta_{ef}$ ,  $1 - \beta_{ef}$ ,  $1 - \beta_{ef}$  and  $1 - \beta_{ef}$ .

Increasing efficiency impacts on increasing bank revenue, decreasing expense and will impact on increasing the value of bank assets. Thus, bank efficiency impacts on increasing bank asset positively. The impact of change in bank efficiency level on total assets can be expressed as follows:

$$\beta_{ef} = \frac{\% \Delta T - t - l}{\% \Delta} et$$

The value of bank assets after change of bank efficiency will form equal to  $(1 + ef)$ . This means that the higher the level of efficiency of the bank changes, the higher total value of its assets.

The impact of change efficiency on ROA can be expressed by including the impact of change in the efficiency of each component to the equation 5, with result that it becomes as follows:

$$\frac{\Delta OA}{\Delta PO} = \frac{PO}{PNO} \times \frac{PNO}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (6)$$

Suppose assume  $ef$ , then the impact on ROA efficiency can be obtained as follows

$$\frac{\Delta OA_t}{\Delta} = \frac{PO_t}{PNO_t} \times \frac{PNO_t}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (7)$$

then,

$$\frac{\Delta OA_t}{\Delta} = \frac{PO_t}{PNO_t} \times \frac{PNO_t}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (8)$$

so:

$$\frac{\Delta OA_t}{\Delta} = \frac{BO_t}{PNO_t} \times \frac{PNO_t}{Average TA} \dots\dots\dots (9)$$

When EFF level increase cause  $ef > 1$ , then increase the EFF will cause ROA decrease. However, when increase in EFF cause  $ef < 1$ , then EFF will causes ROA increase. Thus the efficiency impact on ROA could be positive and negative, it depends on the extent of the impact of efficiency change to change in revenue and expense components. When the impact of this change is under one, then increases efficiency causes ROA decrease, but when this change above one, then increases in EFF will causes ROA increase. It is assumed that  $ef > 1$  that every 1% increase in efficiency levels cause rising income and expense components fell more than 1%. Thus, the efficiency level of relationship with ROA is positive.

Bank financial performance research that use financial ratios and efficiency by frontier method is investigated by Bonin, Hasa, and Wachtel (2003). Bonin et.all use bank performance ratio by ROA and ROE and relate it to the bank efficiency using SFA. The results are banks with high ROA have positively significant relationship with the efficiency level using SFA. Nigmonov research (2010) uses performance indicator ratio revenue to the bank assets. Micco, Panizza and Valess (2001) and Malgahaes et.all (2010) use ROA as a bank performance variable. Mirnawati (2007) uses ROA ratio associate with bank performance using DEA. Alfarizi (2010) use the bank financial performance by ROE to see the connection with the bank efficiency in Indonesia. Sudiatno and Suroso (2010), Sudiatno and Setyowati (2012), Rusdini (2012) and Amalia (2010) use ROA variable as an indicator of the bank financial performance associate with traditional efficiency BOPO. The results are BOPO have negatively significant influence on the bank financial performance (ROA). Research Fiorentino, Karman and Kotter (2006) also use a variable ROA as a standard measurement of bank performance and link it with the performance of bank efficiency using DEA and SFA. According to these studies indicate that there is relationship between ROA and efficiency which use either the traditional method or the frontier, but few studies indicate no connection between ROA and efficiency.

**Capital Adequacy and Bank Financial Performance**

Bank capital requirements in the Basel Accord 1 in 1988, have increased bank capital in Europe (Fiordelisi et al, 2010). Capital requirement issued by the Bank of International Settlement (BIS) is also adopted by Bank Indonesia in regulating bank capital in Indonesia. The amount of bank capital required at least 8% of total risk adjusted weighted assets.

Bank Indonesia Regulation No. 10/15 / PBI / 2008 at 24 September 2008 on Liability Provision of Capital Adequacy of Commercial Banks, states that "Banks are required to provide a minimum capital of 8% (eight percent) of risk-weighted assets (RWA)". Further, the Bank is required to

provide a core capital of at least 5% (five percent) of the RWA, both individually and on a consolidated basis with its subsidiaries "<http://www.bi.go.id>).

Increasing bank capital adequacy will improve bank performance. This is due to increasing in the level of confidence of depositors to entrust their funds even though the interest rate is lower in third party funds. In terms of assets, high levels of capital adequacy will provide an opportunity for bank to diversify its asset and to do expansion in order to improve the ability of bank profitability (Rose, 2002).

Bowmen Berger (2009) investigate the level of bank capital for small, medium and large banks. He finds that small banks can survive in the current market crisis and the banking crisis that occurred in the world especially in the United States. The level of capital in medium and large banks can only survive when the banking crisis occurs. It indicate that there is relationship between bank capital and bank performance. The results of the research in Indonesia by Sudiyatno and Soroso (2010) show that the capital adequacy has a positively significant relationship to the bank performance. While the opposite results are conducted by Sudiyatno and Setyowati (2012), Rusdini (2012) and Amalia (2010) show that CAR do not significantly affect the bank performance.

#### **Size and Financial Performance Bank**

In this study the size of the bank is measured by the bank total assets. In equation  $ROA = \frac{NIAT}{Sales} \times \frac{Sales}{Average TA}$  has shown that total assets negatively relate to ROA or the company performance. It's assume that NIAT and sales unchanged when asset increase (Subramanyan, 2010). But in reality increasing in the value of assets is often followed by increasing NIAT and sales and thus increasing the total asset can increase ROA.

#### **Macro Economic Indicators and Financial Performance Bank**

Chen (2001) investigate the relationship between bank performance and macroeconomic in the United States. Macro variables that he use are changes in GDP, changes in unemployment rates, changes in key economic indicators (M2 money supply) and the difference between the interest rate treasury bonds with a term of 10 years and the interest rate the central bank. Findings show the majority of economic indicators have influence on the bank performance.

Study conduct by Beck and Hesse (2006) about the bank performance in Uganda in 1999-2005 uses independent variables of macroeconomic as GDP growth, real T-Bill rate, inflation and exchange rate. Bank performance uses indicators of changes in spreads and bank margins. Changes in macroeconomic conditions have a low explanatory power in explaining the changes in spreads and margins and not significant.

Macroeconomic indicators of GDP may affect bank performance. Ianotta et.all (2006) find that GDP significantly positive affects the bank performance in 15 European countries. Banking performance is influenced by GDP, bank profits and bank earnings. The higher the GDP of a country, the higher the performance of banks in that country. According to Ross (2005), Current year GDP impact on bank performance in the following year.

Inflation affects interest rates. In the nominal interest rate on bank loans include inflation (Rose, 2002). The higher inflation rate the lower bank financial performance, this is caused by the increase in operating expenses and the cost of funds of banks. However, when increasing inflation can increase credit income is greater than the increase in interest expense and bank operations, the impact of increasing inflation is positive on bank performance.

Credit growth will improve bank performance (Ross, 2002). Bank credit growth will increase bank interest income when the loan growth is not accompanied by a significant increase in bad debts. Thus the impact of credit growth on bank performance can be positive or negative.

Based on the study of theory, hypothesis propose in this research problems are 1). Ha1: Bank efficiency is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance. 2). Ha2: Capital adequacy is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance. 3). Ha3: Size is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance. 4) Ha4: The growth of regional domestic product in one year is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance in the following year. 5). HA5: Regional Banking Loan Growth is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance. 6). HA6: Regional Inflation is estimated influence BPD bank financial performance.

### 3. RESEARCH METODOLOGY

This study is conducted to obtain data and information about bank efficiency, capital adequacy, bank size and PSDRB macroeconomic variables, inflation, and the growth of credit on financial performance in Indonesian Regional Development (BPD) Bank. Data collection technique uses secondary data and financial statements Indonesian BPD Bank reports. In this study, Dependent variable is bank performance (ROA) and independent variables are bank efficiency (BOPO) and capital adequacy ratio (CAR). Control variables are LNSIZE and GDP, GCRED, INF. Definition and operational research variables see Table 2.

**Table 2. Definition and Operational of Research Variable**

Variab le	Definition	indicator	measu re	Scal a
BOPO	Operating expense to operating income.	Operating expense/Operating income	Ratio	Rati o
CAR	Capital Adequate ratio	Total Modal / Risk-weight asset	Ratio	Rati o
GPDR B	Growth Product Domestic Regional Bruto	$DR - DR / DR$	Ratio	Rasi o
LNSIZ E	Total asset	Ln of asset	Ratio	Rati o
GCRE D	Growth of regional credit	$R - R / R$	Ratio	Rati o
INF	Regional inflation level	Years	Ratio	Rati o
ROA	Return on Asset bank	$e_i c fte t : /t t$	Ratio	Rati o

The population is the banking industry. The banking industry is made up of three groups: Commercial Bank, Islamic Bank and Rural Bank. The population of the three groups, Industrial Commercial Bank is selected population, while the Islamic Bank and BPR are not included in this study. Of the population of commercial banks, regional development banks (BPD) is selected group.

The samples are all Indonesian BPD bank for the period 2008 - 2012. There are 33 provinces throughout Indonesia. Of the 33 province, the number of BPD is 26 banks throughout Indonesia, This is because 7 provinces join existing between BPD. In general, provinces that do not have BPD itself is a new emerging provinces since the reform. The number of observations of 26 BPD for the period 2008 to 2012 are 130 observations.

Source of data is secondary data, which is taken from the annual financial statements for 2008-2012. Other data source is taken from Indonesian Banking Directory (Indonesian Banking Statistics) for 2008-2012 Other sources namely Economic Statistics of BPS. GDP data is taken annually, so GDP impact on the performance is also measured annually.

Data analysis use Ordinary Least Square method with panel data. Panel data analysis is used because the data use a sequence of 2008 up to 2012 and cross section data for all BPD in Indonesia.

In panel data, need to be tested whether the panel data regression models use the fixed effect model (FEM) or random effect model (REM). It required Housman test on the panel data. This test uses Ho: REM model and H1: FEM model. When P-value test indicate above Housment alpha 1% or 5% or 10% then Ho is accepted means that panel data is appropriate to use the model of REM (Gujarati, 2003). Residual normality test, multicollinearity test, autocorrelation test and heteroskedasitas test are conducted on panel data regression to obtain regression models are BLUE. Multiple linear regression equation use in this research as follows:

$$ROA_t = \alpha_0 + \beta_1 BOPO_t + \beta_2 A_t + \beta_3 NI_t + \beta_4 P_t + \beta_5 INF_t + \epsilon_t$$

### 4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Descriptive statistical overview of research can be seen in Table 3. Average, maximum and minimum of BOPO in year can be seen in Table 4.

**Table 3 Descriptive Statistic**

	ROA?	BOPO ?	CAR?	TASSE T?	LNSIZ E?	GPDR B?	GPDR BT?	GCRE DR?	INFR?	RESID ?
Mean	0.0352	0.72459	0.185739	9828398	15.67283	0.059707	0.05888	0.282866	0.055935	9.34E-17
Median	0.033	0.73275	0.18515	6497967	15.68697	0.06065	0.0599	0.272745	0.05	0.000124
Maximum	0.0611	0.9298	0.2773	66993997	18.02011	0.1081	0.1081	0.562912	0.1049	0.018289
Minimum	0.0127	0.5403	0.106	800127	13.59253	0.0108	0.0223	-0.06347	0.0006	-0.01745
Std. Dev.	0.010408	0.077427	0.041595	9974584	0.951477	0.017571	0.016115	0.102652	0.024354	0.00624
Jarque-Bera	3.506662	1.050673	5.172479	646.145	3.262789	2.693685	3.890042	3.990963	5.20322	3.024803
Probability	0.173196	0.591356	0.075303	0	0.195656	0.26006	0.142984	0.135948	0.074154	0.22038
Sum	4.576001	94.19673	24.14606	1.28E+09	2037.468	7.76192	7.65443	36.77258	7.271523	1.21E-14
Sum Sq. Dev.	0.013974	0.773348	0.223185	1.28E+16	116.7847	0.039827	0.033501	1.359334	0.076515	0.005022
observations	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130	130
Cross sections	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	26

Source: Modified by Statistics Software

**Table 4 Average Annual ROA, Max and Min BOPO period 2008-2012**

BANK BPD	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
_BDKI	<b>0.8971</b>	0.8846	0.8302	0.7974	0.8143
_BSTA	0.7949	<b>0.5555</b>	0.6475	<b>0.5445</b>	<b>0.5956</b>
_BKTM	0.5534	0.6369	<b>0.5529</b>	0.6386	0.6819
_BSSN	0.8181	0.7809	0.8081	0.8064	<b>0.8228</b>
_BSTR	0.7402	<b>0.8984</b>	0.6865	0.7599	0.7776
_BACH	0.7057	0.7139	<b>0.9298</b>	0.7736	0.7151
_BSUA	0.8198	0.6262	0.8509	<b>0.8496</b>	0.7745
_BSST	<b>0.5403</b>	0.5709	0.66	0.72	0.7166
Average	<b>0.2258</b>	<b>0.2180</b>	<b>0.2295</b>	<b>0.2265</b>	<b>0.2269</b>
max	<b>0.8971</b>	<b>0.8984</b>	<b>0.9298</b>	<b>0.8496</b>	<b>0.8228</b>
Min	<b>0.5403</b>	<b>0.5555</b>	<b>0.5529</b>	<b>0.5445</b>	<b>0.5956</b>
Sum BPD	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>

The most inefficient bank is bank with the highest scores BOPO for the year observations. Table 4 indicate that BDKI is the least efficient bank in 2008 and then BSTR least efficient bank in



2009, BACH in 2010, BSUA in 2011 and BSSN in 2012. Of the five most inefficient BPD, the least inefficient BPD during the observation period is BACH banks in 2010.

The most efficient bank every year is bank which has the lowest BOPO scores. In Table 4 shows that there is BPD bank which received title the most efficient bank for three years. The bank is BSTA in 2009, 2011 and 2012, while the other two banks BPD received title of the most efficient bank namely BSST in 2008 and in 2010 is BKTM.

The most interesting part of the results are none of BPD bank in Java that notebene has total assets greater than BPD bank outside of Java can be given awarded the most efficient bank. It indicate that BPD bank which has great asset does not guarantee getting more efficient than the BPD bank which has low asset.

### Results of Regression Equation and Hipotesis Test

Hausman test result (Table 5) shows that the model used by the panel data approach is the random effect model.

**Table 5. Housman test**

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test			
Pool: APOOL			
Test cross-section random effects			
Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	2.358054	6	0.8840

The results of the regression equation using statistical software can be seen in table 6. Regression equation of observation can be written as follows:

$$OA_t = 0.50 - 0.0005 BOPO_t - 0.005 A_t + 0.005 N_t + 0.005 I_t$$

**Table 6. Results of Panel Data Regression (Random Effect Model)**

Variable	Coefficien t	t-Statistic	Prob.	Sign
C	0.154080	7.981957	0.0000	*)
BOPO?	-0.100035	-12.19411	0.0000	*)
CAR?	-0.038844	-2.016860	0.0459	**)
LNSIZE?	-0.002358	-2.383486	0.0187	**)
GPDRBT?	-0.015448	-0.381453	0.7035	No sign
GCREDR?	-0.014209	-2.706702	0.0078	*)
INFR?	0.048321	2.211524	0.0288	**)
R-squared	0.602398	Mean dependent var	0.017376	
Adjusted R-squared	0.583003	S.D. dependent var	0.007956	
S.E. of regression	0.005138	Sum squared resid	0.003247	
F-statistic	31.05916	Durbin-Watson stat	1.947914	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

\*) sign at 1% alpha, \*\*) sign at 5% alpha

Source: Modified by software statistic

Residual normality is tested by Jarque-fallow test. The result is normal (table 3). Multicollinearity test results show that correlation among independent variable is -0.4285, thus no symptoms of multicollinearity on the regression results above. Durbin-Watson test results (see Table 6) show that the DW statistic is 1.947914. DW statistic value is between the value of DU and below

the 4-DU. Value DU and 4-Du with  $k = 6$  and 130 samples were 1,836 and 2,164. Thus there is no symptom of autocorrelation on the regression equation above.

Heteroscedasticity is tested by Breusch-Pagan Godfrey. The results show that there is no regression equation heteroscedasticity symptoms (Table 7). This means that the regression equation residuals are not correlated with independent variable regression equation.

**Table 7. Heteroscedasticity Test**

Heteroskedasticity Test: Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey			
F-statistic	1.694975	Prob. F(6,123)	0.1277
		<b>Prob.</b>	<b>Chi-</b>
Obs*R-squared	9.927775	<b>Square(6)</b>	<b>0.1277</b>
Scaled explained SS	12.43126	Prob. Chi-Square(6)	0.0530

Source: Modified by Software Statistic

According to the table 6 above, then 1). Hypothesis Ha1, bank efficiency effects on BPD bank financial performance, is acceptable. 2). Hypothesis Ha2, bank capital adequacy affects on BPD bank financial performance, is acceptable. 3). Ha3, bank size affects on BPD bank financial performance, is acceptable. 4). HA4, GDP growth rate affects on BPD financial performance, is not acceptable. 5). HA5, GDP growth rate affects significantly negative on BPD bank financial performance, is acceptable. 6). HA6, regional inflation rate affects on BPD bank financial performance, is acceptable

**Analysis of Efficiency Effect on BPD Bank Financial Performance**

The results of the regression and hypothesis test above indicate that BPD bank inefficiencies can negatively affect on BPD bank performance. This result consistent with studies Sudiyatno, Bambang and Suroso (2010), Sudiyatno, Bambang and Setiyowati (2012), Rusdiana (2012), Amalia, Holy (2010), Sari and Koesoema (2011). All of these studies show the negative effect of BOPO on financial performance (ROA). Thus the results of this study reinforce the findings of previous research results.

**Analysis of Capital Adequacy on BPD Bank Financial Performance**

Capital adequacy BPD bank negatively affects on BPD Bank financial performance. This result indicate that high capital adequacy due to two things: high bank capital or high bank risk-weighted asset. Banks tend to make investments and lending at high risk for high yields. Bank policy tends to take risks so that RWA bank will be higher and the capital adequacy will be lower, but it can increase bank performance. Bank that reduces to take risk make RWA bank will be lower. Lowering RWA lead to increase capital adequacy so that the benefits also decrease and finally led to decrease bank performance. The results consistent with studies Sudiyatno, Bambang and Suroso (2010), Sari and Koesoema (2011), showing significant negative effect on the bank capital adequacy. The results study Berge, Allen N and Bouwman (2009) indicate negative effect of bank capital on performance of large and medium-sized banks for the financial crisis. The results of this study do not support the research Sudiyatno, Bambang and Setiyowati (2012), Rusdiana (2012), Amalia, Lala and the Sacred (2010) and the theories mentioned by Rose (2002) that high level of capital adequacy will provide asset diversification opportunity for bank expansion and can improve bank ability to increase its profitability or financial performance.

**Analysis of Control Variables on BPD Bank Financial Performance**

Empirical results of this study indicate that the higher the size of the BPD bank has lowered the bank performance. For the observation period 2008 to 2012, show that BPD which has high assets have lower financial performance BPD bank which has low assets. Empirical results are consistent with the result of previous studies conducted by Bonin, Hasa and Wachtel (2003) and the theory mentioned by Subramayan (2010).

These results indicate that of the three regional economic indicators, only GDP growth shows that the result of the GDP growth previous year does not significantly affect the financial

performance of banks BPD in the following years. While bank credit growth indicator of regional and regional inflation rates significantly affect bank performance BPD.

These results are not consistent with the results of research conducted by Bonin, Hasa, and Wachte (2003) and Ionatta et.al (2006) who find that significant positive GDP growth affect bank performance. This result is also not consistent with the theory mentioned by Ross (2005). The results of this study are not consistent with previous studies. This is because economic growth used by this study is a regional (provincial) while previous research is conducted using the national economic growth State. Second, BPD in their regional lending and absorption of public funds are still inferior compare with national banks that have higher assets and wider branch network. Third, the quality of human resources BPD bank is expected lower than quality of human resources of national banks. Fourth, The level of efficiency of national banks which have branches in every province alleg higher than the BPD. With this condition, the increase in economic growth in the province can not affect the BPD Bank performance because they are not able to compete with national banks. BPD rely more on lending to local corporations mainly owned by the local government. The source of funds BPD bank depend on pocket-project PAD and local government projects.

Regional credit growth (all banks in the local area) significantly negative affects on BPD Bank financial performance. These result is not congruence with the theory expressed by Rose (2005) who states that the increase in credit growth can improve the company financial performance.

From the observational data shows that the average growth rate of the 2008 regional credit tends to increase up to 2012. The increase in credit growth can not improve BPD bank performance. This is because, first, the market share of the credit in the province allegedly has not been controlled by local banks but it is controlled by large national banks that have branches in that area. Second, BPD allegedly can not use the moment to improve the performance associate with an increase in credit growth. Third, Increasing in BPD bank credit growth each year for the observation period allegedly unsupported by infrastructure and human resource readiness.

These results indicate that the rate of inflation can significantly improve BPD bank performance. These results are consistent with the theory mentioned by Rose (2005) that the nominal interest rate on bank loans already includes inflation. The higher the inflation, the higher the interest rate. Increasing interest rates can increase operating income assuming bank can increase its efficiency levels. The higher the inflation rate, the bank financial performance will be lower or higher. The increase in the inflation rate can be negative or positive impact on the bank financial performance.

#### **Managerial Implications of Research Results**

The level of inefficiency BPD, capital adequacy, bank size, and negatively affect the credit growth significantly on BPD bank performance. These results have implications to BPD bank manager in making the program to improve the bank financial performance. First, bank managers must make efficiency improvements in order to increase the bank performance. Second, bank managers must make arrangements at least 5% of capital adequacy in accordance with the provisions of the banking authorities. Total capital adequacy well above provisions will give implications for declining in BPD bank financial performance. Third, Increasing BPD bank size must be accompanied by increasing in the bank operational efficiency and increasing bank volume operations. Thus increasing in BPD bank size does not cause decreasing in bank performance. Fourth, bank managers must make improving the quality of its human resources in the management of credit so that the moment of growth of bank credit in the province can be utilized to increase BPD bank credit. Implications relating to management of credit growth are necessary to make the increasing BPD bank credit management efficiency program, and increasing lending to the public and private companies to promote economic growth and BPD bank performance.

Managerial implications in connection with the positive effect of inflation on the BPD bank performance are in the event of rising inflation in that region, BPD bank should increase its credit expansion programs.

## **5. CONCLUSIONS**

Average inefficiency Indonesian BPD bank for five-year observation period is 72.45%. BACH is the least efficient bank and BSST is the most of efficient bank. The most inefficient BPD bank

for the years 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 respectively are BDKI, BSTR, BACH, BSUA and BSSN. While the most efficient BPD bank for 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012 respectively are BSST, BSTA, BKTM, BSTA and BSTA.

The level of inefficiency BPD bank, bank capital adequacy, bank size and regional credit growth have a negative effect on BPD bank financial performance. GDP growth has no significant effect on financial performance BPD bank. Regional inflation rate has positive influence on Indonesian BPD bank financial performance.

Limitations of this study are 1). Not examine the influential factors that explain why the growth of regional GDP and credit growth do not influence Indonesian BPD bank performance 2). Use traditional approach to measure efficiency BPD bank.

Suggestions this study are 1). To improve BPD bank performance, BPD bank managers have to make the program for strengthening financial performance through increasing bank efficiency, managing capital adequacy, increasing bank assets must be accompanied by increasing in operational efficiency, increasing operational volume, improving the quality of human resources in managing bank credit. 2). For subsequent researchers need to consider using frontier approach. Using this approach, the level of bank efficiency can be compared with the best practice bank, so the bank can be assessed with good efficiency rating. 3). For subsequent researchers need to examine factors trigger the negative impact of credit growth on BPD bank financial performance. The factors are quality of human resources, credit monitoring system, diversification of credit and other factors that trigger a strong relationship between credit growth and good financial performance. 4). For subsequent studies suggested to conduct research about trigger factors that causal GDP growth does not significantly affect on bank performance in Indonesian BPD bank. The factors are the quality of human resources, diversification of credit, the ability to compete with other banks, and another potential factors. 5). For institutions banking authorities, it is advisable to do a strengthening efficiency BPD bank in order to improve BPD bank financial performance that contribute to the banking financial system. Provide reinforcement requirements to bank officials competencies particularly to officers lenders and credit monitoring.

## REFERENCES

- Alfarisi, Ade Salman, 2008, "Analysis terhadap Laba, Profit efficiency, dan Agency Cost Hypothesis pada bank Syariah dan Bank Umum di Indonesia", Dissertation S3 Doctoral Program in Economics with a specialization in Business Management, Graduate University of Padjadjaran, Bandung.
- Amalia, Iaila Suci (2010), "Pengaruh CAR, NPL, NIM, BOPO, LDR dan PPAP terhadap Kinerja Rentabilitas Bank (Studi Kasus pada Bank Devisa dan Bank Non devisa tahun 2004-2008)", Bachelor's Thesis, Faculty of Economics, University of Diponegoro.
- Bank Indonesia, 2008, Data Perbankan Indonesia Tahun 2008, Januari 2008, Jakarta: Bank Indonesia - Data Bank Indonesia Year 2008, January 2008, Jakarta: Bank Indonesia - Directorate of Licensing and Banking Information.
- , 2011, "Statistik Perbankan Indonesia - Bulanan", Vol.10 No.54, April 2011, Jakarta: Bank Indonesia.
- , 2008, Peraturan Bank Indonesia No.10/15/PBI/2008 tanggal 24 September 2008 tentang Kewajiban Penyediaan Modal Minimum Bank Umum, [http://www.bi.go.id/NR/rdonlyres/529755C4-F8CE-425A-8A31-11C234C18C6E/14792/pbi\\_101508revs.pdf](http://www.bi.go.id/NR/rdonlyres/529755C4-F8CE-425A-8A31-11C234C18C6E/14792/pbi_101508revs.pdf)
- Beck, Thorsten and Heiko Hesse, 2006, "Bank Efficiency, Ownership and Market Structure-why are interest spreads so high in Uganda", World bank policy research working paper 4027, Oktober 2006. <http://econ.worldbank.org>
- Berger, Allen N. and Christa H.S. Bouwman, (2009), "Bank Capital, Survival, and Performance around Financial Crises" Wharton Financial Institutions Center, and Case Western Reserve University, University of South Carolina, Wharton Financial Institutions Center, and CentER - Tilburg University MIT Sloan School of Management (visiting),
- Berger, Allan N and David B. Humphrey, 1997, "Efficiency of Financial Institutions: International Survey and Directions for Future Research" Forthcoming in European Journal of Operational Research, Januari 1997. The Warthon School, University of Pennsylvania.

- Bonin, Jhon P., Iftekhar and Paul Wachtel, 2003, "Bank Performance, Efficiency, and ownership in transition countries", paper presented in The Ninth Dubrovnik Economic Conference The 9 DEC "Banking and The financial Sector in transition and Emerging Market Economic" , organized by The Croatian National Bank, in Dubrovnik, 26-28 Juni 2003.
- Carbo, S., E.P.M, gardener and J Wiiliam (1999), "Efficiency and Technical Change in the Eroupean Association of University Teacher of Banking and Finance", Lisbon, 2-3 September 1999.
- Chen, Yi-Kai., 2001, "Three Essay on Bank Efficiency", A Thesis Submitted as Doctor of Philosophy to the Faculty Of Drexel University
- Endri, 2008, "Efisiensi Teknis Perbankan Syariah di Indonesia", Finance and Banking Journal, Vol.10 No.2 Desember 2008, hal.123-140.
- Fiordelisi , Franco., David Marques-Ibanez and Phil Molyneux, 2010, "Efficiency and risk in European Banking", Working Paper Series No. 1211 / JUNE 2010. European Central Bank.
- Fraser, Lyn M., and Aileen Ormiston, 2007, Understanding Financial Statements, Eight Edition, New Jersey: Pearson-Prentice Hall.
- Fiorentino, Elizabets, Alexander Karmann, and Micahel Koetter, 2006, "The cost efficiency of German Banks: a Comparison of SFA and DEA", Deutsche Bundesbank Euro System- Discussion paper Serie 2: banking and Financial Studies No.10/2006..
- Girardone, Molyenux and gardener 2003???
- Gujarati, Damodar N. , 2003, Basic econometric, Fourth Edition, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hartono, Edy, 2009, "Analisis Efisiensi Biaya Industri Perbankan Indonesia dengan menggunakan Metode Parametrik Stochastik Frontier Analisis- Studi pada Perbankan yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia" Tesis S-2 Magister Manajemen Pascasarjana, Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Airlangga.
- Ianotta, Giuliano, Giacomo Nocera and Andrea Sironi, 2006, "Ownership Structure, Risk and Performance in the European Banking Industry" working paper has presented at a Bacconi University seminar.
- Keown, Arthur J, John D. Martin, J. William Petty, and David F. Scott, JR, 2005, Financial Management, Tenth Edition, New Jersey: Pearson-Prentice Hall.
- Magalhaes, Romulo, Maria Gulerrez Urriaga, Josep A. Tribo, 2010, "Bank's Ownership Structure, risk and Performance", This Paper from Electronic copy available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1102390>
- Mardanugraha, Eugenia, 2005, "Efisiensi Perbankan di Indonesia Dipelajari Melalui Pendekatan Fungsi Biaya Parametrik", Disertasi S3 program Studi Ilmu Ekonomi, Program Pasca Sarjana Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia.
- Mester, Loretta J. , 1993, "Efficiency of Banks in the Third Federal reserve District" Financial Institution Center, Pennsylvania: The Wartton School University of Pennsylvania.
- Micco, Alejandro, Ugo Panizza and Monica Yañez, 2005, "Bank Ownership and performance -does politics matters?" Working paper, Central Bank of Chile, <http://www.bcentral.cl/eng/stdpub/studies/workingpaper>.
- Mirawati, Fadliah, 2007, "Analisis efisiensi perbankan sebelum dan sesudah menjadi Bank Listed dengan menggunakan Analisis Non Parametric", Tesis S-2 Program Studi Ilmu Manajemen Pascasarjana Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Indonesia, Depok: Perpustakaan Pusat of Indonesia University.
- Nigmonov, Asror, 2010, "Bank Performance and Efficiency in Uzbekistan", Eurasian Journal of Business and Economics , 3 (5), 1-25.
- Pastor, JM., F. Perez and J. Quesada (1997), "efficiency Analisis in Banking Firms:An International Comparision", European Journal of Operational research 98, pp395-407.
- Robinson, Thomas R., Paul Munter and Julia Grant (2004), Financial Statement Analysis-A Globa Perspektif, International edition, New Jersey: Pearson-Prentice Hall.
- Rose, Peter S., 2002, Commercial Bank Management, New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin-International Edition.
- Rose, Peter S. and Sylvia C. Hudgins, 2005, Bank Management & Financial Service, Sixth Edition, Singapore: McGra-Hill/International Edition.

- Rusdiana, Nana (2012), “Analisis Pengaruh CAR, LDR, NIM, NPL, BOPO, dan DPK terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Perbankan – Studi Kasus pada Bank Umum Yang Terdaftar pada Bursa Efek Indonesia periode 2008-2011”, Skripsi Program Sarjana S-1, Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Diponegoro.
- Sari, Enggar Koesoema (2011),” Analisis Pengaruh CAR, NPL, BOPO, NIM, LDR, dan Pemenuhan PPAP terhadap Kinerja Perbankan (Studi kasus pada Bank Umum di Indonesia)”, Skripsi Sarjana S-1, Economic Faculty of Diponegoro University.
- Subramanyam, KR., and John J. Wild., 2009, Financial statement Analysis, Tenth Edition, Singapore: McGraw-Hill.
- Sudiyatno, Bambang and Jati Suroso, 2010, ”Analisis Pengaruh Dana Pihak Ketiga, BOPO, CAR, dan LDR terhadap Kinerja Keuangan pada Sektor Perbankan yang Go Publik di Bursa efek Indonesia (BEI) (Periode 2005-2008)”, *Dinamika Keuangan dan Perbankan*, Vol. 2, No.2 ISSN :1979-4878, Mei 2010, Hal: 125 – 137.
- Sudiyatno, Bambang and Rini Setiyowati (2012), “Pengaruh BOPO, NPL, NIM dan CAR terhadap Kinerja Keuangan Bank (studi pada Bank-bank yang Listed di Bursa Efek Indonesia)”, *Dinamika Akuntansi, Keuangan dan Perbankan*, Mei 2012, Vol. 1, No. 1 ISSN :1979-4878, Hal: 57 - 73
- White, Gerald I., Ashwinpaul C. Sondhi and Dov Fried (2003), *The Analysis and Use of Financial Statements*, Third Edition, USA: Wiley.