

PJN 16 2017 SM

by

Submission date: 23-May-2022 10:39AM (UTC+0800)

Submission ID: 1842108418

File name: PJN 16(8) 2017 Sri Melia.pdf (538.44K)

Word count: 3839

Character count: 20558

PJN

ISSN 1680-5194

PAKISTAN JOURNAL OF
NUTRITION

ANSI*net*

308 Lasani Town, Sargodha Road, Faisalabad - Pakistan

Mob: +92 300 3008585, Fax: +92 41 8815544

E-mail: editorpjn@gmail.com



Research Article

Characterization of the Antimicrobial Activity of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Buffalo Milk in West Sumatera (Indonesia) Against *Listeria monocytogenes*

¹Sri Melia, ¹Endang Purwati, ¹Yuherman, ¹Jaswandi, ¹Salam N. Aritonang and ²Mangatas Silaen

¹Department of Animal Science, Andalas University, West Sumatera, Indonesia

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Medical Faculty of Prima Indonesia University, North Sumatera, Indonesia

Abstract

Background and Objective: *Listeria monocytogenes* is an important pathogenic bacteria in various cases of poisoning in the food industry due to its ability to grow in cold temperatures and to survive in freezing temperatures. Lactic acid bacteria have important probiotic attributes including their antimicrobial effect against this pathogen. Therefore, this study aimed to isolate lactic acid bacteria from buffalo milk and characterize its antimicrobial activity against *Listeria monocytogenes*. **Materials and Methods:** Buffalo milk was collected from four districts in West Sumatera, Indonesia and its composition analysed. A total of 88 lactic acid bacteria strains were isolated and grown at De Man Rogosa Sharpe Agar (MRSA). The strains were identified based on morphology (shape, size and colour) and their biochemical characteristics (catalase test and the fermentation type) and then screened for antimicrobial activity against *L. monocytogenes*. The species were further identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. **Results:** As a result of isolation and identification, 19 strains of lactic acid bacteria were screened against *L. monocytogenes*, but only three isolates (A 3.2, A 3.3 and TD 7.2) showed high inhibition against *L. monocytogenes*. They were identified using 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. **Conclusion:** The BLAST results of the identification procedure showed that the isolated bacteria from buffalo milk belonged to *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain L 23 (A 3.3), *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain 6704 (TD 7.2) and *Lactobacillus oris* strain J-1 (A 3.2).

Key words: Lactic acid bacteria, buffalo milk, antimicrobial activity, 16S rRNA, inhibition zone and *Listeria monocytogenes*

Received: April 17, 2017

Accepted: June 05, 2017

Published: July 15, 2017

Citation: Sri Melia, Endang Purwati, Yuherman, Jaswandi, Salam N. Aritonang and Mangatas Silaen, 2017. Characterization of the antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from buffalo milk in West Sumatera (Indonesia) against *Listeria monocytogenes*. Pak. J. Nutr., 16: 645-650.

Corresponding Author: Sri Melia, Department of Animal Science, Andalas University, West Sumatera, Indonesia Tel: +62 8126761782

Copyright: © 2017 Sri Melia *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists.

Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files.

INTRODUCTION

Buffalo (*Bulabulus bulabulus*) are domesticated ruminants that could be an alternative milk source. Due to its high fat and protein contents, buffalo milk could be used to produce cheese, yoghurt and ice cream and thus make a significant contribution to the dairy industry and its specific sensory properties might contribute to increasing the demand for various milk products. Buffalo milk is composed of 84.25 g kg⁻¹ fat, 94.80 g kg⁻¹ non-fat solids, 39.68 g kg⁻¹ protein, 48 g kg⁻¹ lactose, 7.13 g kg⁻¹ ash, 0.164% acid and 826.60 g kg⁻¹ water and it has a pH of 6.37¹. Due to its lactose content, buffalo milk has the potential to grow lactic acid bacteria.

Fresh milk might contain various types of microorganisms such as yeasts, moulds and bacteria, among which Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) are specifically recognized for producing lactic acid by fermenting milk sugar. Thus, lactic acid bacteria are presumed to be the most dominant bacteria in fresh milk, which may cause the pH of the milk to decrease due to their metabolism and thus provide a special environment that could prevent the proper growth of pathogenic bacteria².

As a result, lactic acid bacteria are classified as probiotic, particularly because they are antimicrobial, stomach-acid tolerant and safe to use³ but bacteria classified as probiotic should also have the ability to produce antimicrobial substances that can suppress the growth of pathogenic enteric bacteria. Such substances include organic acids, hydrogen peroxide, diacetyl and bacteriocin⁴.

In the last decade, *Listeria monocytogenes* has become an important pathogenic bacteria that has been implicated in various poisoning cases related to the food industry due to its ability to grow at cold temperatures and survive freezing temperatures. *Listeria monocytogenes* is a gram-positive, spore-forming, cocci-shaped and intracellular pathogenic-type bacteria that can be found in monocytes and neutrophils⁵. Historically, this bacteria was classified in the genus *Listerella*, but in 1940, the generic name of this human and animal pathogen was changed to *Listeria*⁶. The optimum temperature for the growth of *L. monocytogenes* is 35-37°C but it can also grow between 1-5°C (psychropilic temperature) and it is also resistant to Pasteurization (72°C for 15 sec) and can survive at a pH range of 4.3-9.4⁷.

This research was conducted to assess the antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from buffalo milk against *L. monocytogenes*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials: Buffalo milk was collected from four districts in West Sumatera, Indonesia (50 Kota, Agam, Tanah Datar and

Solok). Samples were collected using sterile bottles and kept at a low temperature (8-10°C) during transport and prior to analysis.

Methods

Chemical composition: Chemical composition was determined following standard AOAC procedures⁸.

Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria: A total of 88 isolates were obtained: 22 isolates from 50 Kota, 21 isolates from Agam, 25 isolates from Tanah Datar and 20 isolates from Solok. LAB strains were cultured in De Man Rogosa Sharpe broth (Merck, Germany) and spread on sterile MRS agar (Merck, Germany) plates, which were incubated at 37°C in anaerobic conditions for 48 h. The morphologically distinctive and well-strained colonies were selected by streaking to obtain pure colonies and then transferred to new MRS agar plates. Then, the selected colonies, which were positively proven to be catalase-negative and gram-positive were inoculated on new media for identification⁹.

The morphological characteristics, particularly shape, colour and size, of the LAB were observed¹⁰ and Gram staining¹¹, catalase testing¹² and fermentation typing were conducted for biochemical evaluation¹³.

Antimicrobial activity: To determine the antimicrobial effects of the selected LAB against *L. monocytogenes* (EP01), the agar-well diffusion method was used according to previous researches^{14,15} and a calliper was used to subsequently measure the obtained inhibition zone. The LAB strains were classified as bacteriocin producers when the wells formed an inhibitory zone¹⁶ and the clear area around the test wells was used to indicate inhibitory activity¹⁷. Therefore, the diameters (mm) of these zones were measured and recorded.

Identification using 16S rRNA: The lactic acid bacteria species were further identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis. Genomic DNA from each strain was first extracted using the Extrap Soil DNA Kit Plus Ver. 2 and the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the universal primers 27 F (5'-GAGTTTGATCCGCTAG-3'), 1525 R (5'-AGAAAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC-3'). The PCR amplification conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 45 s each, annealing at 56°C for 1 min, extension at 72°C for 1 min and 30 sec and final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The reaction mixtures were subsequently cooled to 4°C and the PCR products were analysed by agarose gel electrophoresis with 1% agarose. Subsequently, the PCR amplicons (approximately

1.5 kb) were purified with a Fast Gen Gel/PCR Extraction Kit (Nippon Genetics, Germany) according to manufacturer's instructions and the sequenced data were analysed and processed using BioEdit software. The sequences were compared with the sequences available in GenBank using BLAST (the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) and all sequences were aligned using ClustalW (<http://clustalW.ddbj.nig.ac.jp>).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The chemical composition of buffalo milk from some districts in West Sumatera are listed in Table 1, the buffalo milk was found to contain 7.22-7.83% protein, 7.18-7.88% fat and 80.62-81.03% moisture with a pH range from 6.06-6.39. The moisture and fat contents found in this study were similar to those of swamp buffalo milk (81% moisture and 7.0%) fat¹⁸. The pH range obtained in this study was lower than that reported for buffalo milk from the region of Cantal, France (pH 6.81)¹⁹.

Total lactic acid bacteria in buffalo milk: As can be seen in Table 2, the total number of lactic acid bacteria from Agam and Tanah Datar was higher than that of 50 Kota and Solok. Moreover, the total LAB from this study was higher than that reported from Bulgarian Murrah buffalo ($3.22 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$)²⁰.

The support of LAB in dairy products microbiota can be viewed applicable since these microorganisms are naturally show in milking and processing environment, facilitating the contamination of raw milk and processed products²¹. The LAB counts from raw milk were $8 \times 10^5 \text{ C}^{22}$.

Lactic acid bacteria strains from buffalo milk: As can be seen in Table 3. Eighty-eight LAB strains from buffalo milk were evaluated in this study and 19 were screened for antimicrobial activity against *Listeria monocytogenes*. In general, such strains were rod-shaped, gram-positive, 1-4 mm in size, beige and catalase-negative. In addition, they did not show the ability to form CO₂ and were thus classified as homofermentative; if bacteria can produce CO₂, they are classified as heterofermentative. Homofermentative LAB have mostly been found in cow milk, cheese and

fermented milk²³. Other reports have found homofermentative LAB strains in milk including *Streptococcus cremoris* and *S. lactis*²⁴.

Previous researchers successfully isolated *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *L. delbrueckii* ssp. *bulgaricus*, *Lactococcus lactis* ssp. *cremoris*, *L. lactis* ssp. *lactis* and *Streptococcus thermophilus*²⁵, *Bifidobacterium* spp. and *Lactobacilli* spp.⁹, *Lactococcus lactis*²⁶. Lactic acid bacteria were isolated from various types of buffalo milk along with *Lactobacillus plantarum*, *L. brevis*, *L. pentosus* and *Lactococcus lactis*^{27,28}. In addition, *Lactococcus lactis*, which can produce lactic acid, which is 57.61% of the lactic acid bacteria found in buffalo milk from North Sumatera along²⁸.

Antimicrobial activity against *Listeria monocytogenes*: As shown in Table 4 of the 19 screened LAB strains from buffalo milk, 3 were found to have higher inhibitory activity against *L. monocytogenes*, i.e., strain A 3.3 (19 mm) and A 3.2 (18 mm) from Agam and strain TD 7.2 (19 mm) from Tanah Datar. Martinez and de Martinis^{29,30} reported that *Lactobacillus sakei*, which produces bacteriocin, could decrease *L. monocytogenes* at 8°C. The bacteriocin of *L. mesenteroides* 11 partially inhibited *L. monocytogenes* at 8°C but at 15°C, it was unable to prevent the growth of the pathogen. Amezcua and Brashears³¹ found that the strain identified as *Pediococcus acidilactici* was a possible bacteriocin producer with antilisterial activity. Some of the studied LAB have antimicrobial activity against *L. monocytogenes* and probiotic potential^{32,33}; the LAB from Dadih Solok could inhibit *L. monocytogenes* given its 8-14-mm clear zone³⁴.

Molecular identification using 16S rRNA*:** The isolates were molecularly identified by amplifying and sequencing the 16S rRNA genes and comparing the results to the database of known 16S rRNA sequences. The BLAST results of the identification procedure showed that the isolated bacteria belonged to *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain L 23 (A 3.3), *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain 6704 (TD 7.2) and *Lactobacillus oris* strain J-1 (A 3.2). According to Pascual *et al.*³⁵, *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain L 23 produces bacteriocins and it is heat-stable with a low-molecular-mass

Table 1: Composition of buffalo milk

District	Water (%)	Fat (%)	Protein (%)	pH
50 Kota	81.44±1.17	7.18±0.09	7.22±0.39	6.06±0.04
Agam	80.62±0.93	7.34±0.50	7.57±0.21	6.39±0.25
Tanah datar	80.48±1.12	7.73±0.22	7.23±0.76	6.10±0.02
Solok	81.03±1.91	7.88±0.98	7.83±0.19	6.08±0.03

Value represent Mean±SD, n = 3

Table 2: Total lactic acid bacteria of buffalo milk

District	Total LAB (1×10^6 CFU g ⁻¹)
50 Kota	3.0 ± 0.820
Agam	190.0 ± 30.51
Tanah datar	257.0 ± 8.540
Solok	24.0 ± 34.04

Table 3: Morphological and biochemical characteristic of the LAB

		Characteristic					
		Morphology				Biochemical	
District	Strain of LAB	Form	Gram stain	Size	Color	Catalase test	Fermentatif type
50 Kota	P 1.1	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	P 1.2	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	P 3.1	Rod	+	2 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
Agam	P 3.5	Rod	+	4 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	A 1.2	Rod	+	2 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	A 3.1	Rod	+	2 mm	Cream	-	Hetero fermentatif
	A 3.2	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Hetero fermentatif
	A 3.3	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	A 3.4	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	Tanah Datar	TD 2.2	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-
Datar	TD 2.3	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 3.1	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 3.2	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 6.1	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 6.3	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 7.1	Rod	+	5 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	TD 7.2	Rod	+	1 mm	Cream	-	Hetero fermentatif
Solok	S1.1	Rod	+	5 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif
	S1.3	Rod	+	3 mm	Cream	-	Homo fermentatif

Table 4: Antimicrobe activity of LAB strains against *Listeria monocytogenes*

No.	District	Strain of LAB	Inhibition zone (mm)
1	50 Kota	P 1.1	17
2		P 1.2	17
3		P 3.1	12
4		P 3.5	14
5	Agam	A 1.2	17
6		A 3.1	14
7		A 3.2	18
8		A 3.3	19
9	Tanah Datar	A 3.4	15
10		TD 2.2	17
11		TD 2.3	15
12		TD 3.1	12
13	Datar	TD 3.2	15
14		TD 6.1	14
15		TD 6.3	15
16		TD 7.1	15
17	Solok	TD 7.2	19
18		S1.1	11
19		S1.3	11

Value represent Mean ± SD, n = 3

(<7000-Da) peptide. Yavuzdurmaz and Sebnem³⁶ reported that *Lactobacillus fermentum* showed positive fermentation

results for xylose, ribose, arabinose, melibiose, raffinose, galactose, maltose, sucrose, fructose and lactose; the fermentation result for *Lactobacillus oris* strain J-1 included ribose, arabinose, trehalose, melibiose, raffinose, galatose, maltose, sucrose, fructose and lactose. According to the classification, these biochemical characteristics indicate that the bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus fermentum* strain L 23 belongs to the class II lactic-acid bacterium bacteriocins³⁷. Finally, the PCR products were sequenced and analysed using the basic local alignment search tool (BLAST, <http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>).

CONCLUSION

Isolation and identification resulted in 88 strains of LAB from buffalo milk, which were mostly characterized as rod-shaped, gram-positive, catalase-negative, homo-fermentative and heterofermentative. Of the isolates, only three (A 3.3, A 3.2 and TD 7.2) showed high inhibition against *Listeria monocytogenes* and were

identified as *Lactobacillus fermentum* L23 (A 3.3), *Lactobacillus fermentum* 6704 (TD 7.2) and *Lactobacillus oris* strain J-1 (A 3.2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the University of Andalas BOPTN Fund, Contract No. 869/XIII/A/Unand/2016 of April 22, 2016 and the Hibah *Klaster Riset* Guru Besar Universitas of Andalas, Contract No. 85/UN.16/HKRGB/LPPM/2016. We also acknowledge the Rector of the University of Andalas, the Chairman of LPPM, the Dean of the Faculty of Animal Science, the Counsellor, the Head of the Laboratory of Animal Product Technology and the Head of the Laboratory of Animal Biotechnology.

REFERENCES

1. Khan, M.A.S., M.N. Islam and M.S.R. Siddiki, 2007. Physical and chemical composition of swamp and water buffalo milk: A comparative study. *Ital. J. Anim. Sci.*, 6: 1067-1070.
2. Salminen, S. and A. von Wright, 2004. *Lactic Acid Bacteria: Microbiological and Functional Aspects*. 3rd Edn., CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL., USA., ISBN-13: 9780824752033, Pages: 656.
3. Noordiana, N., A.B. Fatimah and A.S. Mun, 2013. Antibacterial agents produced by lactic acid bacteria isolated from threadfin salmon and grass shrimp. *Int. Food Res. J.*, 20: 117-124.
4. Suskovic, J., B. Kos, J. Beganovic, A.L. Pavunc, K. Habjanic and S. Matosic, 2010. Antimicrobial activity-the most important property of probiotic and starter lactic acid bacteria. *Food Technol. Biotechnol.*, 48: 296-307.
5. Gandhi, M. and M.L. Chikindas, 2007. *Listeria*: A foodborne pathogen that knows how to survive. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.*, 113: 1-15.
6. Jay, J.M., 2000. Fermentation and Fermented Dairy Products. In: *Modern Food Microbiology*, Jay, J.M. (Ed.). 6th Edn., Chapter 7, Springer, New York, USA., ISBN: 978-0-8342-1671-6, pp: 113-130.
7. Zhu, M., M. Du, J. Cordray and D.U. Ahn, 2005. Control of *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination in ready to eat meat products. *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 4: 34-42.
8. Feldsine, P., C. Abeyta and W.H. Andrews, 2002. AOAC International methods committee guidelines for validation of qualitative and quantitative food microbiological official methods of analysis. *J. AOAC Int.*, 85: 1187-1200.
9. Shafakatullah, N. and M. Chandra, 2014. Screening of raw buffalo's milk from Karnataka for potential probiotic strains. *Res. J. Recent Sci.*, 3: 73-78.
10. Romadhon, Subagiyo and S. Margino, 2012. Isolasi dan karakterisasi bakteri asam laktat dari usus udang penghasil bakteriosin sebagai agen antibakteria pada produk-produk hasil perikanan. *Jurnal Sainstek Perikanan*, 8: 59-64.
11. Harley, J.P., L.M. Prescott and D.A. Klein, 2002. *Laboratory Exercises in Microbiology*. 5th Edn., McGraw-Hill Companies, Boston, MA., USA., ISBN-13: 9780072333459, Pages: 480.
12. Coeuret, V., S. Dubernet, M. Bernardeau, M. Gueguen and J.P. Vernoux, 2003. Isolation, characterisation and identification of lactobacilli focusing mainly on cheeses and other dairy products. *Le Lait*, 83: 269-306.
13. Rashid, S. and M. Hassanshahian, 2014. Screening, isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria from a traditional dairy product of Sabzevar, Iran. *Int. J. Enteric Pathog.*, Vol. 2. 10.17795/ijep18393.
14. Yang, E., L. Fan, Y. Jiang, C. Doucette and S. Fillmore, 2012. Antimicrobial activity of bacteriocin-producing lactic acid bacteria isolated from cheeses and yogurts. *AMB Express*, Vol. 2. 10.1186/2191-0855-2-48.
15. Ayeni, F.A., B.A. Adeniyi, S.T. Ogunbanwo, R. Tabasco, T. Paarup, C. Pelaez and T. Requena, 2009. Inhibition of uropathogens by lactic acid bacteria isolated from dairy foods and cow's intestine in Western Nigeria. *Arch. Microbiol.*, 191: 639-648.
16. Tadesse, G., E. Ephraim and M. Ashenafi, 2005. Assessment of the antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Borde and Shamita, traditional Ethiopian fermented beverages, on some food-borne pathogens and effect of growth medium on the inhibitory activity. *Internet J. Food Saf.*, 5: 13-20.
17. Todorov, S.D., M. Vaz-Velho and P. Gibbs, 2004. Comparison of two methods for purification of plantaricin ST31, a bacteriocin produced by *Lactobacillus plantarum* ST31. *Braz. J. Microbiol.*, 35: 157-160.
18. Nanda, A.S. and T. Nakao, 2003. Role of buffalo in the socioeconomic development of rural Asia: Current status and future prospectus. *Anim. Sci. J.*, 74: 443-455.
19. Ahmad, S., I. Gaucher, F. Rousseau, E. Beaucher, M. Piot, J.F. Grongnet and F. Gaucheron, 2008. Effects of acidification on physico-chemical characteristics of buffalo milk: A comparison with cow's milk. *Food Chem.*, 106: 11-17.
20. Boycheva, S., T. Dimitrov, M. Tsankova and T. Llier, 2002. Investigation on microflora of buffalo milk. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 8: 279-282.
21. Franciosi, E., L. Settanni, A. Cavazza and E. Poznanski, 2009. Biodiversity and technological potential of wild lactic acid bacteria from raw cows' milk. *Int. Dairy J.*, 19: 3-11.
22. Ortolani, M.B.T., A.K. Yamazi, P.M. Moraes, G.N. Vicoso and L.A. Nero, 2010. Microbiological quality and safety of raw milk and soft cheese and detection of autochthonous lactic acid bacteria with antagonistic activity against *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella* spp. and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Foodborne Pathogens Dis.*, 7: 175-180.

23. Abdullah, S.A. and M.M. Osman, 2010. Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria from raw cow milk, white cheese and Rob in Sudan. Pak. J. Nutr., 9: 1203-1206.
24. Elgadi, Z.A.M., W.S. Abdel Gadir and H.A. Dirar, 2008. Isolation and identification of lactic acid bacteria and yeast from raw milk in Khartoum State (Sudan). Res. J. Microbiol., 3: 163-168.
25. Aziz, T., H. Khan, S.M. Bakhtair and M. Naurin, 2009. Incidence and relative abundance of lactic acid bacteria in raw milk of buffalo, cow and sheep. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 19: 168-173.
26. Sharma, R., B.S. Sanodiya, G.S. Thakur, P. Jaiswal, S. Pal, A. Sharma and P.S. Bisen, 2013. Characterization of lactic acid bacteria from raw milk samples of cow, goat, sheep, camel and buffalo with special elucidation to lactic acid production. Br. Microbiol. Res. J., 3: 743-752.
27. Bei-Zhong, H., M. Yun, L. Min, Y. Ying-Xiao, R. Fa-Zheng, Z. Qing-Kun and N.M.J. Robert, 2007. A survey on the microbiological and chemical composition of buffalo milk in China. Food Control, 18: 742-746.
28. Rizqiati, H., C. Sumantri, R.R. Noor, E. Damayanthi and E.I. Rianti, 2015. Isolation and identification of indigenous lactic acid bacteria from North Sumatra river buffalo milk. Indonesian J. Anim. Vet. Sci., 20: 87-94.
29. Martinez, R.C.R. and E.C.P. De Martinis, 2005. Evaluation of bacteriocin-producing *Lactobacillus sakei* 1 against *Listeria monocytogenes* 1/2a growth and haemolytic activity. Braz. J. Microbiol., 36: 83-87.
30. Martinez, R.C.R. and E.C.P. de Martinis, 2006. Effect of *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* 11 bacteriocin in the multiplication control of *Listeria monocytogenes* 4b. Food Sci. Technol., 26: 52-55.
31. Amezquita, A. and M.M. Brashears, 2002. Competitive inhibition of *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat meat products by lactic acid bacteria. J. Food Protect., 65: 316-325.
32. Mojgani, N., F. Hussaini and N. Vaseji, 2015. Characterization of indigenous *Lactobacillus* strains for probiotic properties. Jundishapur J. Microbiol., Vol. 8.
33. Zhang, B., Y. Wang, Z. Tan, Z. Li, Z. Jiao and Q. Huang, 2016. Screening of probiotic activities of lactobacilli strains isolated from traditional Tibetan Qula, a raw yak milk cheese. Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci., 29: 1490-1499.
34. Purwati, E. S. Salam, S. Melia, I. Juiyarsi and H. Purwanto, 2016. Manfaat Probiotik, Bakteri Asam Laktat Dadiah. Lembaga Literasi Dayak Press, Indonesia.
35. Pascual, L.M., M.B. Daniele, W. Giordano, M.C. Pajaro and I.L. Barberis, 2008. Purification and partial characterization of novel bacteriocin L23 produced by *Lactobacillus fermentum* L23. Curr. Microbiol., 56: 397-402.
36. Yavuzdurmaz, H. and H. Sebnem, 2011. Selection of potential probiotic lactobacillus strains from human milk. Proceedings of the 11th International Congress on Engineering and Food, May 22-26, 2011, Athens, Greece, pp: 2043-2044.
37. Bali, V., P.S. Panesar, M.B. Bera and J.F. Kennedy, 2016. Bacteriocins: Recent trends and potential applications. Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr., 56: 817-834.

ORIGINALITY REPORT

12%

SIMILARITY INDEX

8%

INTERNET SOURCES

8%

PUBLICATIONS

9%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	Submitted to Institut Pertanian Bogor Student Paper	3%
2	repository.unand.ac.id Internet Source	3%
3	Submitted to Democritus University Student Paper	2%
4	Submitted to Keimyung University Student Paper	2%
5	Atefeh Salehi Bakhtiyari, Zahra Etemadifar, Matia Sadat Borhani, Narges Zamanian. "Enhancement of biomass and total carotenoid content of a UV-resistant strain of Dietzia maris in response to different carbon and nitrogen sources", BioTechnologia, 2021 Publication	2%

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches < 2%

Exclude bibliography On