

# Turnitin Originality Report

- Processed on: 22-Jan-2020 3:39 PM +08
- ID: 1244840255
- Word Count: 4156
- Submitted: 1

Riesi Sriagtula By Riesi Sriagtula

Similarity Index

22%

## Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:

13%

Publications:

18%

Student Papers:

12%

3% match (publications)

[Zurmiati ., Wizna ., M. Hafil Abbas, Maria Endo Mahata, Revan Fauzano. "Effect of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens as a Probiotic on Growth Performance Parameters of Pitalah Ducks", International Journal of Poultry Science, 2017](#)

2% match (Internet from 27-Mar-2016)

<http://www.pjbs.org/ijps/fin2540.pdf>

2% match (student papers from 28-Mar-2019)

[Submitted to Lambung Mangkurat University on 2019-03-28](#)

2% match (student papers from 16-Jan-2020)

[Submitted to Syiah Kuala University on 2020-01-16](#)

1% match (publications)

[ER Freitas, DL Raquel, AJN Nascimento, PH Watanabe, IRV Lopes. "Complete replacement of corn by white or red sorghum in japanese quail feeds", Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, 2014](#)

1% match (Internet from 21-Jan-2020)

[http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table\\_contents\\_detail/4/1304/html](http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table_contents_detail/4/1304/html)

1% match (publications)

[Zurmiati ., Wizna ., M. Hafil Abba, Maria Endo Mahat. "Effect of the Balance of Energy and Protein in Rations Given to Pitalah Ducks along with the Probiotic Bacillus amyloliquefaciens on the Live Weight, Percentage of Carcass, Percentage of Abdominal Fat and Income Over Feed Cost", International Journal of Poultry Science, 2017](#)

1% match (Internet from 09-Jan-2020)

<http://jpi.faterna.unand.ac.id/index.php/jpi/article/download/257/238>

1% match (publications)

[S.U. Mahfuz, M.J. Nahar, Chen Mo, Zhang Ganfu, Liu Zhongjun, Song Hui. "Inclusion of Probiotic on Chicken Performance and Immunity: A Review", International Journal of Poultry Science, 2017](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 16-May-2019)

[Submitted to Udayana University on 2019-05-16](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 03-Jan-2020)

[http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table\\_contents\\_detail/4/1214/html](http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table_contents_detail/4/1214/html)

< 1% match (publications)

[F. Karadas. "The effects of dietary phytase activity on the concentration of Coenzyme Q10 in the liver of young turkeys and broilers", British Poultry Abstracts, 4/2005](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 26-May-2014)

<http://www.biotechindonesia.org/journal/jaib/jab-2009-01-02/jab-1-09-6.pdf>

< 1% match (Internet from 07-Nov-2018)

[http://jbcr.co.in/Current\\_Issue/Volume%2034%20%282%29%20Part%20B%20July%20to%20December%202017/18.%20MANUSCRIPT%20866-877.pdf](http://jbcr.co.in/Current_Issue/Volume%2034%20%282%29%20Part%20B%20July%20to%20December%202017/18.%20MANUSCRIPT%20866-877.pdf)

< 1% match (publications)

[Ren Yong Tang, Zhou Lin Wu, Guo Ze Wang, Wen Chao Liu. "The effect of on productive performance of laying hens ", Italian Journal of Animal Science, 2017](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Regina Patrícia de Souza Xavier, Ednardo Rodrigues Freitas, Nádia Melo Braz, Nadja Naiara Pereira Farias et al. "Limestone particle sizes and lighting regimens on egg and bone quality of laying hens", Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira, 2015](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 04-Dec-2019)

[https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/data/UQ\\_266604/UQ266604.pdf?Expires=1575521236&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJKNBJ4MJBNC6NLQ&Signature=heXKQ18WromDAQB-qa9vn-Zz69LbYKZoiFffx3ZFuIrri38KVCWl2nyc9H0WGENqYxr-p~2HKYdyu9Vm-XAs0ahnWcnJPvHlua8pBoGS7iouft50srmbziFFL1si41oN7ejh0jGezlBaEZDTHqCB5656y-3nwwRYTWOb5yf2UutnE7GpXeP2Hv5l~h~CjYW5VfcCq2-0wMapXT80spG7oFGjnH5SzmFhO75c0mkiiei02EvjLpQXpZ4wew0D~678Rv0HOVcO~-FebA25Sox9Y0-ImCvu6Lw-QDEn2UUvI-IfEYPtSIoIfsS5EMhLzN5NQZCSa4IikgSVTACGikp3A](https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/data/UQ_266604/UQ266604.pdf?Expires=1575521236&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJKNBJ4MJBNC6NLQ&Signature=heXKQ18WromDAQB-qa9vn-Zz69LbYKZoiFffx3ZFuIrri38KVCWl2nyc9H0WGENqYxr-p~2HKYdyu9Vm-XAs0ahnWcnJPvHlua8pBoGS7iouft50srmbziFFL1si41oN7ejh0jGezlBaEZDTHqCB5656y-3nwwRYTWOb5yf2UutnE7GpXeP2Hv5l~h~CjYW5VfcCq2-0wMapXT80spG7oFGjnH5SzmFhO75c0mkiiei02EvjLpQXpZ4wew0D~678Rv0HOVcO~-FebA25Sox9Y0-ImCvu6Lw-QDEn2UUvI-IfEYPtSIoIfsS5EMhLzN5NQZCSa4IikgSVTACGikp3A)

< 1% match (Internet from 26-Sep-2019)

<https://scialert.net/fulltext/?doi=ijs.2016.448.453>

< 1% match (Internet from 06-Oct-2015)

[http://www.ojafr.ir/main/attachments/article/91/Online%20J.%20Anim.%20Feed%20Res.,%202\(6\)%20457-460;%20B84.pdf](http://www.ojafr.ir/main/attachments/article/91/Online%20J.%20Anim.%20Feed%20Res.,%202(6)%20457-460;%20B84.pdf)

< 1% match (publications)

[DEATON, J. W., F. N. REECE, and B. D. LOTT. "Effect of Atmospheric Ammonia on Laying Hen Performance", Poultry Science, 1982.](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 20-Apr-2015)

[Submitted to Udayana University on 2015-04-20](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 16-Jan-2020)

[http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table\\_contents\\_detail/4/1111/html](http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table_contents_detail/4/1111/html)

< 1% match (Internet from 08-Dec-2019)

[https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/data/UQ\\_c658b87/s4216906\\_final\\_thesis.pdf?Expires=1575857007&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJKNBJ4MJBNC6NLQ&Signature=KizCOIUOV45JK9z0sW25HLPML0VVb0wZs8TtvBuWNp6b3BE3p8wm9vP7PMqEtMwVvSEAJzOdKXyScd~eqFiIU5xiPycb7ZJR6Cbj2Xh4I-rZhJX5PUcydG74B8CndcuqcKXiCaKFKas2pW2rcSwxXKUa9we9z8L7aTXCdB-qm5hDUuzFtOfIu009~qgLLZeITAwqzqEAS9sP8qZ3nrMtzQxCpRdLcTvHeiViKouINMSOMYSsmV3LAZqiYrot-R8EW32nwmppdazRp6szoxcKApJLcI1WOCDCzOxQ6qw0f7YNmlk3jikTqhVn6WWpXEdk~mSnTTSbiPE1jw5YqXI7wiA](https://espace.library.uq.edu.au/data/UQ_c658b87/s4216906_final_thesis.pdf?Expires=1575857007&Key-Pair-Id=APKAJKNBJ4MJBNC6NLQ&Signature=KizCOIUOV45JK9z0sW25HLPML0VVb0wZs8TtvBuWNp6b3BE3p8wm9vP7PMqEtMwVvSEAJzOdKXyScd~eqFiIU5xiPycb7ZJR6Cbj2Xh4I-rZhJX5PUcydG74B8CndcuqcKXiCaKFKas2pW2rcSwxXKUa9we9z8L7aTXCdB-qm5hDUuzFtOfIu009~qgLLZeITAwqzqEAS9sP8qZ3nrMtzQxCpRdLcTvHeiViKouINMSOMYSsmV3LAZqiYrot-R8EW32nwmppdazRp6szoxcKApJLcI1WOCDCzOxQ6qw0f7YNmlk3jikTqhVn6WWpXEdk~mSnTTSbiPE1jw5YqXI7wiA)

< 1% match (publications)

[OLOMU, J. M., and S. A. OFFIONG. "The Performance of Brown Egg-Type Layers Fed Different Protein and Energy Levels in the Tropics", Poultry Science, 1983.](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 13-Aug-2018)

[Submitted to Universitas Jenderal Soedirman on 2018-08-13](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 08-Jan-2020)

[http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table\\_contents\\_detail/4/1201/html](http://nexusacademicpublishers.com/table_contents_detail/4/1201/html)

< 1% match (Internet from 20-Nov-2017)

<http://www2.ufersa.edu.br/portal/view/uploads/setores/189/arquivos/World%20Poultry%20Congress%202012/wpc1%20oralAbstracts.pdf>

< 1% match (Internet from 20-Jun-2019)

[https://www.agriculturejournals.cz/web/cjas.htm?id=116\\_2018-CJAS&type=article](https://www.agriculturejournals.cz/web/cjas.htm?id=116_2018-CJAS&type=article)

< 1% match (publications)

[H S Iman Rahayu, Y Retnani, Kurniawati, Z Rizwantiara. "Substitution of commercial cation with cation containing bean sprout waste on production and physical quality of egg", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[WAG Araújo, LFT Albino, HS Rostagno, GBS Pessoa, SCS Cruz, GR Lelis, PRO Carneiro, RA Vieira. "Sunflower Meal and Supplementation of an Enzyme Complex in Layer Diets", Revista Brasileira de Ciência Avícola, 2015](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[J. B. Olson. "Lycopene Incorporation into Egg Yolk and Effects on Laying Hen Immune Function", Poultry Science, 12/01/2008](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Tang, Shirley Gee Hoon, Chin Chin Sieo, Ramasamy Kalavathy, Wan Zuhainis Saad, Su Ting Yong, Hee Kum Wong, and Yin Wan Ho. "Chemical Compositions of Egg Yolks and Egg Quality of Laying Hens Fed Prebiotic, Probiotic, and Synbiotic Diets : Chemical compositions and egg quality...", Journal of Food Science, 2015.](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 09-Jan-2012)

[Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2012-01-09](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 01-Aug-2018)

[Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro on 2018-08-01](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 02-Sep-2015)

[Submitted to Higher Education Commission Pakistan on 2015-09-02](#)

< 1% match (publications)

["Abstracts of Papers to be Presented at the 71st Annual Meeting of the Poultry Science Association, Inc.", Poultry Science, 1982.](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[C.E.V. Bonilla, A.P. Rosa, A. Londero, C.B.S. Giacomini, C. Orso, M.O. Fernandes, S.J. Paixão, D.V. Bonamigo. "Effect of broiler breeders fed with corn or sorghum diet and canthaxanthin supplementation on production and reproductive performance", Poultry Science, 2017](#)

< 1% match (student papers from 10-May-2019)

[Submitted to University of the Philippines Los Banos on 2019-05-10](#)

Research Article Effects of the Substitution of Corn with Sorghum and the Addition of Indigofera Leaf Flour on the Performance of Laying Hens Riesi Sriagtula, Ade Djulardi, Ahadiyah Yuniza, Wizna\*, [Zurmiati Department of Animal Feed and Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, Andalas University,](#)

[Padang 25163,](#) Indo- nesia. [Abstract](#) | Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) is an alternative feed ingredient that is often used to replace corn

in poultry feed, especially in the tropics. [This study aims to](#) evaluate [the](#)

[substitution of](#) corn [with](#) sorghum, with the addition of Indigofera

leaf flour, [on the performance of laying hens.](#) A [completely randomized](#)

study [design was used in this](#) research [with](#) four [treatments:](#)

A. 26% commercial concentrate + 40% corn + 0% sorghum + 0% Indigofera; B. 23% commercial concentrate + 20% corn + 20% sorghum + 4% Indigofera; C. 22% commercial concentrate + 10% corn + 30% sorghum + 5% Indigofera; and D. 21% commercial concentrate + 0% corn + 40% sorghum + 6% Indigo- fera. Each treatment was repeated fivetimes. All the bird received Waretha probiotic in drinking water at a dose of 43x10<sup>12</sup> CFU/mL. Feed consumption, egg mass, feed conversion, hen-day

production, [and egg weight](#) were measured. [The results showed that](#)

[the](#) substitution [of](#) corn with sorghum with the addition of Indigofera leaf flour did not pro- duce [significant differences \(P>0.05\) in feed](#)

[consumption](#), egg period, feed conversion, hen-day production, and egg weight. In conclusion, the substitution of corn with 40% sorghum with the addition of 6% Indigofera leaf flour and the provision of Waretha probiotics in each treatment with doses as high as 43x10<sup>12</sup> CFU/mL did not interfere with the performance of laying hens. Keywords | Laying hens, Indigofera, Production performance, Waretha probiotic, Sorghum Received | February

13, [2019; Accepted | June 10, 2019; Published](#) | September 15, [2019](#)

[\\*Correspondence](#) | Wizna, [Department of Animal Feed and Technology, Faculty of Animal Science, Andalas University, Padang 25163, Indonesia;](#)

[Email:](#) wiz- nazhari57@yahoo.co.id Citation | Sriagtula R, Djulardi A, Yuniza A, Wizna, Zurmiati (2019). Effects of the substitution of corn with sorghum and the addition of indigofera leaf flour [on the performance of](#)

[laying hens.](#) [Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.](#) 7( 10): 829-834. [DOI |](#)

<http://dx.doi.org/10.17582/journal.aavs/2019/7>. 10.829.834 [ISSN \(Online\) | 2307-8316; ISSN \(Print\) | 2309-3331 Copyright © 2019](#)

Wizna [et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is](#)

[properly cited.](#) **INTRODUCTION** Laying hen farms have been very dependent on imports of cereal feed ingredients as a source of protein and energy, including corn kernels. The structure of animal produce better nutritional production yields per area and sorghum prices are lower than that of corn (Legiro et al., 2009). Sorghum plants can produce seeds reaching 3-6 tons/ha (Supriyanto, 2015), while the national average corn production is still low at approximately 4.23 tons/ha feed production costs is dominated by raw materials in (Swastika et al., 2011). In terms of nutritional composition, the form of corn which comprises 51.4% of the costs. Sorghum contains metabolic energy; however, the problem of price and availability of corn as outlined above digestibility of sorghum is 5% lower than corn, but sorghum has encouraged much research on alternative feed ingredients has a higher crude protein content (NRC, 1994). Another alternative for poultry feed. The efforts to replace corn with other consideration of including sorghum in laying rations is the grains have not been successful, so corn remains the main presence of tannins. Sorghum contains antinutrient compounds raw material for feed in the world (Kasryno et al., 2008). compounds, such as tannins and phytic acid (Suarni and Singh- Among alternative ingredients are sorghum (Sorghum bicolour L. Moench), which [presents adequate](#)

[nutritional characteristics and is often used to replace corn,](#) especially Tannins can form carbohydrates and complex proteins to [in semi-arid](#)

regions [and](#) the tropics [where sorghum](#) plants reduce

[digestibility and](#) ration [palatability \(Rostagno et al., 2005\).](#) However,

[the development of low tannin sorghum varieties has](#) made it possible

to increase the percentage of sorghum contained in non-Ruminant animal rations, including that ratio present in the feed of laying hens (Moreno et al., 2007). Sorghum plants have the specialized ability to grow back after being cut or harvested called ratoon. Ratoon is the ability to grow back after pruning the rootstock in one crop. After harvest, new shoots will grow from the stem in the soil. However, sorghum also has a lower carotenoid pigment content (xanthofil and carotenoid), which is responsible for yolk pigmentation. Until now, [the low level of carotenoid pigments in sorghum](#)

was [the main](#) limiting factor in the ration of laying hens. Sorghum contained low the  $\beta$ -carotene (Awika and Rooney, 2004). So that this must be compensated for with other carotene sources such as leaf tops of Indigofera. Indigofera (Indigofera sp.) is a type of legume plant that has been studied in the last 10 years. Indigofera that was cut at the age of 60 days with a cut height of 1.0 m can yield 31.2 tons/ha/year, which is the highest production value compared to yields provided by cutting older or younger plants (Tarigan et al., 2010). The nutritional [composition of](#)

[Indigofera sp.](#) was [27.97% crude protein, 15.25% crude fiber,](#)

[0.22% calcium,](#) and [0.18%](#) phosphorus [and](#) samples contained 507.6 mg/kg of xanthofil and carotenoids (Akbarillah et al., 2002).

The leaves of legumes [can be relied upon as a good](#) carotenoid [source](#)

in the ration [of](#) laying hens. Laying hens cannot synthesize

[pigments but have the](#) ability [to transport](#) the pigment [to the yolk](#)

of [the](#) rations consumed. The color or pigment found in [egg yolk](#)

[is](#) strongly [influenced by the type of](#) pigment contained in the rations consumed (Winarno, 2002). Therefore, the carotenoid profile in the yolk reflects the carotenoid profile in the ration [fiber by 33% and increase](#)

[crude protein by 42% \(Wizna et al., 2008\).](#) [Based on the](#)

information provided [above,](#) a [study](#) was conducted [to](#)

measure [the effect of](#) substitution [of](#) corn with sorghum and the addition of Indigofera [on the performance of laying hens. MATERIALS](#)

[AND METHODS](#) Bird, Feed and [Laying Hens](#) As many as 200 birds of the ISA Brown strain were used. The birds were 30 weeks old with an average initial weight of  $1625 \pm 44.71$  g. Each replicate consisted of 10 laying hens. The ration used in this study consisted of commercial rations, corn, sorghum, Indigofera leaf flour, palm oil, and premix. At the beginning of the study, each treatment was given Warethia probiotic (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens) at a dose of  $4.3 \times 10^{12}$  CFU/mL, and administration was done through drinking water. The [nutrient \(%\) and metabolic energy](#)

[\(kcal/kg\) contents of the](#) laying hen [feed are shown in Table 1.](#)

Research Procedure The preparation stages of the cage included the

following steps: 1. preparing the feed and drinking containers; 2. cleaning the cages using water, a brush and soap; 3. white- washing the walls, cages, and cage floors; 4. spraying the cages with disinfectant; 5. placing the

chickens in their cages. Feeding trial phase: Feed and drinking water

were given ad libitum. Routine maintenance was carried out for 4 weeks, and in the 4th week, the eggs were collected. After that, the eggs were brought to the examination room for data collection. (Karadas et al., 2006). Experimental Design A completely randomized study design was used

in this Efforts to achieve ration efficiency require several ways so study with four treatments: A. 26% commercial concen- that the protein used can be digested optimally and gives trate + 40% corn + 0% sorghum + 0% Indigofera; B. 23% an influence on productivity, one of which is by adding commercial concentrate + 20% corn + 20% sorghum + 4% probiotics to drinking water. One of the probiotics that Indigofera; C. 22% commercial concentrate + 10% corn + can be used is Waretha probiotics, Waretha Probiotics 30% sorghum + 5% Indigofera; and D. 21% commercial contain Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens concentrate + 0% corn + 40% sorghum + 6% Indigofera. have been used as probiotics for poultry (Zurmiati et al., Each treatment was repeated five times. 2017a; Zurmiati et al., 2017c; Tang et al., 2017). B. amy- loliquefaciens produces

enzymes:  $\alpha$ -amylase,  $\alpha$ -acetolactate, Parameters Measured

decarboxylase,  $\beta$ -glucanase, hemicellulase, maltogenic Feed

consumption g /bird/day, egg mass g/bird/day, feed amylase, urease, protease, xylanase (Luizmera, 2005), conversion, hen-day production (%), and egg weight (g/ phytase (Shim et al., 2012), lipase (Selvamohan et al., egg) were measured. 2012) and mannanase (Zurmiati et al., 2017b). Probiotic can improve the efficiency of laying hens by the decrease of feed ratio (Kumari et al., 2010). In addition to being Statistical Analysis All data

were analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) a probiotic, the Bacillus amyloliquefaciens contained in the using a general linear model procedure on

SPSS software Waretha probiotic has also been used as a fermentation

version 16.0. Duncan's multiple range test was used for inoculum.

Fermentation of sago pith and rumen content determination of differences between treatment means mixture by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens is able to reduce crude (Steeland Torrie, 1980). Table 1: Composition,

nutrient content and metabolic energy of the feed of laying hens

feed Feed materials (%) Composition of the treatment material (%) A B C D Commercial concentrate Corn Sorghum seeds Indigofera leaf flour Palm oil Mineral B12 Bran Total Energy metabolism (kcal/kg) Crude protein Crude

fat Crude fiber Calcium Phosphorus Waretha probiotic 26.00 40.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 1.00 33.00 100 2653.1 17.65 3.7913 6.7315 3.2785 0.5825



(43x1012 23.00 20.00 20.00 4.00 1.00 1.00 31.00 100 2692.6 16.952  
 4.2718 6.888 3.2405 0.594 (43x1012 CF U/ 22.00 10.00 30.00 5.00 1.00  
 1.00 31.00 100 2678.1 17.104 4.4628 6.5695 3.2075 0.614 (43x1012 CF U/  
 21.00 0.00 40.00 6.00 2.00 1.00 30.00 100 2659.3 17.146 4.5909 6.126  
 3.1735 0.632 (43x1012 CF U/mL) CF U/mL) mL) mL) Table 2: Average feed  
 consumption, egg mass, feed conversion, hen-day production and [egg](#)

[weight of laying hens](#) treated with [different experimental diets](#)

Treatment Feed consumption Egg mass g/birds/ Feed conver- Hen-day  
 production Egg weight (g/birds/day) day sion (%) (g/egg) A 121.85 48.82  
 2.51 83.23 63.84 B 121.90 50.02 2.44 82.80 63.11 C 121.84 50.65 2.42  
 84.16 62.32 D 121.45 49.31 2.48 84.56 62.39 SE 0.22 1.87 0.14 1.33  
 0.0089 Data are [presented as the mean of 5 biological replicates.](#)

Parameter values [in the same column](#) showed [no significant](#)  
[differences \(P>0.05\).](#) RESULTS Substitution [of](#) corn with sorghum  
 and the addition of In- digofera leaf flour [on the performance of laying hens.](#)

The effect [of](#) the substitution [of](#) corn with sorghum and the  
 addition of Indigofera on the feed consumption, egg mass, feed conversion,  
 hen-day [production, and egg weight](#) of [laying hens](#) is [shown in](#)

[Table 2. At the end of the study, it](#) was [found that](#) the use of 40%  
 sorghum added with 6% Indigofera in the ration was able to replace corn by  
 100% and did not affect ([P>0.05](#)) the performance [of laying hens.](#)

DISCUSSION [The](#) effect [of](#) the substitution of corn with  
 sorghum and the addition of Indigofera on the feed consumption, egg mass,  
 feed conversion, hen-day [production, and egg weight](#) of [laying hens](#)  
 is [shown in Table 2. At the end of the study, it](#) was [found that](#)

the use of 40% sorghum added with 6% Indigofera in the ration was  
 able to replace corn by 100% and did not affect ([P>0.05](#)) the performance of  
 laying hens. This is due to the availability of food substances [from the](#)

rations [consumed by the laying hens](#) in each of [the](#)

treatments. Nutritional composition analysis found that sorghum  
 contains metabolic energy, and the digestibility of sorghum is 5% lower than  
 that of corn, but sorghum has a higher crude protein content (NRC, 1994).  
 In ad- dition, Indigofera contains high levels of protein and the nutritional

[composition of Indigofera sp.](#) is [27.97% crude protein, 15.25% crude](#)

[fiber,](#) 0.22% [calcium,](#) and [0.18%](#) phosphorus; in addition,  
 sorghum contains 507.6 mg/kg of xantofil and carotenoids (Akbarillah [et al.,](#)

2002). [The results of this study](#) indicate that [the](#) substitution [of](#) corn with sorghum and Indigofera in addition to Bacillus amyloliquefaciens did not interfere with [the feed consumption of laying](#)

chickens. [This](#) conclusion [is](#) supported by [the](#) fact that the nutrient content found in sorghum and Indigofera is able to match the contents of corn, and these nutrients function as an energy source. In addition, the addition of Waretha probiotics that are able to produce various types of enzymes aids in the digestion process in the digestive tract of laying hens so that feed is easier to digest. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens produces the enzymes  [\$\alpha\$ -amylase,  \$\alpha\$ -acetolactate, decarboxylase,  \$\beta\$ -glucanase,](#)

hemicellulase, [maltogenic amylase, urease, protease, xylanase](#)

(Luizmera, 2005), [phytase](#) ([Shim et al., 2012](#)), lipase

(Selvamohan [et al., 2012](#)) and mannanase ([Zurmiati et al.,](#)

2017b). The [treatments had no significant effect \(P>0.05\) on egg](#)

mass. This is because there is [no significant effect on hen- day](#)

[production](#) and [egg weight. Egg](#) mass is strongly influenced by

[hen-day production and egg weight. The egg mass value](#) is

determined [by the](#) percentage [of egg](#) production, [hen-day](#)

[production and](#) the [egg weight](#) itself (Kartasudjana, 2006), The egg value depends on the percentage of [hen-day production and egg weight.](#)

If the egg mass increases, the egg production increases, whereas if the egg mass decreases, the egg production also decreases (Amrullah, 2003). The substitution of corn with sorghum by up to 40% and 6% Indigofera addition did not cause a decrease in the of the produced egg weight. The quality of nutrients, especially proteins from Indigofera and the energy

content of sorghum can replace corn energy [in the ration. The](#) nutri-

tional [content](#) of [the ration,](#) especially [the](#) energy sources and protein, can affect the feed conversion value (Lokapirnasari et al., 2011).

Factors influencing feed conversion are livestock genetics, age, egg production, energy content in rations, body weight, nutrient content in the feed, air temperature, and ration palatability (Campbell et al., 2009). In general, feed conversion is the amount of feed given to produce a certain amount of product (Lokapirnasari et al., 2011). The average of feed conversion of 34-week-old laying hens in this study ranged from 2.51 to

2.48. [The results of this study are](#) almost [the same as those reported](#)

[by](#) Fenita [et al. \(2010\)](#) where the average feed conversion in the



32-44 weeks of [laying hens ranged from 2.46 to 2.55](#). [The](#)

[treatments had no significant effect \( \$P>0.05\$ \) on](#) hen-day production of laying hens. This finding is due to the equal availability of nutrients in the rations of each treatment so that there was no significant effect on feed consumption, as feed consumption greatly influences hen-day production. The consumed energy and protein in rations is used for maintenance, growth, feather production, and egg production (Bell and Weaver, 2002). Energy and protein consumption that does not meet the standards is a factor that causes low hen-day production. The protein consumed in rations is broken down into amino acids, absorbed by October 2019 | Volume 7 | Issue 10 | Page 832 the body and arranged into tissue proteins and eggs (Sul-toni et al., 2006). The availability of protein rations is very influential on hen-day production because essential amino acid deficiencies can have an impact on the efficient use of protein for tissue formation and cause decreased egg production. The average hen-day production of laying hens of the ISA Brown strain in this study ranged from 83.23 to 84.56%. [The results of this study](#)

[are similar to those reported by](#) Setiawati [et al.](#) (2016), where the average hen-day production of laying hens of the ISA Brown strain ranged from 86.10 to 89.20%. In Table 2, the egg weight of laying hens ranges from 63.84 to 62.39 g/egg. This is due to the level of feed consumption remaining constant across treatments. As a result, the nutrients received by chickens such as protein, crude fiber, fat, minerals, vitamins, and other nutrients were relatively the same, so the egg weights produced among the treatments were similar. Feed consumption is one of the most important factors affecting egg weight (Saputra et al., 2016). The most important dietary factor that is known to affect the size of eggs is adequate protein and amino acids in the ration. Proteins and amino acids (especially methionine) are food substances that have the greatest role in controlling egg size, in addition to genetic factors and poultry body size (Leeson and Summers, 2005). The average egg weights of the laying hens in treatment A, B, C, and D were 63.84, 63.11, 62.32, and 62.39 g/egg, respectively. The results of

the [study were higher than those reported by](#) Pu-lupi [et al.](#)

(2014), where the average weight eggs of laying hens ranged from 53.95 to 55.99 g/egg. **CONCLUSION** The substitution of corn with 40% sorghum, the addition of 6% Indigofera leaf flour, and the provision of Waretha probiotics with treatments as high as  $43 \times 10^{12}$  CFU/mL did not interfere with the performance of laying hens. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** This study was supported by Non-Tax State Revenue funds from Andalas University (002GBI/UN16.6/PPM/PNBP/ Faterna/2018, May 26, 2018). The authors are very grateful to Andalas University, which allowed us to conduct this study. [Conflict of interest There is no conflict of interest. authors](#)

[contribution](#) Riesi Sriagtula [carried out](#) research [and](#) helped in making decisions. Ade Djulardi and Ahadiyah Yuniza provided input and criticism for article improvement, Wizna is the corresponding Author, and research organizer and Zurmiati helped with literature studies, data analysis, and helped with correction revisions of Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences. **REFERENCES** • Akbarillah T, Sutriyono DA, Hidayat (2002). Growth characteristics of Indigofera arrecta under different shading level.

Proceedings: The 3rd International Seminar on Tropical Animal Production 15-16 October 2002, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta, P: 43-49. • Amrullah IK (2003). Nutrition of Laying Hens. Third Print. Lembaga Satu. Gunung budi, Bogor. • Awika JM, Rooney LW (2004). Review: Sorghum phytochemical and their potential impact on human health. J. Phytochem. 65: 1199 – 1221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytochem.2004.04.001> • Bell DD, Weaver WD (2002). Commercial Chicken Production Meat and Egg. 5th ed. Massachusetts (US): Kluwer Academic. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0811-3> • Campbell JR, Kenealy MD, Campbell KL (2009). Animal Science: The Biology Care and Production of Domestic Animals. Ed: 4th. New York (US): McGraw-Hill. • Fenita Y, Santosa U, Prakoso H (2010). Effect of supplementation of amino lysine acid, methionine, tryptophan in a diet based on fermented palm mud on production performance and quality of egg Chickens. J. Saint Peternakan Indonesia. 5 (2): 105-114. <https://doi.org/10.31186/jspi.id.5.2.105-114> • Karadas F, Grammendis E, Surai PF (2006). Effects of carotenoids from lucerne, marigold and tomato on egg yolk pigmentation and carotenoid composition. Br. Poult. Sci. 47: 561-566. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071660600962976> • Kartasudjana R (2006). Poultry Management. Penebar Swadaya. Jakarta. • Kasryno F, Pasandaran E, Fagi AM (2008). Indonesian corn economy. Jakarta: Research agency and agriculture development. Deptan. p.37-72. • Kumari M, Wadhwa D, Sharma VK, Sharma KS, Katoch BS (2010). Dietary effect of combination of some probiotic microorganisms on productive performance of layer chickens fed up to the starter phase. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 80 (12): 1230-34. • Leeson S, Summers JD (2005). Commercial Poultry Nutrition. 3rd Edn., University Books, Guelph, Ontario, Canada, ISBN- 13: 9780969560050, Pages: 398. • Legiro EC, Junqueira OM, Filardi RDS, Laurentiz ACD, Duarte KF, Marchizeli DCA (2009). Avaliação da matriz nutricional da enzima fiase em rações contendo sorgo para poedeiras comerciais. Rev/ Brasil. Zoot. 38 (10):1948 – 1955. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-35982009001000013> • Lokapirnasari WP, Soewarno, Dhamayanti Y (2011). The potential of spirulina crude on protein efficiency ratio in laying hens. J. Ilmiah Kedokteran Hewan.2: 5-8. • Luizmera (2005). Enzimas 2005USD Recomendar Esta Pagina, Luizmera.Com/enzimas.htm. • Moreno JO, Espindola GB, Santos MSV, Freitas ER, Gadelha AC, Da Silva FMC (2007). Desempenho equal- idade dos ovos de poedeiras comerciais, alimentadas com dietas contendo sorgo e paprica em substitui cao ao milho. Acta Scient. Anim. Sci. 29:159-163. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actascianimsci.v29i2.220> • National Research Council [NRC] (1994). Nutrient Requirement of Poultry 9th revised edition. Washington DC (US). National Academy Pr. • Pulupi R, Abdullah L, Astuti DA, Sumiati (2014). Potential and Utilization of Top-Flour Indigofera sp. as Feed Material for Soybean Meal Substitution in Laying Rations. JITV. 19(3):210-219. <https://doi.org/10.14334/jitv.v19i3.1084> • Rostagno HS, Albino LFT, Donzele JL, Gomes PC, Oliveira RFD, Lopes DC, Ferreira AS Barreto SLDT (2005). Tabelas brasileiras para aves e suínos: composição de alimentos e exigências nutricionais. Viçosa, MG: UFV departamento de Zootchnia, 186p. • Saputra DRT, Kurtini, Erwanto (2016). Effect of addition feed aditif in ration with different doses on egg weight and haugh unit value of egg of laying hens. J. Ilmiah Peternakan Terpadu. 4 (3): 230-236. • Selvamohan T, Ramadas V, Sathya TA (2012). Optimization of lipase enzyme activity roduced by Bacillus amyloliquefaciens isolated from rock lobster panulirus homarus. Modern Eng. Res. (IJMER). 2: 4231-4234. • Setiawati T, Afnan R, Palupi N (2016). Productive performance and egg quality of layerin litter and cage system with different temperatures. J. Ilmu Produksi dan Teknologi

Hasil Peternakan. 04 (1): 197-203. <https://doi.org/10.29244/4.1.197-203> • Shim JH, Oh BC (2012). Characterization and application of calcium-dependent  $\beta$ -propeller phytase from *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* ds11. J. Agric. Food Chem. 60: 7532–7537. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3022942> • Steel RGD, Torrie JH (1980). Principles and Procedures Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. 2nd Edn., McGraw Hill Book Co. New York. • Suarni, Singgih S (2002). Characteristics of physical properties and chemical composition of several varieties of sorghum seeds. Stigma 10 (2): 127 - 130. • Sultoni A, Malik A, Widodo W (2006). The effect of use of manufacturing concentrates various on optimization of feed consumption, hen day production and feed conversion. J. Protein. 14 (2): 103-107. • Supriyanto (2015). Opportunities for mutation technology applications for the development of forestry plants: learning from agricultural crops. Makalah Seminar Nasional XVIII Masyarakat Peneliti Kayu Indonesia (MAPEKI), Bandung 4-5 November. • Swastika DKS, Agustian A, Sudaryanto T (2011). Bidding analysis and demand for corn feed with approach to production center synchronization. Factory feed and livestock population in Indonesia. Informat. Pertanian. 20 (2): 65 - 75. • Tang RY, Wu ZL, Wang GZ, Liu WC (2017). The effect of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on productive performance of laying hens. Italian J. Anim. Sci. 17 (2): 436-441. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2017.1394169> • Tarigan A., Abdullah, LSP, Ginting, Permana IG (2010). Production and nutrient composition and in vitro digestibility of indigofera sp at different cutting intervals and height. JITV. 15:188-195. • Winarno FG (2002). Eggs: Composition, Handling and Processing. M-Brio Press, Bogor. • Wizna, Abbas H, Rizal Y, Dharma A, Kompiang IP (2008). Improving the quality of sago pith and rumen content mixture as poultry feed through fermentation by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*. Pakistan J. Nutrit. 7: 249-254. <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjn.2008.249.254> • Zurmiati, Wizna, Abbas H, Mahata ME (2017b). Production of extracellular  $\beta$ -mannanase by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on a coconut waste substrate. Pak. J. Nutrit. 16: 700-707. <https://doi.org/10.3923/pjn.2017.700.707> • Zurmiati, Wizna, Abbas H, Mahata ME, Fauzano R (2017a). Effect of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* as a probiotic on growth performance parameters of pitalah ducks. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 16: 147-153. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2017.147.153> • Zurmiati, Wizna, Abbas H, Mahata ME (2017c). Effect of the Balance of Energy and Protein in Rations Given to Pitalah Ducks along with the Probiotic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* on the Live Weight, Percentage of Carcass, Percentage of Abdominal Fat and Income Over Feed Cost. Int. J. Poult. Sci. 16: 500-505. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2017.500.505> [Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences](#)

[Advances in Animal and Veterinary Sciences](#)

Advances in Animal and

Veterinary Sciences October [2019 | Volume 7 | Issue](#) 10 | [Page](#)

829 [NE US](#) October [2019 | Volume 7 | Issue](#) 10 | [Page](#)

830 [NE US](#) October [2019 | Volume 7 | Issue](#) 10 | [Page](#)

831 [NE US](#) NE US October 2019 | Volume 7 | Issue 10 | Page 833  
NE US October 2019 | Volume 7 | Issue 10 | Page 834 NE US