vitamin D deficiency status

by Nur Indrawati Lipoeto25

Submission date: 10-Aug-2021 03:53PM (UTC+0800) Submission ID: 1629861197 File name: vitamin_D_deficiency_status.pdf (640.22K) Word count: 8194 Character count: 41810 Aji et al. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth (2019) 19:183 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-019-2341-4

RESEARCH ARTICLE

BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth

Open Access

Vitamin D deficiency status and its related risk factors during early pregnancy: a crosssectional study of pregnant Minangkabau women, Indonesia

Arif Sabta Aji¹^{*}0, E. Erwinda², Y. Yusrawati³, Safarina G. Malik⁴ and Nur Indrawaty Lipoeto⁵

Abstract

Background: Vitamin D deficiency (VDD) is a common program in reproductive-aged women and has become a major public health problem worldwide. The effect of VDD in pregnancy has been associated with several adverse pregnancy outcomes. This study aims to assess the serum levels of 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) in the first trimester and its associated factors (socionemographics, pregnancy profiles, dietary intake, and maternal anthropometry measurements) for the determination of vitamin D deficiency status in early pregnancy.

Methods: A cross-sectional study of 239 pregnant mothers in West Sumatra, Indonesia was conducted. We measured lifestyle, socio-demographics and pregnancy profile through a structured questionnaire and interview process. A semi quantitative-food frequency questionnaire (SQ-FFQ) was used to analyse the dietary intake of the pregnant women. Serum 25(OH)D concentrations were measured at < 13 weeks gestation using ELISA and logistic regression models were employed to identify the predictors of low vitamin D status.

Results: The prevalence of first-trimester maternal VDD and sufficiency were 82.8 and 17.2% respectively. The median 25(OH)D was 13.15 ng/mL (3.00–49.29 ng/mL). The significant independent predictors were no working status (OR: 0.029; 0.001–0.708) (p = 0.030); nulliparous parity status (OR: 7.634;1.550–37.608) (p = 0.012); length of outdoor activity status of less than an hour (OR: 9.659;1.883–49.550) (p = 0.007); and no consumption of supplements before pregnancy (OR: 4.49; 1.081–18.563) (p = 0.039).

Conclusions: The prevalence of VDD is common in early pregnancy among Minangkabau women. Recommendations and policies to detect and prevent such insufficiency during pregnancy should be developed by considering the associated factors.

Keywords: Vitamin D, Vitamin D deficiency, Risk factors, Early pregnancy, Minangkabau women, West Sumatra

Background

Indonesia is a tropical country with abundant sun exposure, as it lies within the equatorial zone. However, low vitamin D status is still found in such countries Several studies on vitamin D status in pregnant women and women of childbearing age have been conducted and have shown that an average of more than 95% of individuals have low vitamin D status [1-3].

* Correspondence: sabtaaji@gmail.com Postgraduate Biomedical Science Department, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra 25172, Indonesia Full list of author information is available at the end of the article Vitamin D and parathyroid hormone are important in calcium homeostasis and bone mineralisation [4]. The main source of vitamin D is obtained by direct synthesis from sunlight to the skin and stimulation of pre-vitamin D_3 development. Moreover, intake from diet and supplements will be synthesised as vitamin D_2 . However, dietary intake only provides 10% of vitamin D requirements as very few foods contain a high amount of vitamin D, so vitamin D supplements could be considered as a way of boosting such requirements [5]. Vitamin D_2 and D_3 are sequentially converted in the liver and kidneys into 25-hydroxyvitamin D, which is a major circulating form and is used to determine



The Author(s). 2019 Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 40 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bg/4.B/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original authors) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Icense, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver lithtp://creativecommons.org/icenses/bg/4.B/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original authors) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons Icense, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver lithtp://creativecommons.org/icenses/bg/4.B/), applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated

Page 2 of 10

vitamin D status, and 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D, the biologically active form respectively [6].

Data collection

Vitamin D action during pregnancy is vital for foetal growth and development as it increases the calcium requirement to develop foetal bone growth. It also plays a role in helping the absorption of calcium in the digestive tract. Limited exposure to sunlight and food intake during pregnancy may cause insufficient body deposits in the foetus and mother. These factors affect the development and mineralisation of bone in the foetus and can have an adverse effect on the pregnancy outcomes. Several studies have reported the relationship between maternal VDD and adverse maternal and foetal outcomes, including gestational diabetes, pre-eclampsia, preterm labour, low birth weight, and caesarean section [7–10].

Personal lifestyle and cultural factors are important determinants for vitamin availability because of their influence on sun exposure and dietary intake [11, 12]. Determination of vitamin D status in the first trimester provides an opportunity to detect early vitamin status and may help reduce pregnancy complications. The aim of this study is to assess serum 25(OH)D levels during early pregnancy and to determine the factors associated with low vitamin D status.

Methods

Study population

This was a cross-sectional study of maternal vitamin D status during early pregnancy in West Sumatra, Indonesia. The research location was divided into two different parts; urban/rural areas and mountainous/coastal areas. The study was conducted in five different cities between July and September 2017, the locations selected on the basis that they have the highest pregnancy rates for public health centres in the sub-districts of each district in West Sumatra. Pregnant women who visited a health care centre in each location were recruited with a total number of 239 women taking part. The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) having received a pregnancy examination at a health centre in one of the research locations; 2) being in the first trimester of pregnancy (<13 weeks); 3) being healthy as confirmed by a doctor (with no infections or history of communicable disease); and 4) being willing to comply with the study procedures by signing an informed consent form. The exclusion criteria comprised: 1) twin pregnancy; 2) having suffered or presently having a chronic disease history (e.g. diabetes, hypertension or heart attack); 3) anemia; 4) women routinely taking calcium supplements; 5) pregnant women taking medicine which would affect their vitamin D metabolism, such as antiepileptic agents, glucocorticoids, anti-estrogens or antiretroviral drugs; and 6) hypothyroidism. The selected subjects were interviewed and had a blood sample taken to measure their biochemical serum 25(OH)D level.

The data collected included the subject's characteristics, anthropometric data, socio-economic status, blood pressure and dietary intake. Characteristic which included drug consumption history, maternal age, gravidity, parity, socioeconomic status, medical health history and lifestyle, were collected from personal interviews on enrolment on in the study. Anthropometric data, including weight, height, and the mid upper arm circumferences of the pregnant women, were measured by a midwife and trained enumerators. Body weight was measured to the nearest 100 g using an electronic scale (Seca 803, Seca GmbH. Co. kg, Hamburg, Germany) and height was measured to the nearest millimetre using a stadiometer (OneMed-Medicom stature meter, YF.05.05.V.A.1022, Jakarta, Indonesia) and mid-upper arm circumference was measured by using a meter line and rounded up to the nearest 0.1 cm (Medline-OneMed Medicom, Jakarta, Indonesia) in the more commonly used hand. Pre-pregnancy BMI was calculated by recalling the women's pre-pregnancy weight by monitoring their longitudinal maternal and child book (KIA), classified according to World Health Organization guidelines for Asian populations (underweight, <18.5 kg/m²; normal, 18.5-23.49 kg/m²; overweight, 23.5-24.99 g/m²; pre-obese, 25-29.99 kg/m²; obese, $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$) [13]. Gestational age was determined by the date of the last menstrual period and confirmed by ultrasound reports in the first trimester.

A lifestyle questionnaire was given to the participants, including questions about the duration of sun exposure, working status, physical activity, and sunscreen use. Sun exposure was calculated as an index of the hours per week the pregnant women spent outdoors exposed to sunlight, either during their leisure or working time. We then divided the exposure into two groups (≤ 1 h = inadequate or > 1 h = adequate). A midwife took systolic and diastolic blood pressure by three measurements before taking a blood sampling using an aneroid sphygmomanometer (OneMed-Medicom, Jakarta, Indonesia). Physical activity during the first trimester of pregnancy was measured using "The Global Physical Activity Questionnaire" (GPAQ), developed by the World Health Organization (WHO) [14]. The WHO STEPwise method was used to calculate physical activity and was expressed as Metabolic Equivalent minutes per day (METmins/day). The participants were classified as having "high activity" if they accumulated ≥3000 METmins/week, "moderate activity" if 3000 > MET≥600 or "low activity" if < 600 METmins/week.

Dietary assessment

Dietary data were obtained from a validated semi quantitative food frequency questionnaire (SQ-FFQ) developed by Lipoeto (2004) [15]. Mean energy and nutrient intakes were calculated and compared with the Recommended

Dietary Allowance (RDA) for pregnant women [16]. The SQ-FFQ was adapted to Minangkabau food habits; Minangkabau is an ethnic indigenous group in West Sumatra, Indonesia. The validated SQ-FFQ listed food fortified with vitamin D, natural food rich in vitamin D, and dietary supplements. More than 223 general food items containing potential sources of vitamin D in West Sumatra were included in the SQ-FFQ food list. Daily energy and nutritional intakes were calculated and compared with pregnant women RDA [14]. Based on calcium and vitamin D intake, the women were divided into two groups; those with an inadequate intake (<15 µg/ day or < 600 IU/day) and adequate intake (≥15 µg/day or $\geq 600 \, \mu g/dav$) of vitamin D. Furthermore, the participants were grouped based on those with an adequate intake (≥1300 mg/day) and inadequate intake (<1300 mg/day) of calcium.

Measurement of serum 25(OH)D

All the first trimester pregnant women enrolled in the study had blood samples taken from their antecubital vein. Non-fasting maternal blood samples were collected and banked by phlebotomists at the Biomedical Laboratory, Andalas University. 232 samples from the 239 participants were taken directly in public health centres in each research location. Subsequently, the samples were directly transferred and stored in the biomedical laboratory at the Faculty of Medicine, And as University, for serum 25(OH)D level assay. The serum samples were separated by centrifugation at 3500 rpm at 4°C for 10 min, then stored in aliquots at -80 °C. The serum 25(OH)D test was assessed using ELISA from Diagnostic Biochemistry Canada (DBC 25-Hydroxyvitamin D (DBC, London, Ontario Canada). The inter-assay and intra-assay coefficients of variation of total serum 25(OH)D level were 5.0 and 8.10% at 21.87 ng/mL, and 2.4 and 9.9% at 45.01 ng/mL, respectively. There is no consensus on the optimal vitamin D level. In this study, vitamin D status mas determined by 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D) levels. We used the cut-off points suggested by the Institute of Medicia and vitamin D levels were categorised as sufficient (25(OH)D \ge 20 ng/mL), insufficient (25(OH)D = 12-19 ng/mL), or deficient (25(OH)D < 12 ng/mL) [15]. 25(OH)D levels were the best marker for identifying vitamin D status and the major circulatingform of vitamin D.

Statistical analyses

Data were presented as the mean levels of continuous variables as a mean ± SD (range), and numbers and percentages were used for the binary logistic of the binary and categorical data. The unit of measurement of vitamin D concentrations was standardised to the S.I. unit, ng/mL for 25-hydroxyvitamin D. Data analysis was performed to identify any differences in the data relating Page 3 of 10

to baseline characteristics and dietary intake between the two groups. Furthermore, analysis of associated factors (socio-demographics, pregnancy profile, lifestyle, anthropometry and dietary intake) as predictors of VDD status was made using logistic regression.

The categorical data were analysed by a chi-squared test, and a student's t test was used to compare the vitamin D serum levels of the two groups. Logistic regression models were used to estimate the OR's of the dependent variable (vitamin D status) and the independent effects of known risk factors (e.g. lifestyle, dietary intake, socio-demographics, and pregnancy profile (data indicator for maternal health history, such as parity status, gestational age, maternal anthropometry, blood pressure and adverse pregnancy outcome)). All the data were managed and analysed descriptively using IBM SPSS, version 20.0, and presented as tables and Figs. A significance level of a p value of less than 0.05 with Odd Ratio (OR) and 95% CI was used to determine the relationship.

Results

Population characteristics

Table 1 shows the data characteristics of the first trimester of pregnant women, such as socio-demographics, pregnancy profile, and vitamin D intake. In total. 239 subjects were chosen, but only 232 successfully had their blood serum taken for analysis. Seven subjects failed to enroll in the study. The subjects completed the questionnaire and had anthropometric measurement and a blood test for vitamin D taken on their first visit for antenatal care in the public health centres. Their mean age was 29.77 ± 5.68 years, with most subjects in the > 30 years age group (45.30%). The geographical scope of the study was divided into coastal areas (40.90%) and mountainous areas (59.10%). Based on the working area, the study population comprised 48.70 and 51.30% from urban and rural areas respectively. Maternal education levels were 28.90% up to primary school, 40.50% to secondary school, and 30.60% from tertiary school level (diploma or high school). Women who were housewives and did not have an occupation were included in the no working group, while those who had jobs were included in the working group.

Dietary, anthropometric, and pregnancy profile of the Minangkabau women

The median gestational age of the subjects on recruitment was 10 weeks (in the range 5–12 weeks). Approximately, 75% of them were and 25% nulliparous. The means of the maternal anthropometric values of the pregnant women were 55.48 ± 11.33 kg for pre-pregnancy bodyweight; 154.35 ± 6.0 cm for height; 23.45 ± 4.56 cm for pre-pregnancy BMI; 56.15 ± 11.87 kg for first trimester of bodyweight; and 27 ± 3.79 cm for mid-upper arm

÷

. .

5 5

.

Page 4 of 10

 Table 1 Socio-demographic, pregnancy profile, and vitamin D

 intake of women (N = 232)

 Table 1
 Socio-demographic, pregnancy profile, and vitamin D

 intake of women (N = 2321 (Continued)

acation b. No 97,40 a. Padang 5.60 a. Yes 11.60 b. Padag Plaiman 1850 b. No 884.0 c. Payakumbuh 2630 a. Yes 3 e. Paraman 1680 b. No 97 e. Boggaphical status* a. Coastal 4090 a. Systelic: 11039 ± 11.32 a. Coastal 4090 a. Systelic: 11039 ± 11.32 min = 90, max = 150, max = 10,	Independent variables	Percent (%)	Mean±SD (range) / Median (IQR, 25, 75%)	Independent variables	Percent (%)	Mean±SD (range) / Median (IQR, 25, 75%)	
cachinSportaneous absolutiona. Padang5.0a. Yes1.60b. Padang Pariaman5.0b. No8.82c. Paydump Makota2.00Hittery of preterm bitts3c. Paydump Makota2.00a. Yes3c. Paydump Makota2.00b. No0c. Paydump Makota2.00b. No0c. Paydump Makota2.00b. No0c. Casala4.90b. No0b. Mountahous5.005.001.03 ± 11.32 (mm = 90, max = 100)b. Mountahous5.005.007.541 ± 7.11 (mm = 90, max = 100)b. Mountahous5.005.007.541 ± 7.11 (mm = 90, max = 100)b. Runal5.005.007.541 ± 7.11 (mm = 17, max = 44)b. Runal5.002.077 ± 5.68 (mm = 17, max = 44)1.040b. Runal5.002.077 ± 5.68 (mm = 17, max = 44)1.040b. Runal5.002.0002.000b. Runal5.002.0002.000b. Runal5.0003.002.000b. Runal5.0003.002.000b. Runal5.0003.003.000b. Runal5.0003.003.000b. Runal5.0003.003.000b. Runal5.0003.0003.000b. Runal5.0003.0003.000b. Runal5.0003.0003.000b. Runal5.0003.0003.000b. Runal5.0003.000	Sacia-demographic factors			b. No	97.40		
a Padang b Padang Paiaman5.60a Yes1.60b. Padang Paiaman1850b. No840c Payakumbuh2630b. No97a Cauna Paluh Kota2630b. No97a Cauna Paluh Kota1680b. No97a Castal4090a Systolic10.39 ± 11.32 (mm = 90, max = 150)b. Mountainsus510b. Dastolic10.39 ± 11.32 (mm = 90, max = 150)b. Mountainsus510b. Dastolic10.39 ± 11.32 (mm = 90, max = 150)b. Rural4870Factors related to vitamn D intake55.41 ± 7.11 (mm = 90, max = 150)b. Rural520527 ± 5.68 (mm = 17, max = 44)6.008210b. Rural520531.2901.290b. Socolar330540.No8210c. 24-30320.001.496840b. Socolar5301.4901.5001.500b. Socolar5301.5008.5001.5001.500b. Socolarly school280a Yes16401.500b. Socolarly school280a Yes16401.500b. Socolarly school2101.092, max = 1201.5001.500b. Socolarly school2101.092, max = 1201.5001.500b. Not waking6201.092, max = 1201.5001.500b. Not waking6201.092, max = 1201.5001.500b. Not waking6201.092, max = 1201.5001.500b. Not	Location			Spontaneous abortion			
b Padang Parianan 1850 b. No 840 c. Payalumbuh 2430 History of preterm barts d. Linna Puluh Kota 3280 a Yes 3.2 d. Dana 100 9.0 9.0 9.0 d. Dana 10.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 9.0 c. Datatia 10.0 10.03 9 ± 1.32 Inter-90, max = 150 10.03 9 ± 1.32 Inter-90, max = 150 b. Mountahous 9.10 4.500 Factors related to vitamn D 5.7 ± 2.7.1 Inter-90, max = 150 b. Mural 6.100 8.700 7.5.48 Consuming supplement before performs/ 7.5.41 ± 7.11 a. S20 3.0 4.Yes 12.0 2.000 2.000 2.000 a. S20 3.0 4.Yes 12.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 a. S20 3.0 4.Yes 8400 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.000 2.0	a. Padang	5.60		a Yes	11.60		
c Payakumbuh 26.30 History of preterm bath d Lina Poluh kota 2520 a Ves 3 e Parkuman 2520 a Ves 3 History of preture (mmHig) a Coastal 4000 Accoss Parkum (mmHig) 10.39 ± 11.32 Intel Status* a Coastal 4000 Accoss Parkum (mmHig) Intel Status* Intel Status* Intel Status* a Coastal 4000 Accoss Parkum (mmHig) Intel Status* Intel Status* Intel Status* Intel Status* a Coastal 4000 Accoss Parkum (mmHig) Factors related to vitam D Intel Status* Intel Status* a Ubban 4870 Accoss Parkum (mmHig) Factors related to vitam D Intel Status* Intel Status* a Status* 2077 ± 5.68 Consuming supplement before previow? Intel Status* Intel Status* Intel Status* a Status* 300 Accoss Parkum Actom Status* Research (mmHig) Research (mmHig) Research (mmHig) a Status* 300 Research (mmHig) Research (mmHig) Research (mmHig) Research (mmHig)	b. Padang Pariaman	18.50		b. No	88.40		
d. Lina Puluh Kota 3280 a Yes 3 e Parianan 1680 b. No 97 Geographical status* Blood pressure (mmrig) 10039=1132 a Obtal 400 9.0 10039=1132 b Mountabous 510 b. Distolic 10039=1132 ubban 4870 b. Distolic 751+7.11 a Ubban 4870 constants 501 b Rural 5130 20.77=5.58 constants supplement before preprintry ge groups 3 20.77=5.58 constants supplement during 12.90 a S200 3 Constants supplement during 12.90	c. Payakumbuh	26.30		History of preterm birth			
e Parianon 168 b. bo 97 Segraphical status* Biod presure (mmr4g) a Casal 4000 4.5ytolic 1039 ± 11.32 (mm = 90, max = 150) b. Mountahous 510 b. Dastolic 75.41 ± 7.11 (mm = 90, max = 150) b. Runal 407 Factors related to vitamo D intale 75.41 ± 7.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) b. Runal 51.00 29.77 ± 5.68 (mm = 17, max = 44) Consuming supplement before prepanary. 12.90 a S20 3 5.00 Regraphical status 87.10 12.90 a S20 3 5.00 87.10 12.90 12.90 b. b. 2 23.77 5.68 Consuming supplement sump Extended status 88.40 12.90 c. 24-30 3 6.100 87.10 12.90 12.90 12.90 b. b. 2 23.77 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 a S20 3 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 b. b. 2 23.77 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90 12.90	d. Lima Puluh Kota	32.80		a Yes	3		
Second presume (mmHg) Bicod presume (mmHg) 1039 ± 132 1039 ± 1	e. Pariaman	16.80		b. No	97		
a. Coasal 4090 a. Systolic. 11039 ± 1132 (mm = 90, max = 150) b. Mountahous 5910 b. Diastolic 57.41 ± 27.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) a. Urban 4870 Pactors related to vitamin D intale 57.41 ± 27.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) Age groups 2977 ± 5.68 (min = 17, max = 44) Consuming supplement before pregnancy 1290 57.41 ± 27.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) Age groups 2977 ± 5.68 (min = 17, max = 44) 6.00 1290 57.41 ± 7.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) Age groups 2977 ± 5.68 (min = 17, max = 44) 6.00 8.20 8.10 5.90 a. S20 3 200 8.10 5.90 8.10 5.90 5.90 b. 21 - 25 2370 280 Consuming supplement sums 1st timmster 8.80 5.90 5.90 5.90 c. Za-30 280 Consuming supplements auring D supplements' 8.80 5.90 5.92 (2.10) 100000 b. Socondary school 8.05 b.No 8.20 8.20 100000 100000 100000 100000 1000000 1000000 10000000 100000000 1000000000 100000000000 10000000000000000 100000	Geographical status ^a			Blood pressure (mmHg)			
b. Mountainous 5910 (min = 90, max = 150) Jthan status ⁶ b. Diastolic 75.41 ± 7.11 a. Utban 4.870 Factors related to vitamin D 75.41 ± 7.11 b. Rural 51.30 Factors related to vitamin D (min = 60, max = 90) b. Rural 51.30 Factors related to vitamin D (min = 60, max = 90) Age groups 2977 ± 5.68 Consuming supplement before pregnancy 87.0 Age groups a Yes 12.90 20.0 b. 21-25 23.0 Consuming supplement during 7.0 c. 2α-30 28 a Yes 38.0 c. 2α-30 28.0 a Yes 38.0 c. Tertiary school 28.0 a Yes 16.40 c. Tertiary school 28.0 Noking 3.20 b. No twoking 67.20 Intake of vitamin D 5.32 (3.10) from food 10.11 (min = 4, max = 7) Noking 3.40 b. Not woking 3.10 (min = -2, max = 12) Intake of calcium from food Pregnancy profife 10.61 (min = -5, max = 7)	a. Coastal	40.90		a Systolic		110.39±11.32	
Juban status ^a b. Diastolic 25.41 ± 2.11 (mm = 60, max = 90) a. Urban 48.70 Factors related to vitamin D intake (mm = 60, max = 90) b. Bunal 51.30 29.77 ± 5.68 (mm = 17, max = 44) Consuming supplement before pregnancy = (mm = 60, max = 90) Age (reas) 3 b. No 82.10 (mm = 60, max = 90) a. S20 3 b. No 82.10 (mm = 60, max = 90) b. 21-25 23.70 (mm = 60, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) c. 24-30 28 (mm = 60, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) b. 21-25 23.70 (mm = 50, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) c. 24-30 28 (mm = 50, max = 90) (mm = 50, max = 90) b. No 61.60 (mm = 60, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) c. 24-30 28.90 (mm = 60, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) b. Secondary school 28.90 (mm = 60, max = 90) (mm = 60, max = 90) c. Tertiary school 29.60 (mm = 60, max = 70) (mm = 60, max = 70) b. Noto wohing 67.20 (mm = -10R 700, max = 10R 48,000) (mm = 60, max = 70) Household members	b. Mountainous	59.10				(min = 90, max = 150)	
a. Drban 4870 Factors related to vitamin D intale b. Rural 5130 Consuming supplement before pregnancy Age groups a. 2377 ± 5.68 (min = 17, max = 44) Consuming supplement before pregnancy Age groups a. 230 3 b. No 827.0 b. 21-25 2370 b. No 827.0 220 c. 26-30 28 Consuming supplement during ist timester 3840 d. > 30 4530 Asso 6160 Educational status a. Yes 8450 1640 b. Secondary school 289 a. Yes 1640 c. Tertiary school 360 timate of vitamin D supplements" 332 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 22.63) b. Secondary school 3060 timate of vitamin D supplements" 332 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 22.63) working 3280 The level of vitamin D from flood 330 (min = 0.37, max = 22.63) b. Not working 6720 The level of vitamin D from flood 340 Household members 310 (min = 5, max = 12) The level of calcium from food 459230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) (min = 35, max = 12) Parity status 2540 the level o	Urban status ^b			b. Diastolic		75.41 ± 7.11 (min = 60, max = 90)	
b. Rural 5130 initiale Consuming supplement before pregnancy Age groups a Yes 1290 a. \$200 3 b. No 8710 b. 21-25 2370 Consuming supplement during 1st timester 1290 c. 26-30 28 Consuming supplement during 1st timester 1840 a. \$200 3 b. No 6160 Educational status a Yes 1640 1600 b. Secondary school 2890 a Yes 1640 1600 b. Secondary school 2890 a Yes 1640 1600 1600 c. Tertiary school 2890 a Yes 1640 1600	a. Urban	48.70		Factors related to vitamin D		0100 - 50, 100x - 507	
Age (year) 2977 ± 5.68 imm = 17, max = 44) Consuming supplement before pregnancy 12.90 Age groups a Yes 0. No 87.10 a. \$20 3 b. No 87.10 b. 21-25 2370 5.00 87.10 c. 26-30 28 0. No 8840 d. > 30 28 0. No 0.160 Educational status a Yes 0.160 0.160 a. frimary school 280 0.00 0.00 0.00 b. Secondary school 0.50 28.00 0.00 0.00 c. Tertiary school 0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00 s. Secondary school 0.50 0.00 0.00 0.00 working status 0.200 1.640 0.00 0.00 a. Working 32.80 0.00 0.00 0.00 b. Not working 67.00 10.10 mm = 0.07, max = 23.63.00 0.00 b. Not working 67.00 10.10 mm = 4, max = 77 0.10 mm = 0.07, max = 102.00 Pergranary profiles 10.00 mm = 5, max = 120 1.10 mm = 5.00 mg/day (radequate) 0.90 <	b. Rural	51.30		intake			
Age groupsa Yes12.90a. S203b. No87.10b. 21-2523.70Consuming supplement during 1st trimesterS7.10c. 26-3028a Yes38.40d. > 3045.30b. No61.60Educational statusb. No61.60Educational statusa Yes16.40c. Tertiary school28.90b. No83.60b. Secondary school40.50b. No83.60c. Tertiary school30.60b. No83.60Korking statusIntake of vtamin D from food5.32 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vtamin D from food3.40Household income per month (DR)DP 2.400 (1.400) (min = DR 700, max = 1DR 48.000) (min = DR 700, max = 1DR 48.000) from fooda $\ge 15 \operatorname{mcg/day}$ a $\ge 15 \operatorname{mcg/day}$ (adequate) b. < 15 \operatornamemcg/day (indequate) b. < 15 \operatornamemcg/day (indequate)	Age (year)		29.77 ± 5.68 (min = 17, max = 44)	Consuming supplement before pregnancy			
a. ≤20 3 b. No 82.10 b. 21-25 23.70 Consuming supplement during Lst timester Lst mester c. 26-30 28 a. Yes 38.40 d. > 30 45.30 b. No 61.60 Educational status b. No 61.60 a. Primary school 28.90 Catium and vitamin D supplements' 5.32 (3.10) b. Secondary school 30.60 b. No 83.60 vorking status a. Yes 16.40 5.32 (3.10) a. Working 32.80 b. No 83.60 b. Not working 67.20 The level of vitamin D from food 5.32 (3.10) Household income per month (DR) IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = 1DR 700, max = IDR 48.000) a. \$215 mcg/day ladequate) 96.60 Household members 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) b. <15 mcg/day (nadequate)	Age groups			a Yes	1,2.90		
b. 21-25 2370 Consuming supplement during Ist timester Ist timester c. 26-30 28 a Yes 3840 d. > 30 4530 b. No 6160 Educational status Calcium and vitamin D supplements* 6160 b. Secondary school 2890 Calcium and vitamin D supplements* 6160 b. Secondary school 3060 Socondary school 8360 c. Tertiary school 3060 No 8360 Working status Intake of vitamin D supplements* 532 (3.10) (min =0.37, max = 23.63) b. Not working 6720 The level of vitamin D from food 3.40 Household income per month (DR) IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min =1DR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = 0.87, max = 77) 3.40 Freego (367.877) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) Pregnancy profiles 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) Intake of calcium from food 459.230 (367.877) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) Parity status The level of calcium from food 4 \$92.200 (367.877) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) 459.230 (367.877) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 5548 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95) Free level of calcium from food 459.230 (367.877) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14)	a. ≤20	3		b. No	87.10		
c. 26-30 28 1st timester d. > 30 4530 k a Yes 3840 Educational status b. No 6160 6160 Educational status Calcium and vitamin D supplements* 6160 b. Secondary school 3060 b. No 8360 C. Tertiary school 3060 b. No 8360 Working status a Yes 1640 532 (3,10) a. Working 3280 Intake of vitamin D 532 (3,10) b. Not working 6720 The level of vitamin D 340 Pregnancy profiles 1019 (1400) 1008 (1400) 1008 (1400) 1008 (1400) Pregnancy profiles 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)	b.21-25	23.70		Consuming supplement during			
d. > 30 4530 a. Yes 3840 b. No 6160 a. Primary school 2890 Cakium and vitamin D supplements" b. Secondary school 3060 a. Yes 1640 c. Tertiary school 3060 b. No 8360 Working status a. Yes 1640 532 (3.10) a. Working 3280 Intake of vitamin D 532 (3.10) b. No working 6720 The level of vitamin D 532 (3.10) Household income IDR 2.400 (1.400) min = 0.8700, max = 1DR 48.000; 1a Yes 9660 Household members 3.11) (min = 4, max = 7) b. < 15 mcg/day	c. 26-30	28		list trimester			
Educational statusb. No6160a. Primary school2890Cakium and vitamin D supplements'Supplements'b. Secondary school4050a Yes1640c. Tertiary school3060b. No8360Working3280Intake of vitamin D from food5.32 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vitamin D from food3.40Household income per month (DR)IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = 1DR 48.000) (min = 1DR 700, max = 1DR 48.000)a $\geq 15 mcg/day$ ladequate)96.60Household members3 (1) (min = 5, max = 12)Intake of cakium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Parity status10 (4) (min = 5, max = 12)The level of cakium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Parity status25.401.0 (4) (min = 5, max = 95)Ne level of cakium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 5.48 ± 11.33 (min = 95) max = 95) $a \geq 1300 mg/day$ (adequate)9.010* Genorathical status divided by research location in croastal area and	d. > 30	45.30		a Yes	38,40		
a. Primary school28.90Calcium and vitamin D supplements'b. Secondary school40.50a. Yes16.40c. Tertiary school30.60b. No83.60Working statusintake of vitamin D from food5.32 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vitamin D from food3.40Household income per month (DR)IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = 4, max = 7)a. ≥15 mcg/day ladequate)96.60Household members3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) (D (4) (min = 5, max = 12))Intake of calcium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Parity status10 (4) (min = 5, max = 12)The level of calcium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Parity status25.4010 (4) (min = 5, max = 12)The level of calcium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721,14)Prepregnancy weight (kg)55.48 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95)b. < 1300 mg/day (adequate)9.010* Genoratical status divided by research location in croastal area and	Educational status			b. No	61.60		
b. Secondary school 40.50 a Yes 16.40 c. Tertiary school 30.60 b. No 83.60 Morking status a. Working 3280 from food 5.32 (3.10) (min = 0.37, max = 23.63) b. Not working 67.20 The level of vitamin D from food $min = 0.37, max = 23.63$ b. Not working 67.20 The level of vitamin D from food $a. \ge 15 mcg/day$ 96.60 Household income per month (DR) (min = 1DR 700, max = 1DR 48.000) Household members 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) b. < 15 mcg/day (adequate) Household members 3 (1) (min = 5, max = 12) Intake of calcium from food $459.230 (267.87)$ (min = 353.27, max = 721.14) Parity status The level of calcium from food $a. \ge 1300 mg/day$ 9.90 a. Nulliparous 25.40 $1.0 (4) (min = 5, max = 12)$ Intake of calcium from food $a. \ge 1300 mg/day$ 9.90 (adequate) $b. < 1300 mg/day$ 9.	a. Primary school	28.90		Calcium and vitamin D supplements'			
c. Tertiary school3060b. No83.60Working statusintake of vitamin D5.32 (3.10)(mm = 0.37, max = 23.63)a. Working32.80intake of vitamin D(mm = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vitamin D3.40Household income per month (DR)IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = 4, max = 7)a. $\geq 15 mcg/day$ (adequate) b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)	b. Secondary school	40.50		a Yes	1640		
Working statusIndee of vitamin D from food $5.32 (3.10)$ (min = 0.37, max = 23.63)a. Working32.80Intake of vitamin D from food $5.32 (3.10)$ (min = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vitamin D from food 3.40 from foodHousehold income per month (DR)IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = 1, max = 7) $a. \ge 15 mcg/day(adequate)b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)$	c. Tertiary school	30.60		b.No	8360		
a. Working3280from food(mm = 0.37, max = 23.63)b. Not working67.20The level of vitamin D from food3.40Household income per month (DR)IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000) (min = 4, max = 7)The level of vitamin D from food3.40Household members3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) $a. \ge 15 mcg/dayladequate)$ b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)96.60Pregroncy profilesIntake of calcium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14)Parity statusThe level of calcium from food459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14)a. Nulliparous25.40a. ≥1300 mg/day (adequate)9.90 (adequate)Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)\$5.48 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95)b. < 1300 mg/day (adequate)90.10* Genorambical status divided by research location in croastal area and	Working status			Intake of vitamin D		5.32 (3.10)	
b. Not working 67.20 The level of vitamin D from food 3,40 Household income per month (DR) IDR 2400 (1,400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48,000) a. ≥15 mcg/day (adequate) 96.60 Household members 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) b. <15 mcg/day (nadequate)	a. Working	32.80		from food		(min = 0.37, max = 23.63)	
Household income per month (DR) IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min ≈ IDR 700, max ≈ IDR 48.000) (adequate) a. ≥15 mcg/day (adequate) 96.60 Household members 3 (1) (min ≈ 4, max ≈ 7) b. <15 mcg/day (inadequate)	b. Not working	67.20		The level of vitamin D	3.40		
Household members 3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7) Pregnancy profiles Sestational age (week) 10 (4) (min = 5, max = 12) Parity status The level of calcium from food 459,230 (367,87) (min = 353,27, max = 721,14) The level of calcium from food a ≥1300 mg/day 9.90 (adequate) Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 55,48 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95) (min = 36, max = 95) (min = 36, max = 95)	Household income per month (IDR)		IDR 2.400 (1.400) (min = IDR 700, max = IDR 48.000)	a. ≥15 mcg/day	96,60		
Pregnancy profiles b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)	Household members		3 (1) (min = 4, max = 7)	(adequate)			
Gestational age (week) 10 (4) (min = 5, max = 12) Intake of calcium from food 459,230 (367,87) (min = 353,27, max = 721,14) Parity status The fevel of calcium from food a. Nulliparous 25,40 a. ≥1300 mg/day 9.90 b. Multiparous 74,60 a. ≥1300 mg/day 9.90 (alequate) Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 55,48 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95) b. < 1300 mg/day	Pregnancy profiles			b. < 15 mcg/day (inadequate)			
Parity status The level of calcium from food a. Nulliparous 2540 a. ≥1300 mg/day 9.90 b. Multiparous 74.60 a. ≥1300 mg/day 9.90 Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) \$5548 ± 1133 (min = 36, max = 95) b. <1300 mg/day	Gestational age (week)		10 (4) (min = 5, max = 12)	Intake of calcium from tood		459.230 (367.87) (min = 353.27, max = 721.14)	
a. Nulliparous 25:40 a. ≥1:300 mg/day 9:90 b. Multiparous 74:60 iadequate) b. <1:300 mg/day	Parity status			The level of calcium from food			
b. Multiparous 74.60 (adequate) Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 5548 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95) b, < 1300 mg/day (inadequate) 90.10 * Geographical status divided by research location in coastal area and * Geographical status divided by research location in coastal area and	a. Nulliparous	25.40		a. ≥1300 mg/day	9.90		
Pre-pregnancy weight (kg) 5548 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95) b. < 1300 mg/day 90.10 (inadequate) ^a Geographical status divided by research location in coastal area and	b. Multiparous	74.60		(adequate)			
^a Geographical status divided by research location in coastal area and	Pre-pregnancy weight (kg)		55.48 ± 11.33 (min = 36, max = 95)	b. < 1300 mg/day (inadeguate)	90.10		
Height (cm) 154.35 ± 60 (min = 140, max = 176) mountainous area; ⁶ Urban status divided by location at urban area (Radang Backumbub and Batianapa) and urban area	Height (cm)		154.35 ± 6.0 (min = 140, max = 176)	^a Geographical status divided by research location in coastal area and mountainous area; ^b Urban status divided by location at urban area (Padang, Payakumbuh, and Pariaman) and rural area (Lima Puluh Kota Padang Pariaman); BMI, body mass index			
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²) 2345 ± 456 (min = 14.10, max = 37) (min = 14.10, max = 37)	Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m ²)		23.45 ± 4.56 (min = 14.10, max = 3.7)				
Maternal weight of 56.15 ± 11.87 circumference (MUAC). Furthermore, with regard to dia Ist trimester (kg) (min = 31, max = 93.9)	Maternal weight of 1st trimester (kg)		56.15 ± 11.87 (min = 31, max = 93.9)	circumference (MUAC).	Further	more, with regard to diet	
Upper am $27 \pm 3.79 \text{ (min = 17, max = 38)}$ ary consumption and factors related to vitamin D inta in the study population, 80% of the women had not tak	Upper arm circumference (cm)		27±3.79 (min = 17, max = 38)	ary consumption and fa in the study population,	ctors re 80% of	elated to vitamin D intak the women had not take	
supplements before pregnancy, 62% were not taking su	Smoking statur			supplements before pre-	gnancy,	62% were not taking sup	
a. Yes 260 plements during pregnancy, and more than 90% had lo vitamin D and calcium intake status. The median	a. Yes	2.60		plements during pregna vitamin D and calcium	ncy, and n intak	d more than 90% had lov e status. The median o	

vitamin D and calcium intake from food were 5.32 (3.1) mcg/d and 459.230 (367.87) mg/d for vitamin D and calcium intake, respectively. The dietary, anthropometric, and pregnancy profiles of the study population are summarised in Table 1.

Serum 25(OH)D levels and Vitamin D status

Table 2. shows that median serum 25(OH)D levels were 13.15 ng/mL (in the range of 3.00-49.29 ng/mL). Most of the subjects had insufficient vitamin D status. 47% were vitamin D deficient, with serum levels lower than 12 ng/mL; 36.20% were vitamin D insufficient (concentration levels of serum between 12 and 19 ng/mL); and 17.20% had sufficient vitamin D status (concentration levels of serum above or equal to 20 ng/mL). Serum 25(OH)D level categories have varying reference guidelines. In this study, they were divided into three categories based on Institute of Medicine recommendations, with vitamin D levels categorised as sufficient (25(OH)D ≥ 20 ng/mL), insufficient (25(OH)D = 12-19 ng/mL) or deficient (25(OH)D < 12 ng/mL) [17]. For further multivariate analysis and meaningful statistical analysis, the subjects categorised as either vitamin D insufficient or deficient were combined into one category, with insufficiency-deficiency status (< 20 ng/mL) and with the sufficiency category being ≥20 ng/mL. 80.80% of the subjects had an insufficientdeficient vitamin D status, while 17.20% had a sufficient vitamin D status.

Lifestyle factors

Lifestyle factors in this study were successfully explored in the interview process at the recruitment stage and are shown in Table 3. The median of sunlight exposure duration was 60 min (in the range of 15–300 min); 47.80% of the subjects had less than an hour of sun exposure during the day, while 52.20% had more than 60 min. 70.30% used sunscreen and 29.70% did not to

Table 2 Serum 25(OH)D levels among first trimester pregnant women (N = 232)

Variables	Percent (%)	Median (IQR, 25, 75%)
Serum 25(OH)D levels (ng/mL)		13.15 (9.98, min = 3.00, max = 49.29)
Vitamin D status		
a. Deficiency (< 12 ng/mL)	46.60	
b. Insufficiency (12-19 ng/mL)	36.20	
c. Sufficiency (≥20 ng/mL)	17.20	
Vitamin D status (dichotomous categorized)		
a. Deficiency-insufficiency (< 20 ng/mL)	82.80	
b. Sufficiency (≥20 ng/mL)	17.20	

Table 3 Lifestyle factor	s of	first	trimester	pregnant	women
(NI - 232)					

Independent variables	Percent (%)	Median (IQR 25, 75%)
Sunlight exposure (minute/day)		60 (53.75 (min = 15, max = 300)
Length of outdoor activity		
a. < 60 min/week	47.80	
b. ≥60 min/week	52.20	
Sunscreen application		
a. Yes	70.30	
b. No	29.70	
Occupation		
a. Indoor	75.40	
b. Outdoor	24.60	
Dressing style		
a. < 27% uncovered	11.60	
b. ≥27% uncovered	88.40	
Physical activity		
a. Low	39.70	
b. Moderate	35.80	
c. High	24.60	

protect their skin from sun exposure. The occupation status of the subjects was as follows: 75.40% worked indoors and 24.60% worked outdoors. The style of dressing individuals included those who were covered, which means that they wore veils during their daily outdoor activities, and those who were uncovered, meaning they did not wear veils or cover their entire body surface when outdoors. The results of the study population reveal that 11.60 and 88.40% for < 27% uncovered and $\ge 27\%$ uncovered group respectively. The physical activity levels in the first trimester were 39.70% low level, 35.80% moderate and 24.60% high physical activity level (PAL).

Factors associated with VDD

Univariate analysis of the association between potential associated factors and vitamin D deficiency-insufficiency status during early pregnancy are shown in Table 4. When using univariate binary logist: regression analysis, the vitamin D sufficient group $(25(OH)D \ge 20 \text{ ng/mL})$ was shown to be older; with a higher household income per month; lower bodyweight in the first trimester and before pregnancy; lower education levels; lower mid-upper arm circumference; lower pre-pregnancy BMI status in the overweight and obese group; represent a lower proportions of nulliparous pregnant women; had a lower level of sunscreen application; and more outdoor activity hours compared to the vitamin D deficiency-insufficiency group (25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL). A higher proportion of

Page 6 of 10

Table 4 Factors associated with low vitamin D status

Variables		OR (95% CI)		P value
Age (year)		1.020	0.862-1.208	0.814
Age group	< 20	1.00		
	21-25	0.777	0.060-10,143	0.848
	26-30	0.172	0.009-3.279	0.242
	> 30	0.189	0.040-111.259	0.360
Education levels	Primary	1.00		
	Secondary	0.568	0.182-1.775	0.330
	Tertiary	0.429	0.100-1.829	0.253
Working status	Warking	1.00		0.030
	Not Working	0.029	0.001-0.708	
Household income/month (IDR)		1.00	1.00-1.00	0.681
Urban status	Urban	1.00		0.882
	Rural	1.109	0.285-4.312	
Geographical status	Coastal	1.00		
	Mountainous	0.424	0.121~1.486	0.180
Gestational age (week)		0.945	0.771-1.157	0.583
Parity status	Multiparous Nulliparous	1.00 7.634	1.550-37.608	0.012
Bodyweight of 1st trimester (kg)		0.975	0.826-1.151	0.764
Upper arm circumference (cm)		1.118	0.882-1.416	0.358
Pre-pregnancy bodyweight (kg)		1.007	0.840-1.207	0.939
Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)		0.920	0.644-1.315	0.647
Pre-pregnancy BMI status	Underweight	1.00		
	Normal	0.664	0.118-3.731	0.642
	Overweight	0.101	0.003-2.977	0.184
	Pre-obese	0.990	0.038-26.028	0.995
	Obese	2.423	0.025-232.393	0.704
Blood pressure (mmHg)	Systolic	1.00	0.947-1.056	0.994
	Diastolic	0.971	0.882-1.070	0.552
Sunscreen application	No	1.00		0.810
	Yes	1.147	0.375-3/507	
Type occupation	Indoor	1.00		0.081
	outdoor	17.713	0.704-445.491	
Dressing style	< 27% uncovered	1.00	0.267-5.552	0.799
	≥27% uncovered	1.218		
Physical activity level	Low-moderate	1.00		0.731
	High	0.815	0.255-2.610	
Outdoor activity		0986	0.972-1.001	0.070
The length of outdoor activity	≥60 min/week	1.00		0.007
	< 60 min/week	9.659	1.883-49.550	
Vitamin D intake (mcg/d)		0.988	0.885-1.103	0.835
Calcium Intake (mg/d)		1.00	0.999-1.000	0.269
Vitamin D intake status	Inadequate	1.00	0.222-188.964	0.277
	Adequate	6.483		

Page 7 of 10

Tab	le 4	Factors	associated	with low	vitamin D	status (Continued)
-----	------	---------	------------	----------	-----------	----------	------------

Variables		OR (95% CI)		P value
Calcium intake status	Inadequate	1.00	0.086-9.904	0.946
	Adequate	0.921		
Consuming supplement	Yes	1.00	1.081-18.563	0.039
before pregnancy	No	4,49		
Consuming supplement during 1st trimester	No	1.00	0.172-1.443	0.199
	Yes	0.499		
Consuming vitamin D and calcium	No	1.00	0.259-4.289	0.940
supplement	Yes	1.056		

OR odds ratio, Cl confidence interval, Ref reference category

Bold number is indicated P < 0.05

Serum 25(OH)D < 20 ng/mL (n = 192) and serum ≥20 ng/mL (n = 40)

1.00 as a reference group

pregnant women who lived in coastal and rural areas had vitamin D sufficiency status. However, there were no significant differences between those variables and vitamin D status during early pregnancy in the Minangkabau pregnant women.

A significant association was shown between all the risk factor variables and low vitamin D status after performing a binary logistic regression. The results show that four risk factors were significantly associated with low vitamin D status; non working status (OR: 0.029, CI 95% 0.001-0.708) (p value = 0.030); Nulliparous parity status (OR: 7.634, CI 95% 1.550-37.608) (p value = 0.012); length of outdoor activity status of less than an hour (OR: 9.659, CI 95% 1.883-49.550) (p value = 0.007); and not taking supplements before pregnancy (OR: 4.49, CI 95% 1.081-18.563) (p value = 0.039). No significant associations were observed between any other factors and vitamin D deficiency-insufficiency status.

Discussion

This study has reported the prevalence of low maternal vitamin D status in early pregnancy of 10 weeks (in the range of 5-12 weeks). The subjects were obtained by approaching singleton pregnant women at Public Health Centres in the six districts of West Sumatra Province, Indonesia. Only healthy pregnant women were included, ones Who did not have any pre-existing hypertension, diabetes or other medical issues that could have increased their risk of developing pregnancy complications or VDD. The majority of our study population were either vitamin D deficient or insufficient (82.80%, < 20 ng/mL), compare to those who were vitamin D sufficient (17.20%, ≥20 ng/mL) according to the criteria published by the Institute of Medicine [17]. On the other hands, the Endocrine Society published different cut-offs for vitamin D status, and reported that women who had less than 10 ng/mL were in the severe deficiency group; those who had less than 20 ng/mL were in the deficiency

group; those between 30 and 44 ng/mL were in the sufficiency group; and those above 100 ng/mL were in the toxicity group [18]. Using the Endocrine Society cut-offs in our study population would change the prevalence of VDD to 36.20% for severe deficiency, 46.60% for deficiency and 14.70% for insufficiency, with only 2.60% having vitamin D sufficiency status.

Indonesia has a prevalence of VDD status among women of childbearing age, children, and pregnant women. Recent studies in North Sumatra have shown that 70% of women of childbearing age (n = 100) had deficient status, while 29 and 1% had insufficient and sufficient status, respectively [19]. A survey of children aged from 0.5 to 12 years in the South East Asian Nutrition Surveys (SEANUTS), based on a total of 16.744 children from Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, and Vietnam, recaled that the percentage of children with adequate 25(OH)D (≥75 nmol/L equal to ≥30 ng/mL) was as low as 5% (in Indonesia) and up to 20% (in Vietnam). Vitamin D insufficiency (< 50 nmol/L equal to < 20 ng/mL) was observed in between 40 to 50% of the children in all these countries [20]. Bardosono et al., [2] reported that among 143 healthy pregnant women on their first visit to maternal clinics in Jakarta, Indonesia, 25.20% were found to be deficient in calcium and 90.20% vitamin D. This high prevalence of VDD was due to gender, childhood consequences, type of occupation, physical activity, vitamin D intake, body fat percentage, duration of sun exposure, living in an urban area, region of residence within the country, and religion, which all significantly increased the odds of being vitamin D insufficient [2, 19, 20].

Low serum 25(OH)D levels in our study population are most likely due to the subjects being in their first trimester of pregnancy, therefore a greater proportion of them would be suffering from morning sickness, resulting in limited outdoor physical activity, and very few women take multivitamin supplements. Bukhary et al., [21] reported that 90% of first trimester pregnant women studied

were suffering from hypovitaminosis D. Jan Mohammed et al., [22] conducted a study in different terms of pregnancy, and found that the prevalence of low vitamin D status (<30 ng/mL) among second and third trimester women was 60 and 37%, respectively. A study measuring the vitamin D level of 200 women in India also mentioned similar findings, where the prevalence of VDD was significantly higher in the third trimester, than in the first and second trimester [23]. The reduced prevalence of VDD during pregnancy might be due to a significant increase in dietary intake and supplied multivitamins during pregnancy [22].

In this study, few subjects took supplements before pregnancy. There was an association between low consumption of supplements and vitamin D status (p = 0.039). Pregnant women who did not take supplements before pregnancy had a 4.5 times increased risk of VDD (OR: 4.49, CI 95% 1.081-18.563) (p value = 0.039). Having a proper nutritional status through dietary supplementation before pregnancy enhances prenatal health, prepares nutrition reserves in the preconception period, and prevents the risk of adverse pregnancy and foetal outcomes associated with nutrient deficiencies [24]. Furthermore, our subjects also had a lower vitamin D and calcium intake (5.23 mcg/d (3.10, range 0.37-23.63 mcg/d) vs 459.230 mg/d (367.87, range 353.27-721.14). The results with regard to vitamin D intake in our study population shows that the level is almost half the Recommended Intake of 10 mcg/day proposed by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) and Indonesia Recommended Dietary Allowance (RDA) [16, 17]. Even though diet and supplement intake only provide low levels of vitamin D, low vitamin D intake status is considered to be one of the risk factors in VDD. A study by Bukhary et al. showed that dietary intake of vitamin D was a significant predictive factor, together with ethnic group, educational status and sun protection [21]. The first trimester of pregnancy is associated with multiple instances of morning sickness. This condition leads to poor dietary intake during this period and worsens if it means women are unable to achieve a balanced nutrition, which is an important factor in fulfilling nutrient requirements. Increasing dietary consumption of vitamin D, such as milk and other dairy products, and the use of multivitamins and dietary supplements may be needed, and these solutions might help to maintain a sufficient vitamin D level [25]. Recent clinical guidelines report that taking more than 600 IU/day of vitamin supplements may increase and maintain vitamin D levels at levels higher than 30 ng/mL (75 nmol/L), but further research is needed to determine the appropriate dosage of supplements [26-29].

There are several possible reasons for decreasing 25(OH)D serum levels; not only low consumption of vitamin D-rich food, but also certain external factors that contribute to preventing bodies from being exposed

Page 8 of 10

to sunlight. However, sources of vitamin D from od are lower and only contributes 10% of total needs. The main source of vitamin D is exposure to UVB sunlight, which contributes to 90% of vitamin D requirements [30]. Therefore, the major cause of VDD is inadequate sunlight exposure [31]. Indonesia is a tropical country with only two season per year and we conducted this study in the dry season at that time. In this current study, we did the data collection during July-September 2017 in West Sumatra with the daily hours of sunshine averagely about 5 h [32]. This makes Sumatra has longer sunshine hours compared to other months and those data might influence our result of the study. A positive correlation was found between sun exposure and vitamin D status. Most of the women who had less exposure to sunlight were vitamin D deficient. Pregnant women who are less physically active or have a sedentary indoor lifestyle have less exposure to sunlight [23, 33]. In this study it was revealed that women who had an outdoor activity status of less than an hour had a tenfold increase in the risk of developing VDD (OR: 9.659, CI 95% 1.883-49.550) (p value = 0.007). Our previous study on the third trimester pregnant women also reported that those who living in mountainous areas, worked indoors, or who were engaged in low-middle physical activity had a greater risk of developing VDD [11]. Applying sunscreen with a high sun protection factor could reduce vitamin D synthesis in the skin by more than 95%. Many factors influence the vitamin D status of individuals and populations, including latitude, season, time spent outdoors, clothing habitually worn, sunscreen use, weight status, skin colour, and some medications and medical conditions [34].

Pregnant women with nulliparous parity status tend to have higher serum 25(OH)D levels than multiparous women [35]. However, different studies related to serum 25(OH)D levels and parity have presented conflicting results [36-38]. Our study population, who were nulliparous women, were likely to have lower 25(OH)D serum levels than their multiparous counterparts with levels of 12.70 ng/mL and 14.45 ng/mL respectively. After adjusting for multivariate logistic regression, parity status was included as one of the risk factors in VDD. Pregnant women with nulliparous parity status had an eight times higher risk of developing VDD (OR: 7.634, CI 95% 1.550-37.608) (p value = 0.012). This finding is similar to that of Perez et al., who examined first trimester vitamin D status and the factors associated with the lower 25(OH)D levels. Nulliparous women (OR: 2.47;p = 0.002), those of non-Caucasian ethnicity (OR: 36.29;p = 0.001), and the season (OR: 10.93;p = 0.001) at the time of sampling were factors related to deficient 25(OH)D levels [36]. Different results were obtained by Choi et al., and Ates et al., who reported that parity status was not associated with serum 25(OH)D

levels during the first trimester of pregnancy [39, 40]. However, nulliparous pregnant women have a greater risk of certain pregnancy complications such as pre-eclampsia and preterm delivery compared to multiparous women [25].

The limitations of this study include possible bias due to the small sample size and the self-selection of study subjects, and the fact that a gold-standard method for measuring 25(OH)D levels was not used. In addition, we could not completely evaluate underlying factors such as pigmentation, physical activity levels, macronutrient values, sun protection score, and the length of sun exposure which is during the dry season, Sumatra has more sunshine hours compared to other months. These data might influence our result of study. Recall bias from questionnaires is a common problem when conducting an observational study, as the data are obtained through an interview session. However, we tried to reduce bias by recruiting a trained interviewer who was a nutritionist to collect the dietary assessments and other questionnaires. The information about the background of the study population (socioeconomic and sociodemographic) used in the study was collected from accurate and comprehensive databases from the maternal care units in the public health centres in each district. The prevalence of VDD status in the first trimester may not be compared to other population studies with different geographical characterisation. However, the main strength of this study is the involvement of biochemical and dietary intake measurements of vitamin D in the West Sumatran population. External factors were examined to determine predictive factors in developing VDD status, such as lifestyle, factors related to vitamin D intake, and pregnancy profiles. A larger sample is needed in future studies, especially from the Indonesian population, and it would be better if subjects from different areas of the country were recruited, in order to form a better picture of vitamin D status during pregnancy in Indonesia.

Conclusions

Despite living in a country in Southeast Asia with high sun exposure, pregnant Minangkabau women reported a high prevalence of first trimester VDD, which was related to not working, nulliparous parity, partaking in outdoor activities for less than one hour per day, and not consuming vitamin D supplements before pregnancy. Recommendations and policies to detect and prevent insufficiency of vitamin D during pregnancy should be developed with consideration of the associated factors.

obreviations

25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D: BMI: Body mass index: CI: Confidence interval: ELISA: Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay: IOM: Institute of Medicine: MUAC: Mid-upper arm circumference: PAL: Physical activity levels: RDA: Recommended dietary allowance; SQFFQ: Semi quantitative food frequency questionnalie; VDD: Vitamin D deficiency Page 9 of 10

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the participation of the volunteers, nutrition students, research assistants, biomedical laboratory assistant, and field data enumerator for their support in this study. We appreciate all the subjects for their co-operation and support of this study. Special thanks are also due to all the midwives at the maternal clinic Payakumbuh, Padang, Lima Puluh Kota, Pariaman, and Padang Parlaman. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This study was funded by Indonesian Danone Institute Foundation and the Ministry of Research Technology (Grant No: 007/ROG-D/DIF/X2016), and Directorate General of Higher Education Ministry of National Education (KEMENRSTER DKTI), Indonesia, with project name. The Research of Master Program Leading to Doctoral Degree for Excellent Students (PMDSU Batch-2) in the year of 2018 (Grant No: 050/SP2HL/L/DDPM/2018), the views expressed herein are those of the individual authors and do not necessarily reflect those of Indonesian Education.

Availability of data and materials

Data from this study will not be shared because additional results from the study are yet to be published.

Author's contributions

ASA carried out statistical analysis, data collection, data interpretation, and drafted the manuscript, NEL, YY and SGM conceiving, supervising, designing, providing directions, performing data analysis, and helped revise the manuscript. EE involve as a research assistant for collecting data, monoring and evaluation subject, and project administration. NL revised the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

hics approval and consent to participate

This study was conducted in accordance with the declaration of Helsinki. All procedures involving human subjects were approved by the Ethics Committee of Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University (No. 262/KEP/KK/2016). All subjects provided written consent for their participation in this study.

Consent for publication

not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Author details

¹Postgraduate Biomedical Science Department, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra 25172, Indonesia. ²Postgraduate Public Health Department, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra 25172, Indonesia. ³Department of Obstetrics and Gyneobogy, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra 25172, Indonesia. ⁴Eijkman Institute for Molecular Biology, Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Jakarta 10430, Indonesia. ⁵Nutrition Science Department, Faculty of Medicine, Andalas University, Padang, West Sumatra 25172, Indonesia.

Received: 11 December 2018 Accepted: 14 May 2019 Published online: 22 May 2019

References

- Wibowo N, Bardosono S, Itwinda R, Syafitri L Putri AS, Prameswari N. Assessment of the nutrient intake and inicronutrient status in the first trimester of pregnant women in Jakarta. Med J Indonesia, 2017;26:109–15. https://doi.org/10.13191/mjlo262.1617.
- Bardosono S, Maternal micronutrient deficiency during the first trimester among Indonesian pregnant women living in Jakarta. JKI. 2016;476–81 https://doi.org/10.2386/vej84.4628176-81.
- Sari DK, Damanik HA, Lipoeto NI, Lubis Z. Is micro evolution in tropical country women resulting low 25(OH)D level?: a cross sectional study in

Indonesia. J Nutr Food Sci. 2013;4:225-32. https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600.1000246.

- Triunfo S, Lanzone A. Potential impact of maternal vitamin D status on obstetric well-being. J Endocrinol Investig. 2016;39:37–44. https://doi.org/10. 1007/sdb618-015-0330-7
- Binkley N, Ramamurthy R, Krueger D. Low Vitamin D status: definition, prevalence, consequences and correction. Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am. 2010;39:287–contents. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eci.2010.02.008.
- Bikle D, Vitamin D. Production, Metabolism, and Mechanisms of Action. In: De Groot LJ, Chrousos G, Dungan K, Feingold KR, Grossman A, Hershman JM, et al., editors. Endotext. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc. 2000.
- Johan LM, Simhan HN, Catov JM, Roberts JM, Platt RW, Diesel JC, et al. Maternal vitamin D status and the risk of mild and severe preedampsia. Epidemiology. 2014;25:207–14. https://doi.org/10.1097/EDE. 0000000000000000
- Dror DK, Vitamin D status during pregnancy: maternal, fetal, and postnatal outcomes. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2011;23:422–6. https://doi.org/10. 1097/GCO.ob013e32834cb791.
- Scholl TO, Chen X, Stein P, Matemal Vitamin D status and delivery by sarean, Nutrients. 2012;4:319–30. https://doi.org/10.3390/ru4040319.
- Khalessi N Kalani M. Araghi M. Farahani Z. The relationship between matemal Vitamin D deficiency and low birth weight neonates. J Family Reprod Health. 2015;9:113–7.
- Aji AS, Desmawati D, Yerizel E, Lipoeto NI, The association between lifestyle and maternal vitamin D levels during pregnancy in West Sumatra, Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2018;27:1286–93. https://doi.org/10.6133/ apjcn.201811_27(6):2016.
- Nimitphong H, Holick MF. Vitamin D status and sun exposure in Southeast Asia, Dermatoendocrinol. 2013;5:34–7. https://doi.org/10.4161/derm.24054.
- Expert Consultation WHO. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet. 2004;36:3:157–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/50140-6736(03)15288-3
- Armstrong T, Bull F. Development of the world health organization global physical activity questionnaire (GPAQ). J Public Health. 2006;14:66–70 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-006-0024-x.
- Lipoeto NJ, Agus Z, Oenzil F, Wahlqvist M, Wattanapenpaiboon N. Dietary intake and the risk of coronary heart disease among the coconiatconsuming Minangkabau in West Sumatra. Indonesia Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2004;13:377–84.
- 16. Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia. Dietary intake reference in
- donesia. Jakarta: Ministry of Health republic of Indonesia; 2013.
- Institute of Medicine. Dietary reference intakes for calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC: The National Academia Press; 2011.
- 18 Holick Ministrikey NC, Bischoff-Ferari HA, Gordon CM, Hanley DA, Heaney RP, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011; 96:1911–30. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2011-0385.
- Sari DK, Damanik HA, Lipoeto NJ, Lubis Z, Low serum 25(OH)D levels are associated with single nucleotide polymorphisms of the vitamin D receptor gene and lifestyle factors, especially in women with higher body fat percentage. Obes Res Clin Pract. 2013;7:12–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.orcp. 201308.046.
- Poh BK, Rgiroq, wasinkul N, Nguyen BKI, null S, Ruzita AT, Yamborisut U, et al. 25-hydroxy-vitamin D demography and the risk of vitamin D insufficiency in the south east Asian nutrition surveys (SEANUTS). Asia Pac J Clin Nutr. 2016;25:538–48.
- Bukhary NBI, Isa ZM, Shamsuddin K, Lin KG, Mahdy ZA, Hassan H, et al. Risk factors for antenatal hypovitaminosis D in an urban district in Malaysia. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2016;16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-0.16-0939-3.
- Jan Mohamed HJ, Rowan A, Fong B, Loy S-L. Maternal serum and breast Milk Vitamin D levels: findings from the Universiti Sains Malaysia pregnancy cohort study: PLoS One. 2014;9. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0100705.
- Singh D, Vitamin D status in pregnant women of Udaipur, Biochern Anai Biochem, 20165:1–3. https://doi.org/10.4172/2161-1009.1000280
- 24. World Health Organization. WHO recommendations on antenatal Care for a
- Positive Pregnancy Experience. Geneva: World Health Organization: 2016.
- Flood-Nichols SK, Tinnemore D, Huang RR, Napolitano PG, Ippolito DL, Vitamin D deficiency in early pregnancy PLoS One. 2015;10. https://doi.org/ 10.1371.pp.analpone.0123763.
- Sari DK Witamin D supplementation increased 25(OH)D serum levels but did not reach normal range in north Sumatera women with vitamin D receptor.

gene polymorphism. Innovations in Nutrition. Food Sciences and Public Health, JAPAN. J Nutr Food Sci. 2017;7:4(Suppl). https://doi.org/10/4172/ 2155-9600-C1-044.

- Mazahery H, von Hurst PR Factors affecting 25-Hydroxyvitamin D concentration in response to Vitamin D supplementation. Nutrients. 2015;7: 5111–42. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7075111.
- Mithal A, Kalra S. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy. Indian J Endocrinol Partab. 2014;18:593–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.139204.
 Harvey NC, Holroyd C, Ntani G, Javaid K, Cooper P, Moon R, et al. Vitamin D
- Harvey NC, Holroyd C, Ntani G, Javaid K, Cooper P, Moon R, et al. Vitamin D supplementation in pregnancy: a systematic review. Health Technol Assess. 2014;18:1–190. https://doi.org/10.3310/htm18459.
- Björn LD, Wang T, Vitamin D in an ecological context. Int J Circumpolar Health. 2000;59:26-3
 Holick MF, Chen TC. Vitamin D deficiency: a worldwide problem with health
- Holick MF, Chen TC, Vitamin D deficiency: a worldwide problem with health consequences. Am J Clin Nutr. 2008;87:10805–65.
- BMKG Indonesia. Prakiraan Musim Kemarau. 2017 di Indonesia | BMKG. BMKG | Badan Meteorologi, Kimatologi, dan Geofiska. 2017. https://www. bmkg.go.id/ikim/prakiraan-musim.bmkg?p=prakiraan musim kemarau-2017 di-indonesia8tag-prakiraan-musim.kang=D. (Accessed 9 Aug 2018).
- Sari DK, Damanik HA, Lipoeto NI, Lubis Z. Is micro evolution in tropical country women resulting low 25(OH)D level?; a cross sectional study in Indonesia. J Nutr Food Sci. 2013;4: https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9600. 1000246
- Rockwell M, Kiaak V, Hulver M, Epling J. Clinical Management of low Vitamin D: a scoping review of physicians' practices. Nutrients. 2018;10. https://doi. org/10.3320/nu10040493.
- Zhao X, Fang R Yu R, Chen D, Zhao J, Xiao J. Matemal Vitamin D status in the late second trimester and the risk of severe preedampsia in southeastern China. Nutrients. 2017;9: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9020138.
- Pérez-Lopez FR, Fernández-Alonso AM, Ferrando-Marco P, González-Salmerón MD, Dionis-Sánchez EC, Fiol-Ruiz G, et al. First trimester serum 25hydroxyvitamin D status and factors related to lower levels in gravids living in the Spanish Meditemanean coast. Reprod Sci. 2011;18:730–6. https://doi. org/10.1177/1923/191104-5/20.
 Makgoba M, Nelson SM, Savvidou M, Messow C-M, Nicolaides K, Sattar N.
- Makgoba M, Nelson SM, Savvidou M, Messow C-M, Nicolaides K, Sattar N, First-trimester circulating 25-Hydroxyvitamin D levels and development of gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care, 2011;34:1091–3. https://doi.org/ 10.2337/dc10-2264.
- Andersen LB, Abrahamsen B, Dalgård C, Kyhl HB, Beck-Nielsen SS, Frost-Nielsen M, et al. Parity and tanned white skin as novel predictors of vitamin <u>D</u> status in early pregnancy: a population-based cohort <u>study</u>. Clin
- docrinol. 2013/79/33-41. https://doi.org/10.1111/cen.0.47.
 Choi R, Kim S, Yoo H, Cho YY, Kim SW, Chung JH, et al. High prevalence of Vitamin D deficiency in pregnant Korean women: the first trimester and the winter season as risk factors for Vitamin D deficiency. Nutrients. 2015;7; 3422-48. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu7053427.
- Ates S, Sevket O, Ozcan P, Ozkal F, Kaya MO. Dane B. Vitamin D status in the first-trimester: effects of Vitamin D deficiency on pregnancy outcomes. Afr Health Sci. 2016;16:36–43. https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v16i1.5

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- · fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- · support for research data, including large and complex data types
- · gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions



Page 10 of 10

vitamin D deficiency status

ORIGINALITY REPORT

