

# Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 01-Nov-2020 8:05 PM +08

ID: i432606159

Word Count: 4669

Submitted: 1

## Biodiversitas 2020 By Sri Melia

2% match  
(publications)

["Lactic Acid Bacteria", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2019](#)

Similarity Index

11%

### Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:	N/A
Publications:	11%
Student Papers:	0%

1% match  
(publications)

[Ferawati Ferawati, Sri Melia, Endang Purwati, Iskandar Zulkarnain, Hendri Purwanto, "KUALITAS MIKROBIOLOGIS SUSU KAMBING FERMENTASI MENGGUNAKAN STARTER Lactobacillus fermentum strain NCC2970 PADA PENYIMPANAN SUHU REFRIGERATOR", Journal of Applied Agricultural Science and Technology, 2019](#)

1% match (publications)

[Mahmoud Abdel-Hamid, Ehab Romeih, Raúl Ricardo Gamba, Emiko Nagai, Takuya Suzuki, Takashi Koyanagi, Toshiki Enomoto, "The biological activity of fermented milk produced by Lactobacillus casei ATCC 393 during cold storage", International Dairy Journal, 2019](#)

1% match (publications)

[Mohamad Yusof Maskat, William Lloyd Kerr, "Effect of Surfactant and Batter Mix Ratio on the Properties of Coated Poultry Product", International Journal of Food Properties, 2004](#)

1% match (publications)

[Dimitra Dimitrellou, Panagiotis Kandyllis, Steva Lević, Tanja Petrović, Sanjin Ivanović, Viktor Nedović, Yiannis Kourkoutas, "Encapsulation of Lactobacillus casei ATCC 393 in alginate capsules for probiotic fermented milk production", LWT, 2019](#)

1% match (publications)

[S Melia, E Purwati, Y F Kurnia, Ferawati, E Aisyah, G S Agazi, " Physical and microbiological properties of sausage with addition of crude bacteriocin supernatant in cold storage ", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020](#)

1% match (publications)

[Hasan Temiz, Esra Çakmak, "The effect of microbial transglutaminase on probiotic fermented milk produced using a mixture of bovine milk and soy drink", International Journal of Dairy Technology, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Mohd Yusuf. "Natural Antimicrobial Agents for Food Biopreservation", Elsevier BV, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Stephanie S. Pinto, Bianca DM Cavalcante, Silvam Verruck, Lara F. Alves, Elaine S. Prudêncio, Renata DMC Amboni. "Effect of the incorporation of Bifidobacterium BB-12 microencapsulated with sweet whey and inulin on the properties of Greek-style yogurt". Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2017](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Al-Sheraji, Sadeq Hasan, Amin Ismail, Mohd Yazid Manap, Shuhaimi Mustafa, and Rokiah Mohd Yusof. "Viability and Activity of Bifidobacteria During Refrigerated Storage of Yoghurt Containing \*Mangifera pajang\* Fibrous Polysaccharides", Journal of Food Science, 2012.](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Seyed Mohammad Bagher Hashemi, Fakhri Shahidi, Seyed Ali Mortazavi, Elnaz Milani, Zarrin Eshaghi. "Synbiotic potential of Doogh supplemented with free and encapsulated \*Lactobacillus plantarum\* L55 and \*Helianthus tuberosus\* inulin", Journal of Food Science and Technology, 2014](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[C. S. Ranadheera, C. A. Evans, S. K. Baines, Celso F. Balthazar et al. "Probiotics in Goat Milk Products: Delivery Capacity and Ability to Improve Sensory Attributes", Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Rifda Naufalin, Rumpoko Wicaksono, Eva Triyulianingrum. "Edible coating application with addition of kecombrang flower concentrates to maintain quality fillets of gurami fish during storage", AIP Publishing, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Marco A. de Paula Brotto, Thomas M. Nosek, Ralph C. Kolbeck. "Influence of Ageing on the Fatigability of Isolated Mouse Skeletal Muscles from Mature and Aged Mice", Experimental Physiology, 2002](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Rushikesh Ambadasrao Kantale, Pavan Kumar, Nitin Mehta, Manish Kumar Chatli et al. "Comparative Efficacy of Synthetic and Natural Tenderizers on Quality Characteristics of Restructured Spent Hen Meat Slices \(RSHS\)", Food Science of Animal Resources, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Yu Jin Choi, Hee Yeon Jin, Hee Sun Yang, Sang Cheon Lee, Chang Ki Huh. "Quality and storage characteristics of yogurt containing \*Lacobacillus sakei\* ALI033 and cinnamon ethanol extract", Journal of Animal Science and Technology, 2016](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Sabrina N. Casarotti, Tais F. Borgonovi, Carolina L.F.M. Batista, Ana Lúcia B. Penna. "Guava, orange and passion fruit by-products: Characterization and its impacts on kinetics of acidification and properties of probiotic fermented products", LWT, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[S D Anggraeni, H Husmaini, S Sabrina, Z Zulkarnain, E Rossi. " Viability of and](#)



[Isolated from solid waste of soy milk as candidate probiotic for poultry", IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 2020](#)

< 1% match (publications)

["Additives and Preservatives", Food Science and Technology, 2015.](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Somayeh Heydari, Seyed Ebrahim Hosseini, Amir M. Mortazavian, Salman Taheri. "Biochemical, microbiological and sensory properties of probiotic yogurt made from Iranian native strains compared to commercial strains", Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 2020](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Sri Melia, Endang Purwati, Yuherman., Jaswandi., Salam N. Aritonang, Mangatas Silaen, "Characterization of the Antimicrobial Activity of Lactic Acid Bacteria Isolated from Buffalo Milk in West Sumatera \(Indonesia\) Against \*Listeria monocytogenes\*", Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 2017](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Ana Paula A. A. Salim, Anna C. V. C. S. Canto, Bruno R. C. Costa-Lima, Julia S. Simoes et al. "Inhibitory effect of acid concentration, aging, and different packaging on O157:H7 and on color stability of beef", Journal of Food Processing and Preservation, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Senny Widyaningsih, Mochammad Chasani, Hartiwi Diastuti, Esti Rahmayanti. "The storage time on the characteristic of liquid dishwashing soap from nyamplung seed oil \(L\) and its antibacterial activity", IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2019](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Handbook of Food Chemistry, 2015.](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[N. Romano, E. Tymczynszyn, P. Mobili, A. Gomez-Zavaglia. "Prebiotics as Protectants of Lactic Acid Bacteria", Elsevier BV, 2016](#)

**B I O D I V E R S I T A S** Volume 21, Number 10, October 2020 Pages: 4591-4596 ISSN: 1412-033X E-ISSN: 2085-4722 DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d211017 The quality of fermented goat milk produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 on refrigerator temperature SRI MELIA1,?, INDRI JULIYARSI1, YULIANTI FITRI KURNIA1, YUDHA ENDRA PRATAMA2, DHIVA REZZY PRATAMA2 1Faculty of Animal Science, Universitas Andalas, Jl. Univ. Andalas, Limau Manis, Padang 25171, West Sumatera, Indonesia. Tel.: +62-751-71464, Fax.: +62-751-71464, Email: srimelia75@ansci.unand.ac.id 2Animal Science Graduate Program, Universitas Andalas, Jl. Univ. Andalas, Limau Manis, Padang 25171, West Sumatera, Indonesia Manuscript received: 7 August 2020. Revision accepted: 11 September 2020. Abstract. Melia S, Juliyarsi I, Kurnia YF, Pratama YE, Pratama DR. 2020. The quality of fermented goat milk produced by *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 on refrigerator temperature. Biodiversitas 21: 4591-4596. This research aimed to determine the quality of chemical and microbiological milk of goats fermented with *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 with the storage time on refrigerator temperature. The method used is the experimental method of Completely Randomized



Design with five times the treatment of goat milk fermentation at the refrigerator temperature is over 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days with four repetitions. The results showed long-lasting storage of real effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on water content, pH, titratable acid, total lactic acid bacteria, and total plate count, but no noticeable effect ( $P > 0.05$ ) on the protein levels and milk fat fermentation during the resulting storage. The value of moisture content during storage ranges between 85.88-84.92%, pH 4.48-4.28, 3.69-3.49% fat content, 3.53-3.58% protein content, as well as the count of titratable acid ranging from 1.52-1.73%. The whole colonies of lactic acid bacteria reached between 9.106 log CFU/mL to 10.376 log CFU/mL, and the total plate count (TPC) value during storage experienced an increase from 2.653 log CFU/mL to 4.012 log CFU/mL. Based on the results of the study can be concluded that the milk of goat fermentation with P. acidilactici BK01 can maintain quality until the retention period of 28 days with the viability of lactic acid bacteria that meet the category as probiotics and still liked by the consumer. Keywords: Fermented milk, goat milk, lactic acid bacteria, *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01

**INTRODUCTION** Fermented milk is one of the products processed by livestock through the fermentation stage involving lactic acid bacteria. Raw materials that are widely used to make fermented milk are cow's milk, buffalo milk, sheep's milk, and goat's milk. In developing countries, goat's milk has been widely consumed because it has therapeutic benefits, high nutritional value, and as a source of probiotic microorganisms (Selvajeyanthi et al. 2019). In the processing of milk fermentation, it utilizes goat milk because goat milk has many benefits for health including: can increase the absorption of Fe, efficient for the healing of asthma and tuberculosis, containing proteins, vitamin A, vitamin B (riboflavin), enzymes, and high minerals, has a great potential as a probiotic carrier (Cahyanti 2011), due to the presence of potentially lactic acid bacteria as probiotics (Melia et al. 2018) and does not cause diarrhea. With this specialty owned by goat Milk, it makes the milk a suitable medium for the growth of the decay and pathogenic microorganisms. Therefore, some ways to extend the shelf life of goat milk can be done by processing goat's milk into fermented milk (Kurnia et al. 2014). Fermentation of milk involves lactic acid bacteria, in which case it is used is *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01. Excess strains of this P. acidilactici BK01 is a lactic acid bacteria. This is the result of isolation from Bekasam that has passed the selection as a probiotic bacteria (Melia et al. 2019). The selection of probiotic lactic acid bacteria among them can withstand the acidic condition of pH 2 and resistant to bile salts 0.3% and has antimicrobial activity against pathogenic bacteria, namely *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* (Melia et al. 2018; Melia et al. 2019). Lactic acid bacteria can inhibit the growth of pathogenic bacteria (*Escherichia coli* Q157: H7 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC25923) because of the presence of anti-bacterial compounds produced such as bacteriocin (Melia et al. 2017) and bacteriocin also inhibit *Listeria monocytogenes* (Pato et al. 2020). *Pediococcus acidilactici* is a strain of lactic acid bacteria that is often used in the processing of dairy products because of its ability to produce acids and is beneficial for health. These bacteria have antimicrobial activity against several other bacteria and have the potential as probiotic (Holland et al. 2011). P. acidilactici produces a bacteriocin called *Pediocin*. *Pediocin* can inhibit the growth of positive gram bacteria and is also useful in inhibiting gram negative bacteria (Deives-Broughton 2012) and *Pediocin* PA-1, is a peptide that is an antimicrobial and is used as a bio preservative alternative in the food industry (Yusuf 2018). *Pediocin*, including a type of



thermostable protein, is active in preventing food spoilage and inhibiting pathogenic microorganisms such as *L. monocytogenes*, *Enterococcus faecalis*, *S. aureus*, and *Clostridium perfringens* (Gálvez et al. 2014; Juneja et al. 2012). The purpose of this research is to measure the chemical quality and microbiology of fermented milk made from the starter *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 during storage at refrigerator temperature.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS** Fermented milk was made using the milk of the Peranakan Etawa and the starter of *P. acidilactici* BK01 as much as 5%. The study used a Completely Randomized Design of 5 treatment of storage fermented goat milk, i.e., 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days with four repetitions at refrigerator temperature (4°C). Fermented milk production Goat milk was pasteurized at a temperature of 65-67°C for 30 minutes, then the milk temperature up to 37°C (Donkor et al. 2006). Starter *P. acidilactici* BK01 added as much as 5%, next incubated for 12 hours at 37°C temperature. Fermented milk of *P. acidilactici* BK01 was stored according to the treatment of 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days (modification of Melia et al. 2019).

**Determination of chemical parameters** The determination of chemical parameters from fermented goat milk was moisture content, proteins, fats, Titratable Acid, and pH. **Moisture content** Moisture content was measured by the drying oven method (AOAC 2005). As much as 2 grams of fermented goat milk powder samples were prepared and put into a porcelain cup, which had measured its empty weight with an analytical balance, then each sample and cup was put into the oven and dried at 105°C for 4 hours. After it reached 4 hours drying oven, the sample was removed from the oven. Next, it was put in a desiccator for 15 minutes and weighed with an analytical balance. The **moisture content** was determined by calculating the weight subtraction between the samples before and after drying, and then was divided by the sample's weight, and finally multiplying it with 100% (AOAC 2005). Protein content A sample of 0.5 gram was put into a Kjeldahl flask, then it was added with 10 ml of concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 0.5-gram selenium, then it was destructed until the color turned into a clear green then followed by distillation. The distillation results were then titrated using 0.1 N HCl until the color changed into purple and determined the blank form. Protein levels were calculated using the formula as described in Chang and Zhang (2017). Fat content Samples were weighed 1.5 g in filter paper (as weight A) and wrapped. The wrapped sample was **dried in an oven at 105 °C for 4 hours** then weighed with analytical balance (as weight B). The sample was put into a fat flask and extracted by using ether solvent for 6 hours. After that, the sample was dried in an oven at 105 °C for 1 hour, and it was weighed with analytical balance (as weight C). The percentage of total fat content was determined by calculating the difference weight between dried and extracted dried samples. Then it was divided by the sample weight (AOAC 2005). Testing of pH and titratable acid pH testing using HANNA Romania the calibrated pH meter with a buffer of pH 4 and pH 7 (AOAC 2012). The titratable acid was measured by mixing fermented milk with 10 mL of aquadest. and it is calculated Mangifera pajang Fibrous Polysaccharides", Journal of Food Science, 2012.">**with 0.1 N NaOH using phenolphthalein indicator** until it showed pink (Parmar 2003). Calculation of total plate count and total lactic acid bacteria The estimate of Total Plate Count (TPC) Mangifera pajang Fibrous Polysaccharides", Journal of Food Science, 2012.">**Paseephol and Sherkat (2009)** and Total Mangifera pajang Fibrous Polysaccharides", Journal of Food Science, 2012.">**lactic acid bacteria** and measured based on Harley and Presscot (2002). Sensory evaluation All fermented milk samples stored for 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 days were



evaluated for taste, aroma, and texture preferences by 25 staff members of the Animai Science Department. A five-point hedonic scale was utilized in this study (1 = dislike extremely; 2 = dislike slightly; 3 = neither like nor dislike; 4 = like slightly; 5 = like extremely). Statistical analysis All the data obtained were analyzed statistically using experimental design, five treatments, and four repetitions. Data that has significant influence ( $P < 0.05$ ) was continued with the **Duncan's Multiple Range Test** using SPSS software statistic 19. **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION** The research results showed that the longer the storage at the refrigerator temperature **showed a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) on water content**, but showed no significant effect ( $P > 0.05$ ) to the protein and fat value in the resulting fermented goat milk. Moisture content Based on Table 1, It was known that there was a difference in the milk content of fermented goat after stored up to 28 days ( $P < 0.05$ ). The results of water content analysis during storage decreased to 84.92% up to 7 days of storage and not significant after 14 days. This was suspected because goat milk ferments during storage absorbed water from its environment. The longer the storage water content will continue to increase, even though at the start of water content, storage can be decreased. The results of this study are in line with Melia et al. (2019), which was the moisture content of **fermented goat milk containing Lactobacillus fermentum** strains NCC2970 which range from 85% after storage for 15 days. MELIA et al. – The quality of fermented goat milk 4593 This is supported by the statement of Herawati (2008), According to Usmiati et al. (2011), the pH value of that changes in the water content of fermented milk can be fermented milk will further decrease with the length of influenced by the temperature and humidity of the room storage in cold temperatures. It was added by Melia et al. during storage and changed in water content in the product (2019) that the old storage of fermented milk using the was a factor that is very influential to the decline in the starter Lactobacillus fermentum NCC2970 at a temperature quality of food products. of 4oC able to lower the pH value. The pH value obtained in this study ranged from 4.28 – Protein content 4.48. The results of this research were not much different Protein content of fermented goat milk (Table 1) during from the Melia et al. (2019) research, where the pH of storage shows no significant effect ( $P > 0.05$ ) against the fermented milk is ranging from 4.0-4.9 so that it can be quality of the resulting protein. It was caused by the storage concluded that the pH value of milk fermented goat milk P. of fermented milk of P. acidilactici BK01, which was done acidilactici BK01 which was stored at the temperature of at the refrigerator temperature until the storage of the 28th the refrigerator until the storage of the 28th day, still able to day has not influenced the resulting protein levels because maintain its quality. Abdei-Hamid et al. (2018), the pH it has not shown the sign will expire. From Table 1, it can value of fermented milk made from Lb. casei ATCC 393 be seen as protein levels fermented milk until the storage of decreased during storage at cold temperatures of 4.69 to 28 days still meet the quality standard of Indonesian 4.04 for 28 days. Furthermore, Bosnea et al. (2017) National Standard 2981:2009 fermented milk, i.e., a reported that Lb. casei ATCC 393 also decreased after 60 minimum of 2.7%. These protein levels are lower than days of storage (pH 4.27 to 4.03). This is caused by the Melia et al. (2019), which is about 4%. This is likely due to **ability of Lb. casei ATCC 393 produces organic acids** the source of **milk** from different farms and the difference during storage (Terpou et al. 2017). in the type of feed given, but the same as research with Güneş Bayır et al. (2019), which reported that the protein Titratable acid (TTA)



content of yogurt with the addition of cinnamon was The result of titratable acid of fermented goat milk 3.54%. indicated a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Figure 2.) showed the more extended the fermented goat milk product was Fat content stored, and then the total lactic acid was increasing as the Fat is a component of milk that can provide higher pH decrease occurred. A low pH would be a suitable energy than both protein and carbohydrates. Based on the environment for *P. acidilactici* BK01 (which is a results of the research known the highest fat content found homofermentative type bacteria) to grow and produce in the 0-day storage was 3.69%, and the lowest on the 28th metabolites of lactic acid. It was by the opinions of Mal day of storage was 3.49%, but statistically showed a (2013) and Magalhaes et al. (2011) stating that the length difference that was not significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). This was in of storage will affect the total lactic acid and generally, line with the protein results gained that up to 28 days of lactic acid bacteria can be distinguished into two groups, storage have not given a noticeable effect on the resulting namely homofermentative and heterofermentative, where a fat levels. This fat content is almost the same as the fat homofermentative group of fermented glucose produces content of yogurt with the addition of cinnamon, 3.2-3.3% lactic acid as the only product, like *Pediococcus* and some (Cüney Bayır et al. 2019). If compared with the level of fat *Lactobacillus*. according to the quality standard fermented milk prescribed based on the results of the research of fermented goat Indonesian National Standard 2981:2009, that was at least milk *Paediococcus acidilactici* BK01 stored at refrigerator 3%, then the milk of fermented goat *P. acidilactici* BK01 temperature has qualified the quality of fermented milk produced was worth consuming. Indonesian National Standard 2981:2009. The value of TTA fermented milk obtained in the research ranged from pH 1.52%-1.73% for 28 days of the storage period. A decrease The result of the analysis pH of fermented goat milk in pH causes the increase in titratable acid during storage, indicated a significant effect ( $P < 0.05$ ) between the which lactic acid bacteria ferment lactose to produce lactic duration of storage at the refrigerator temperature (Figure acid (Costa et al. 2016). The results of the study were 1.). The longer the storage time was done, the pH value almost identical to the Melia et al. Research. (2019) on the was decreasing. The decrease in the pH value was due to quality, viability, and anti-bacterial properties of the the activity of lactic acid bacteria derived from the starter *Lactobacillus fermentum* NCC2970 in goat milk *P. acidilactici* BK01 used in the manufacture of fermented fermentation at a temperature of 40C with a TTA rate of goat milk. This bacteria will ferment lactose and will 0.80-1.52 during 15-day storage. Thus, the value of the produce lactic acid, resulting in a decrease in pH. It is by results of this research has fulfilled the criteria as the opinions of Costa et al. (2016) that lactic acid bacteria fermented milk. Abdel-Hamid et al. (2018) also reported ferment lactose into glucose and galactose, then the glucose that fermented milk is made from *Lb. casei* 393, increased was converted into lactic acid. during storage for 21 days in cold temperatures. This is the Also, a decrease in the pH of fermented goat milk same as the research of Sah, Vasiljević, McKechnie); during storage as the total acid increase of fermented milk which uses *Lb. casei* 393 to produce probiotic yogurt. was produced. The higher the whole level of fermented Dimitrellou et al. (2016) also explain the increase in milk acid than the lower the pH, as seen in this research. titratable acid during storage for 28 days (0.7 to 0.9) along with a decrease in pH. 4,5 4,48 4,4 4,35 4,35 pH 4,3 4,28 4,2 4,23 4,1 0 7 14 21 28 Storage Time (days)

Figure 1. pH of fermented goat milk *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 Table 1.



Chemical analysis of fermented goat milk of *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01  
 Storage time Water (%) Protein (%) Fat (%) (days) 0 85.34ab 3.53 3.69 7  
 84.92b 3.50 3.66 14 85.88a 3.55 3.53 21 85.57ab 3.56 3.57 28 85.51ab  
 3.58 3.49 Note: **Means within a column with different superscripts are significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )** Figure 2. Titratable acid of fermented goat milk  
*Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 Table 2. Total lactic acid bacteria and total  
 plate count of fermented goat milk *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 Storage  
 time LAB. TPC (days) (Log CFU/mL) (Log CFU/mL) 0 10.376a 2.653d 7  
 9.798bc 3.891b 14 9.854b 3.322d 21 9.833b 3.633c 28 9.106c 4.012a  
 Note: **Means within a column with different superscripts are significantly ( $P < 0.05$ )** Based on the data in Table 2, the duration of storage Total plate  
 count of fermented goat milk was significant ( $P < 0.05$ ), decreasing the  
 total lactic acid The number of aerobic bacterial colonies in fermented  
 bacteria of fermented goat milk. The longer it was stored, goat milk  
 significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) increasing with the more the total lactic acid  
 bacteria will decrease. Many dead lactic extended the storage period. The  
 lowest amount of aerobic acid bacteria caused this, due to the more  
 extended storage bacterial colonies in fermented goat milk was found on  
 the conditions (28 days), resulting in reduced nutrient 0-day storage day of  
 2.653 log CFU/mL and the highest on availability for *P. acidilactici* BK01 to  
 produce lactic acid. 28-day storage of 4.012 log CFU/mL. This condition  
 was Same with Abdei-Hamid et al. (2018), fermented milk Lb. in line with  
 the total lactic acid bacteria that the longer the casei ATCC 393, which is  
 stored for up to 28 days in cold storage was decreasing its number. It was  
 closely related to temperatures, has a number of lactic acid bacterial cells  
 the 4 phases experienced by lactic acid bacteria. The results above 9 log  
 CFU g<sup>-1</sup>. [Bosnea et al. \(2017\)](#), [Dimitrellou et al. \(2016\)](#), and [Sah et al. \(2015\)](#) also  
 reported a decrease in limit of its bacterial contamination, according to  
 SNI, is 1 x the number of bacterial cells after 60 days of storage at 106  
 CFU/mL. cold temperatures. Whereas Terpou et al. (2017) stated the  
 decline in bacterial cells occurred after 30 days of storage. Sensory  
 evaluation The total decrease **in Lactic Acid Bacteria in** this study The  
 sensory evaluation for *P. acidilactici* BK01 of was also closely related to the  
 decline in pH occurring. fermented milk **can be seen in Figure 3**, which  
**shows that** This was by the opinion of (Prasanna et al. 2013), the the  
 taste, aroma, and texture of fermented milk stored for decrease **in the**  
**number of lactic acid bacteria** was closely **28 days did not** show a  
**significant effect ( $P > 0.05$ )**. This associated with the reduction of pH  
 products due to the means that storage time does not affect the taste,  
 aroma, **accumulation of organic acids as a result of** the metabolites and  
 texture of fermented milk. The level of consumer of fermentation. pH  
 decline will inhibit even stopping the preference in terms of taste  
 (3.59-3.70), flavor (3.70-3.80), growth **of lactic acid bacteria** itself. and  
 texture (3.70-3.84). The average result of the The **decrease in the**  
**amount of Lactic Acid Bacteria in** assessment by the panelists reached a  
 score of 4. namely this research was similar to the study by Melia et al. like  
 slightly. The same thing was shown by Ammar et al. (2019). Where  
 fermented milk was kept, then lactic acid (2019), bio-yogurt with the  
 addition of honey, is still bacteria decreased to 4.8 x 10<sup>8</sup>CFU/mL. Further  
 explained favored by consumers after being stored for 15 days. that it was  
 caused by reduced lactose as a significant source Furthermore, Huang et  
 al. (2020) reported the fat free of carbon by bacteria. Compared with  
 Indonesian National buffalo set of yogurt with the addition of 3%  
 polydextrose Standard 2981:2009, the minimum amount of total Lactic



stored for 21 days did not affect consumer acceptance. Acid Bacteria in fermented milk is 107CFU/mL. MELIA et al. – The quality of fermented goat milk 4595 Figure 3. Consumer acceptability scores of fermented milk In conclusion, extended storage in cold temperatures can affect the quality of milk of the fermented goat *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01. The storage of goat milk fermentation for 28 days in cold temperatures can still maintain the number of lactic acid bacteria that meet the criteria of probiotics that are 9.106 log CFU/mL with a titratable acid of 1.73% and pH of fermented milk goat reaches 4.28, with a value of TPC 4.012 log CFU/ ml, protein content 3.57%, fat content 3.49%, and moisture content 85.51% that still liked by the consumer. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This research was supported by research cluster publications to professors (Project No. T/ 8 //UN.16.17/ PPI.01.03/Pangan-PIU-KRP2GB/LPPM/2020) LPPM Andalas University, Padang, Indonesia. REFERENCE Abdel-Hamid M, Romeih E, Gamba RR, Nagai E, Suzuki T, Koyanagi T, Enomoto T. 2018. The biological activity of fermented milk produced by *Lactobacillus casei* ATCC 393 during cold storage. *Intl Dairy J* 9: 1-8. Ammar ET, Ismail MM, Khalil AEWE, Eid MZ. 2019. Impact of fortification with honey on some properties of bio-yoghurt. *J Microbiol Biotechnol Food Sci* 2019: 503-508. AOAC. 2005. *Official Methods of Analysis*. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington DC. AOAC. 2012. *Official Method of Analysis: Association of Analytical Chemists*. 19th Edition. AOAC, Washington DC. Bosnea LA, Kopsahelis N, Kokkali V, Terpou A, Kanellaki M. 2017. Production of a novel probiotic yogurt by incorporation of *L. casei* enriched fresh apple pieces, dried raisins, and wheat grains. *Food Bioprod Process* 102: 62-71 Cahyanti AN. 2011. Viabilitas probiotik *Lactobacillus casei* pada yoghurt susu kambing selama penyimpanan beku. *J Teknologi Pertanian* 12: 176-180. [Indonesian] Costa MP, da Silva Frasao B, da Costa Lima BRC, Rodrigues BL, Junior CAC. 2016. Simultaneous analysis of carbohydrates and organic acids by HPLC-DAD-RI for monitoring goat's milk yogurt fermentation. *Talanta* 152: 162-170. Chang SKC, Zhang Y. 2017. Protein Analysis. In: *Food Analysis*. Springer, Cham. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-45776-536. Deives-Broughton J. 2012. Natural antimicrobials as additives and ingredients for the preservation of foods and beverages. In: Baines D, Seal R (eds.). *Natural Food Additives, Ingredients, and Flavours*. Woodhead Publishing, UK. Dimitrellou D, Kandyllis P, Petrović T, et al. 2016. Survival of spray-dried microencapsulated *Lactobacillus casei* ATCC 393 in simulated gastrointestinal conditions and fermented milk. *LWT-Food Sci Technol* 71: 169-174. Donkor ON, Henriksson A, Vasiljevic T, Shah NP. 2006. Effect of acidification on the activity of probiotics in yoghurt during cold storage. *Intl Dairy J* 16 (10): 1161-1169. Gálvez A, López RL, Puriño RP, Burgos MJG. 2014. Application of lactic acid bacteria and their bacteriocins for food biopreservation. *Food Biopreservation*, New York. GüneşBayır A, Bilgin MG. 2019. The effect of cinnamon on microbiological, chemical, and sensory analyses of probiotic yoghurt. *Bezmialem Sci* 7 (4): 311-316. Harley, Prescott. 2002. *Laboratory Exercise in Microbiology*. McGraw Hill Publisher, USA. Herawati H. 2008. Penentuan umur simpan pada produk pangan. *Jurnal Litbang Pertanian* 27 (4): 124-130. Holland R, Crow V, Curry B. 2011. Lactic acid bacteria *Pediococcus* spp. In: Fuquay JW (ed.). *Encyclopedia of Dairy Sciences*. Academic Press, USA. Huang L, Abdel-Hamid M, Romeih E, Zeng, Yang P, Walker G, Li L. 2020. Textural and organoleptic properties of fat-free buffalo yogurt as affected by polydextrose. *Intl J Food Prop* 23: 1-8. Juneja VK, Dwivedi HP, Yan X. 2012. Novel natural food antimicrobials. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol* 3:



381-403. Kurnia YF, Yasni S, Nurtama B. 2014. Optimization formula of goat milk yoghurt and white oyster mushroom powder with mixture design methods. *Pak J Nutr* 13 (5): 296-302. Mal R. 2013. Effect of Storage Duration in Refrigerator Temperature on pH Value, Viscosity, Total Lactic Acid and Profiles Protein Dissolved of Goat Milk Kefir. [Thesis]. Universitas Brawijaya, Malang. [Indonesian] Magalhães KT, Pereira GVDM, Campos CR, Dragone G, Schwan RF. 2011. Brazilian kefir structure, microbial communities, and chemical composition. *Braz J Microbiol* 42: 693-702. Melia S, Yuherman J, Purwati E. 2017. Characterization of the antimicrobial activity of lactic acid bacteria isolated from buffalo milk in West Sumatra (Indonesia) against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Pak J Nutr* 16 (6): 645-650. Melia S, Yuherman J, Purwati E. 2018. Selection of buffalo milk, lactic acid bacteria with probiotic potential. *Asian J Pharm Clin Res* 11: 186-189. Melia S, Ferawati, Yuherman. 2018. Probiotic characterization of lactic acid bacteria isolated from raw milk (Buffalo, Cow, and Goat) from West Sumatra, Indonesia. *Asian J Microbiol Biotechnol Environ Sci* 20: 131-139. Melia S, Ferawati, Zulkarnain I et al. 2019. Quality, viability, and antibacterial properties of *Lactobacillus fermentum* NCC2970 in probiotic fermented goat milk at 4°C. *Asian J Microbiol Biotechnol Environ Sci* 21 (2): 237-242. Melia S, Purwati E, Kurnia YF, et al. 2019. Antimicrobial potential of *Pediococcus acidilactici* BK01 from Bekasam, fermentation of sepatrawa fish (*Tricopodus trichopterus*) from Banyuasin, South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas* 20 (12): 3532-3538. Parmar R. 2003. Incorporation of Acid Whey Powders in Probiotic Yoghurt. [Thesis]. South Dakota State University, USA. Paseephol T, Sherkat F. 2009. Probiotic stability of yoghurts containing Jerusalem artichoke inulins during refrigerated storage. *J Funct Foods* 1: 311-318. Pato U, Yusuf Y, Fitriani S, Jonnadi NN, Wahyuni MS, Feruni JA, Jaswir I. 2020. Inhibitory activity of crude bacteriocin produced by lactic acid bacteria isolated from dadih against *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Biodiversitas* 21: 1295-1302. Prasanna PIP, Grandison AS, Charalampopoulos D. 2013. Microbiological, chemical and rheological properties of low fat set yoghurt produced with exopolysaccharide (EPS) producing *Bifidobacterium* strains. *Food Res Int* 51: 15-22. Selvajeyanthi S, Hemashenpagam N, Vinotha M. 2019. Potential probiotic analysis: indigenous lactic acid bacteria from freshly drawn goat milk. *Intl J Sci Res Biol Sci* 6: 65-72. Sah BNP, Vasiljevic T, McKechnie S, Donkor ON. 2015. Effect of refrigerated storage on probiotic viability and the production and stability of antimutagenic and antioxidant peptides in yogurt supplemented with pineapple peel. *J Dairy Sci* 98: 5905-5916. Usmiati S, Broto W, Setiyanto. 2011. Character of cow's milk curd using probiotic bacteria starter. *Jurnal Ilmu Ternak dan Veteriner* 16 (2): 140-152. [Indonesian] Terpoü A, Giailiü Ai, Bekatorou A, Dimitreliou D, Ganatsios V, Barouni E, Kanellaki M. 2017. Sour milk production by wheat bran supported probiotic biocatalyst as starter culture. *Food Bioprod Proc* 101: 184-192. Yusuf M. 2018. Natural antimicrobial agents for food biopreservation. In: Grumezescu A, Holban M (eds.). *Food Packaging and Preservation*. Academic Press, USA. 4592 B I O D I V E R S I T A S 21 (10): 4591-4596, October 2020 4594 B I O D I V E R S I T A S 21 (10): 4591-4596, October 2020 4596 B I O D I V E R S I T A S 21 (10): 4591-4596, October 2020