## Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 08-Mar-2020 1:10 PM +08 ID: 1271350581 Word Count: 3962 Submitted: 1

599-604 By Novirman Jamarun

## 6% match (publications)

Elihasridas ., Mardiati Zain, Yetti Marlida, Andri .. "Effect of the Level of Energy and Dietary Protein of Ammoniated Rice Straw on the Productivity of Male Simmental Cattle in a Tropical Area", Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 2019

Similarity Index 11%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources:

Publications: Student Papers: N/A 11% N/A

## 5% match (publications)

Roni Pazla, Mardiati Zain, H. Irsan Ryanto, Afrini Dona. "Supplementation of Minerals (Phosphorus and Sulfur) and Saccharomyces cerevisiae in a Sheep Diet Based on a Cocoa By-product", Pakistan Journal of Nutrition, 2018

OPEN ACCESS Pakistan Journal of Nutrition ISSN 1680-5194 DOI: 10.3923/pjn.2017.599.604 Research Article Effect of Dietary Supplementation Based on an Ammoniated Palm Frond with Direct fed Microbials and Virgin Coconut Oil on the Growth Performance and Methane Production of Bali Cattle Heni Suryani, M. Zain, R.W.S. Ningrat and N. Jamarun Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Science Andalas University, Kampus Limau Manis, Padang, West Sumatera, Indonesia Abstract Objective: The objectives of this study were to evaluate the effect of supplementation of an ammoniated palm frond- based diet with Direct-Fed Microbials (DFM) and Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) on the in vivo methane production, Dry Matter Intake (DMI), Organic Matter Intake (OMI), Daily Gain (ADG) and nitrogen retention of Bali beef cattle. Materials and Methods: The DFMs used in this study were Saccharomyces cerevisiae (SC) and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens (BA) and the Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) contained 51.95% C12:0. In a pilot study, 16 male Bali cattle were assigned treatments in a randomized complete block design. Cattle were fed a basal diet containing (dry matter basis) 40% ammoniated palm frond and 60% concentrate and the treatments were: a) control, b) SC 1% DM, c) SC 0.5% DM + BA 0.5% DM and d) SC 1% DM+VCO 2% DM. Data were analysed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and differences among means were tested using Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT). Results: The results showed that supplementation with SC, SC+BA, SC+VCO significantly (p<0.05) reduced DMI and OMI but that the treatments were also able to increase ADG by 0.63, 0.63 and 0.71 kg dayG1, respectively. Supplementation with SC+VCO increased the feed efficiency and reduced methane gas production by up to 20.63% compared to the control and nitrogen retention tended to decrease with DFM and VCO supplementation. Conclusion: These results suggest that supplementation with SC+VCO generates the best results in Bali beef cattle growth performance, methane gas production and feed efficiency. Key words: Direct-fed microbials, virgin coconut oil, methane, ammoniated palm frond, cattle Received: April 15, 2017 Accepted: June 22, 2017 Published: July 15, 2017 Citation: Heni Suryani, M. Zain, R.W.S. Ningrat and N. Jamarun, 2017. Effect of dietary supplementation based on an ammoniated palm frond with direct fed microbials and virgin coconut oil on the growth performance and methane production of Bali cattle. Pak. J. Nutr., 16: 599-604. Corresponding Author: M. Zain, Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Science Andalas University, Kampus Limau Manis, Padang, West Sumatera, Indonesia Copyright: © 2017 Heni Suryani et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. Competing Interest: The authors have declared that no competing interest exists. Data Availability: All relevant data are within the paper and its supporting information files. INTRODUCTION The area under oil palm plantation in Indonesia is increasing annually1 and palm frond is an agricultural by-product with the potential to be used as feed for ruminants2. The efficiency of rumen fermentation can be improved through a variety of approaches, including pre-treatment of the feed materials that will be given to cattle, the use of methanogenesis inhibitors and supplementation using microorganisms3-5. Direct-Fed Microbials (DFMs) are live microorganisms6 and the direct fed microbial Saccharomyces cerevisiae can compete with bacterial starch to stimulate growth factors, such as organic acids or vitamins, thus stimulating the population of cellulolytic enzymes7,8. Thus the digestibilities of dry matter, organic matter and fibre can be improved and rumen fermentation can be increased when high-concentrate diets are supplemented with live Yeast Culture (YC)9. Therefore, supplementation with SC could improve nutrient digestibility and cattle growth performance10. Additionally, the DFM Bacillus amyloliquefaciens is cellulolytic and can be degraded crude fibre and produce the extracellular enzymes cellulase and hemicellulase11. Supplementation of an ammoniated palm frond-based diet with SC plus BA could increase dry matter and organic matter digestibility in vitro12. High

fibre feed not only lowers the feed efficiency but also increases methane (CH4) production in ruminants. The release of methane causes the loss of 6-13% of the energy in the feed13. However, Medium Chain Fatty Acids (MCFA) have the potential to suppress ruminal methanogenesis14 and the reduction in archaeal numbers might be partially due to the defaunating effect of MCFA15. Virgin Coconut Oil (VCO) contains a high percentage of MCFA [7.19-8.81% C8:0, 5.65-6.59% C10:0, 46.9-48.0% C12:0 and 16.2-18.9% C14:0]16 but supplementation of the diets of lactating dairy cow with VCO at 29.8 g kgG1 DM do not affect the ruminal population of protozoa and methanogenic archaea17. Suryani et al.12 found that supplementation with SC+VCO could decrease the proportion of acetate propionate and methane gas production by up to 45% compared to a control ammoniated palm frond-based diet in vitro. We hypothesized that DFM and VCO supplementation would increase the growth performance of Bali cattle by reducing CH4 production. Hence, the purpose of the current study was to evaluate the effect of DFM and VCO supplementation on feed intake, methane production and nitrogen retention in Bali cattle. MATERIALS AND METHODS The experiment was conducted from May-June, 2016, at the farm of the Animal Science Faculty of Andalas University, Indonesia. Diet: Oil palm fronds without leaves and sticks that were previously treated with 6% urea were obtained from oil palm plantation of Andalas University, Indonesia. The DFMs used in the study were Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. The concentration of the live Saccharomyces cerevisiae of strain Meyen ex Hansen was 14×108colonies gG1. The Bacillus amyloliquefaciens obtained commercially11 at a concentration of 12×106 colonies gG1. Virgin coconut oil was obtained from Andalas University, Indonesia and it consisted of 9.23% C8:0, 7.22% C10:0 and 51.95% C12:0. The basal diet contained (dry basis) 40% ammoniated palm frond and 60% concentrate. The compositions of the feeds including the concentrate are shown in Table 1. Experimental design and procedure: Sixteen male Bali beef cattle of similar age (1.5-2 years) and initial body weight (110-174 kg) were placed in individual pens with free access to water and feed. The animals and treatments were randomly assigned in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with four replicate blocks and four treatment blocks, the treatments are shown in Table 1. The feed was offered during the morning and afternoon. The experimental period was 26 days, twenty one of which were for adaptation and five for samplings. The offered and rejected feed was weighed to determine the total intake of DM and OM. During the collection period, the animals were fitted with bags with a harness to collect the faeces. The total faecal excretion was collected once daily and representative samples (10% of the total) were dried at 60EC overnight and kept in sealed bags until analysis. The feed and faecles were ground to pass through a 1 mm screen and formed into a composite sample. Dry matter, organic matter and nitrogen were analysed by standard methods18. Feed intake and feed efficiency: Dry Matter Intake (DMI) was calculated as the DM of the diet/100\*the total diet intake and Organic Matter Intake (OMI) was calculated as the OM of the diet/100\*the total diet intake. Feed intake was based on the metabolic body weight and calculated as the DMI or OMI/BW0.75\*1000 and feed efficiency was calculated as ADG/DM intake \*100%. Table 1: Ingredients and nutrient compositions of the experimental diets (DM basis) Diets ----------- Components A B C D Ammoniated palm frond 40.00 40.00 40.00 40.00 Rice brand 20.00 20.00 20.00 20.00 Palm kernel 33.50 33.50 33.50 33.50 Corn 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 Mineral 1.00 1.00 1.00 Salt 0.50 0.50 0.50 Total 100.0 100.00 100.00 Supplementation S. cerevisiae - 1.00 0.50 1.00 B. amyloliquefaciens - - 0.50 - VCO - - - 2.00 Nutrient composition Crude protein 14.34 14.34 14.34 14.34 Fat 4.82 4.82 4.82 4.82 TDN 63.28 63.28 63.28 63.28 NDF 42.26 42.26 42.26 42.26 ADF 21.59 21.59 21.59 21.59 Table 2: Feed intake, daily weight gain, methane gas production and nitrogen retention of Bali cattle fed experimental diets Treatment ------------ Variables Control SC SC+BA SC+VCO SEM DMI (kg dayG1) 3.16a 3.01b 2.99b 2.57c DM/BW0.75 intake (g kgG1 b.wt. 0.75 dG1) 79.94a 75.68ab 74.24ab 67.36b OMI (kg dayG1) 3.93a 3.74b 3.72b 3.19c OM/BW0.75 intake (g kgG1 b.wt. 0.75 dG1) 99.28a 97.14a 93.98a 83.65b ADG (kg dayG1) 0.53c 0.63b 0.63b 0.71a Feed efficiency (%) 16.96c 20.84b 21.34b 27.77a Methane production (L dayG1) 109.01c 103.27b 102.61b 86.52a Nitrogen retention (g dayG1) 50.51a 47.99a 47.16a 37.23b 0.143 1.790 0.017 1.504 0.007 0.311 0.501 0.797 Description: Different letters (a,b,c) in the same row indicate significant differences between treatments (p<0.05) Methane determination: Methane production was measured using the methane emission estimation method (Shibata's equation), as follows: (Methane production (L dayG1) = -0.849×DMI2+42.793 ×DMI-17.766) where, DMI = Dry Matter Intake (kg dayG1) Nitrogen retention: Nitrogen retention was calculated as the nitrogen intake minus the nitrogen contained in the faeces and urine19. This value can be used to determine whether the nitrogen balance is positive or negative. Statistical analysis: Statistical analysis was performed using SAS program20 and differences among the treatments were evaluated by one-way (ANOVA). Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) was used for comparison of means of treatments. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION The DMI, OMI, ADG, feed efficiency, methane production and nitrogen retention of the experimental rations with and without DFM and VCO supplementations are summarized in Table 2. Table 2 shows that SC+VCO supplementation significantly (p<0.05) reduced DMI and OMI, while increasing ADG. Virgin coconut oil contains lauric acid, which has maximum digestibility, so this component can be digested more rapidly than other types of fat and quickly used as a source of energy21,22. Furthermore, the energy that it provided to the cattle decreased feed intake. Diet supplementation with DFM produced a beneficial microorganismal balance that reduced nutrient degradation in the rumen23. Therefore, DFM supplementation of beef cattle diets could improve growth performance, milk and meat production as has been shown in many studies24,25. Supplementation with SC+VCO could significantly (p<0.05) increase ADG. Increased ADG by a probiotic has been shown to increase DM and protein intake as well as nitrogen retention26,27. Saccharomyce sp., could stimulate the growth of rumen bacteria, especially cellulolytic bacteria that influence feed intake and

digestibility and thus influence the ADG28. In this study, direct fed microbials, benefitted ruminants and influenced meat production29 and daily gain (ADG) was higher in calves receiving a combination of S. cerevisiae and enzyme30. Supplementation with the DFMSC alone and combine with B. amyloliquefaciens (treatment B and C) had the same result on ADG. Qiao et al.31 reported that B. licheniformis supplementation increased dry matter intake and milk protein percentages. Furthermore, the DFMSC could modify the rumen ecosystem to better degrade fibre32. Table 2 shows that supplementation with SC+VCO significantly (p<0.05) decreased methane gas production indicating that there was a synergistic effect when the DFM SC was combined with VCO. VCO has MCFA that decrease methane production but SC also inhibits methane production. This is supported by Ipharraguerre and Clark33, who found that diet supplementation with coconut oil could decrease methanogens. Furthermore, coconut oil can reduce methane production by inhibiting Archaea methanogens in the rumen14. Supplementation with VCO as source of MCFA could also increase feed energy and thus increase ruminant productivity34 and supplement MCFA could be directly absorbed by the rumen walls and potentially inhibit methanogenesis35,36. Furthermore, SC has the potential to reduce methane production because it can stimulate acetogens to compete with methanogen bacteria37. Table 2 shows that the highest mean feed efficiency value occurred under treatment D so SC+VCO supplementation could significantly (p<0.05) increase feed efficiency. When the feed efficiency value was higher, the feed were more efficient and higher quality, which reduced DM intake but increased the ADG under treatment D. Tillman et al.38 stated that feed efficiency value depends on the total DM intake which could increase ADG. Furthermore, lactic acid production and DFM utilization in the rumen are related to feed efficiency and ruminant health8,39. Combining S. cerevisiae with enzymes could increase the feed efficiency of calves30 and DFM supplementation of beef cattle feed could improve feed efficiency40,41. Supplementation with SC+VCO significantly (p<0.05) decreased nitrogen retention but although N retention was low under treatment D, there was a significant (p < 0.05) increase in ADG compared with treatments A, B and C. Indicating an increase in microbial protein synthesis. The retention of N was low under treatment D because the feed intake was low and the protein intake indicated that little was being assimilated by the body. Chuzaemi42 reported that the factors that affecting the nitrogen balance include nitrogen intake and nitrogen excretion through the faeces and urine. The protein in ruminants is derived from microbial proteins and the feed43. Sutardi44 explained that not all the nitrogen that is consumed can be retained but is partially lost through faeces and urine. This experiment produced a positive N retention value indicating that the amount of N consumed was larger than the amount released45. CONCLUSION Supplementation with the DFM SC alone, the combination of the DFMs SC+BA and the combination of SC+VCO could decrease nutrient intake but also increase ADG and feed efficiency as well as decrease methane production and nitrogen retention. Supplementation with SC+VCO resulted in the best improvement in Bali cattle growth performance and reduced methane gas production by up to 20.63%. SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT This study demonstrates that supplementation with direct-fed microbials and virgin coconut oil can increase the growth performance and reduce the methane gas production and feed efficiency of Bali beef cattle. This study will enable researchers to further investigate the critical area of methane production by Bali cattle fed an ammoniated palm frond- based diet, which was previously unexplored. Thus, a new hypothesis regarding the effects of the supplement combination of DFM and VCO may be developed. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS This study was supported by a National Strategic Research Grant from the Directorate General for Higher Education of the Department of National Education Republic of Indonesia through PMDSU grant program 2016. REFERENCES 1. Directorate General of Plantations, 2015. Statistic of plantation book. Directorate General of Plantations, Jakarta, Indonesia. 2. Zain, M., T. Sutardi, Suryahadi and N. Ramli, 2008. Effect of defaunation and supplementation methionine hydroxy analogue and branched chain amino acid in growing sheep diet based on palm press fiber ammoniated. Pak. J. Nutr., 7: 813-816. 3. 4. Klopfenstein, T., 1978. Chemical treatment of crop residues. J. Anim. Sci., 46: 841-848. Dohme, F., A. Machmuller, B.L. Estermann, P. Pfister, A. Wasserfallen and M. Kreuzer, 1999. The role of the rumen ciliate protozoa for methane suppression caused by coconut oil. Lett. Applied Microbiol., 29: 187-192. 5. Mutsvangwa, T., I.E. Edwards, J.H. Topps and G.F.M. Paterson, 1992. The effect of dietary inclusion of yeast culture (Yea-Sacc) on patterns of rumen fermentation, food intake and growth of intensively fed bulls. Anim. Prod., 55: 35-40. 6. Brashears, M.M., A. Amezquita and D. Jaroni, 2005. Lactic acid bacteria and their uses in animal feeding to improve food safety. Adv. Food Nutr. Res., 50: 1-31. 7. Lynch, H.A. and S.A. Martin, 2002. Effects of Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture and Saccharomyces cerevisiae live cells on in vitro mixed ruminal microorganism fermentation. J. Dairy Sci., 85: 2603-2608. 8. Chaucheyras, F., G. Fonty, G. Bertin, J.M. Salmon and P. Gouet, 1996. Effects of a strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Levucell SC1), a microbial additive for ruminants, on lactate metabolism in vitro. Can. J. Microbiol., 42: 927-933. 9. Lascano, G.J., A.J. Heinrichs and J.M. Tricarico, 2012. Substitution of starch by soluble fiber and Saccharomyces cerevisiae dose response on nutrient digestion and blood metabolites for precision-fed dairy heifers. J. Dairy Sci., 95: 3298-3309. 10. Herawaty, R., N. Jamarun, M. Zain, Arnim and R.W.S. Ningrat, 2013. Effect of supplementation Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Leucaena leucocephala on low quality roughage feed in beef cattle diet. Pak. J. Nutr., 12: 182-184. 11. Wizna, H.A., Y. Rizal, A. Dharma and I.P. Kompiang, 2007. Selection and identification of cellulase-producing bacteria isolated from the litter of mountain and swampy forest. Microbiol. Indonesia, 1: 135-139. 12. Suryani, H., M. Zain, R.W.S. Ningrat and N. Jamarun, 2016. Supplementation of Direct Fed Microbial (DFM) on in vitro Fermentability and degradability of ammoniated palm frond. Pak. J. Nutr., 15: 90-95. 13. Miller, T.L., M.J. Wolin, H.X. Zhao and M.P. Bryant, 1986. Characteristics of methanogens isolated from bovine rumen. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 51: 201-202. 14. Machmuller, A., 2006. Medium-chain fatty acids and their potential to reduce methanogenesis in domestic ruminants. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 112: 107-114. 15. Dohme, F., A. Machmuller, A. Wasserfallen and M. Kreuzer, 2001. Ruminal

methanogenesis as influenced by individual fatty acids supplemented to complete ruminant diets. Lett. Applied Microbiol., 32: 47-51. 16. Marina, A.M., Y.B. Che Man, S.A.H. Nazimah and I. Amin, 2009. Chemical properties of virgin coconut oil. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc., 86: 301-307. 17. Yang, S.Y., R.W.S. Ningrat, J.S. Eun and B.R. Min, 2016. Effects of supplemental virgin coconut oil and condensed tannin extract from pine bark in lactation dairy diets on ruminal fermentation in a dual-flow continuous culture system. J. Adv. Dairy Res., Vol. 4. 10.4172/2329-888X.1000160. 18. AOAC., 1990. Official Methods of Analysis of the AOAC. 15th Edn., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, VA., USA. 19. Tillman, A.D., H. Hartadi, S. Reksohadiprodjo, S. Prawirokusumo and S. Lebdosoekojo, 1991. Basic of Livestock's Feed. Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. 20. SAS., 1985. SAS User's Guide: Statistics. Version 5, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC., USA. 21. Oopik, V., S. Timpmann, L. Medijainen and H. Lemberg, 2001. Effects of daily medium-chain triglyceride ingestion on energy metabolism and endurance performance capacity in well-trained runners. Nutr. Res., 21: 1125-1135. 22. Nevin, K.G. and T. Rajamohan, 2006. Virgin coconut oil supplemented diet increases the antioxidant status in rats. Food Chem., 99: 260-266. 23. Williams, P.E.V. and C.J. Newbold, 1990. Rumen Probiosis: The Effects of Novel Microorganisms on Rumen Fermentation and Ruminant Productivity. In: Recent Advances in Animal Nutrition, Haresign, W. and D.J.A. Cole (Eds.). Buttenvorths, London, pp: 211. 24. Ghorbani, G.R., D.P. Morgavi, K.A. Beauchemin and J.A.Z. Leedle, 2002. Effects of bacterial direct-fed microbials on ruminal fermentation, blood variables and the microbial populations of feedlot cattle. J. Anim. Sci., 80: 1977-1985. 25. Nocek, J.E., W.P. Kautz, J.A.Z. Leedle and J.G. Allman, 2002. Ruminal supplementation of direct-fed microbials on diurnal pH variation and in situ digestion in dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci., 85: 429-433. 26. Ngadiyono, N. and E. Baliarti, 2001. [Growth rate and carcass production of Ongole cattle with starbio probiotic supplementation in cattle feed]. Media Peternakan: J. Anim. Sci. Technol., 24: 63-67, (In Indonesian). 27. Hau, D.K., N.G.F. Katipana, J. Nulik, A. Pohan, O.T. Lailogo and C. Liem, 2004. Effect of probiotic on nitrogen retention, energy and growth of Bali cattle. Proceedings of the National Seminar on Technology and Veterinary Science, August 4-5, 2004, Bogor, Indonesia, pp: 91-96. 28. Callaway, E.S. and S.A. Martin, 1997. Effects of a Saccharomyces cerevisiae culture on ruminal bacteria that utilize lactate and digest cellulose. J. Dairy Sci., 80: 2035-2044. 29. Wallace, R.J., 1994. Ruminal microbiology, biotechnology and ruminant nutrition: Progress and problems. J. Anim. Sci., 72: 2992-3003. 30. Malik, R. and S. Bandla, 2010. Effect of source and dose of probiotics and Exogenous Fibrolytic Enzymes (EFE) on intake, feed efficiency and growth of male buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) calves. Trop. Anim. Health Prod., 42: 1263-1269. 31. Qiao, G.H., A.S. Shan, N. Ma, Q.Q. Ma and Z.W. Sun, 2010. Effect of supplemental Bacillus cultures on rumen fermentation and milk yield in Chinese holstein cows. J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr., 94: 429-436. 32. Zain, M., J. Rahman, Khasrad and Erpomen, 2015. In vitro fermentation characteristics of palm oil byproducts which is supplemented with growth factor rumen microbes. Pak. J. Nutr., 14: 625-628. 33. Ipharraguerre, I.R. and J.H. Clark, 2003. Usefulness of ionophores for lactating dairy cows: A review. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol., 106: 39-57. 34. Fife, B., 2001. Coconut Oil: A Low-Calorie Fat. In: The Healing Miracles of Coconut Oil, Fife, B. (Ed.). Players Press, UK., ISBN-13: 9780941599511. 35. Bauman, D.E., A.L. Lock, B.A. Corl, A.M. Salter and P.M. Parodi, 2005. Milk Fatty Acids and Human Health: Potential Role of Conjugated Linoleic Acid and Trans Fatty Acids. In: Ruminant Physiology: Digestion Metabolism and Impact of Nutrition on Gene Expression Immunology and Stress, Sejrsen, K., T. Hvelplund and M.O. Nielsen (Eds.). Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, pp: 529-561. 36. Soliva, C.R., I.K. Hindrichsen, L. Meile, M. Kreuzer and A. Machmuller, 2003. Effects of mixtures of lauric and myristic acid on rumen methanogens and methanogenesis in vitro. Lett. Applied Microbiol., 37: 35-39. 37. Chaucheyras, F., G. Fonty, G. Bertin and P. Gouet, 1995. In vitro H2 utilization by a ruminal acetogenic bacterium cultivated alone or in association with an archaea methanogen is stimulated by a probiotic strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Applied Environ. Microbiol., 61: 3466-3467. 38. Tillman, A.D., H. Hartadi, S. Prawirokusumo, S. Reksohadiprodjo and S. Lebdosoekojo, 1998. Basic of Livestock's Feed. 6th Edn., Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta, Indonesia. 39. Zain, M., N. Jamarun, A. Arnim, R.W.S. Ningrat and R. Herawati, 2011. Effect of yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) on fermentability, microbial population and digestibility of low quality roughage in vitro. Archiva Zootechnica, 14: 51-58. 40. Krehbiel, C.R., S.R. Rust, G. Zhang and S.E. Gilliland, 2003. Bacterial direct-fed Microbials in ruminant diets: Performance response and mode of action. J. Anim. Sci., 81: E120-E132. 41. Stein, D.R., D.T. Allen, E.B. Perry, J.C. Bruner and K.W. Gates et al., 2006. Effects of feeding propionibacteria to dairy cows on milk yield, milk components and reproduction. J. Dairy Sci., 89: 111-125. 42. Chuzaemi, S., 1986. Effect of urea ammoniation on chemical composition and nutrition value of rice straw to Ongle cattle. M.Sc. Thesis, Faculty of Animal Science, Gadjah Mada University, Yogyakarta. 43. Soebarinoto, S. Chuzaemi and Mashudi, 1991. Science of ruminant nutrition. Department of Animal Nutrition, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia. 44. Sutardi, T., 2006. Basic of livestock's nutrition. Department of Animal Nutrition, Faculty of Animal Science, Bogor Agriculture University, Bogor. 45. McDonald, P., R.A. Edwards and J.F. Greenhalgh, 1988. Animal Nutrition. Longman Scientific and Technical, Essex, UK., ISBN-13: 9780582409033, Pages: 543. Pak. J. Nutr., 16 (8): 599-604, 2017 600 601 602 603 604