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Abstract: Twenty-five goat farms were surveyed to gain data and information about population, farming practices, goat performances and market prospect. Data were then analyzed to compare the prospective of dairy goat farm in compare to meat-types as a livestock enterprise suitable for small-scale or part time farmers. Three dairy goat farms were then selected to define milk production, quality and marketing. Samples of fresh milk were taken from each farm and analyzed for microbial pathogens and physical characteristics. Results found from the 25-selected farms, there were 14 farms (56%) raising meat-type goat and 11 dairy goat farms (44%), but the total population of dairy goat of about 395 animals was much higher than that of meat type goat of 200 animals. Each dairy goat farm kept about 35.9 animals, while meat-type goats were only 14.3 animals. Dairy goat farm has better prospect in compare to meat-types as an alternative livestock enterprise suitable for small-scale farmer or part-time livestock producer in Payakumbuh region. The mean values of total plate counts and Coliforms were found in the range of 8.04-8.46 and 0.72-4.25 log CFU/ml, respectively, while \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Escherichia coli} were not detected. The physical characteristics of fresh goat milk from Payakumbuh met the national standard. The potential market for goat milk and higher price of bucks made the farmer to be able to increase their farm scale and to raise their goats more intensively in order to achieve optimum production performances and incomes.
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INTRODUCTION
Region of Payakumbuh which cover Payakumbuh city and 50 Kota district is known as national livestock production center in West Sumatra. Livestock production is mainly dominated by commercial-scale poultry enterprises, especially layer and broiler chickens. Payakumbuh supplies egg and broiler chicken not only in West Sumatra region but also to the neighbor provinces of Riau and Jambi. Payakumbuh has also potency in goat production. Total number of goat in Payakumbuh was noted about 32,512 heads, where 5,294 heads located in Payakumbuh city and 27,218 heads 50 Kota district (Dinas Peternakan Provinsi Sumatera Barat, 2012). They are run by small-scale farming and distributed mainly in six sub districts, which are dominated by annually small-scale crop estates e.g. Lareh Sago Halaban, Harau, Mungka, Luhak, Payakumbuh Timur and Payakumbuh Barat.

There were no data found about population of dairy goat and goat milk production in this region. Dairy goat production is a promising enterprise for increasing consumption of animal protein as well as raising income level of small-scale livestock producer. This is due to their small body size whereby the initial and maintenance costs are low. Dairy goats contribute to income generation through the sales of milk, milk products, live animals and manure (Macha and Mbaga, 2009). Dairy goats are an alternative source of milk to most rural people who cannot afford to keep dairy cattle. Goat milk is usually sold and can be drunk fresh, although pasteurization is recommended to reduce naturally occurring \textit{S. aureus} and \textit{E. coli}. Goat milk is a extremely nutritious food, suspension of proteins, carbohydrates and fat that contains numerous vitamins and minerals. Due to its complex biochemical composition and high water activity, goat milk is easily contaminated by bacteria. Contamination in milk is begun at the milking process until consumption. Contaminant bacteria in the milk can be divided into two groups, namely pathogenic and spoilage bacteria. Pathogenic bacteria include \textit{S. aureus}, \textit{E. coli} and \textit{Salmonella} sp. and spoilage bacteria are \textit{Micrococcus Pseudomonas} and \textit{Bacillus} sp. Due to lack of good farming practices, there is a potency for human health risk by consumption of fresh milk produced by the small-scale farms. According to Suguna \textit{et al.} (2012), that fresh milk samples from dairy farms in Malaysia were contaminated by foodborne pathogens. The present research was undertaken to explore the prospect of dairy goat in compare to meat-types as an alternative livestock enterprise suitable for small-scale farmer or part-time livestock producer in Payakumbuh region.
MATERIALS AND METHODS
Rapid rural appraisal: The study was initiated by discussing with the local government of Payakumbuh city and 50 Kota district that are responsible to livestock services to gain data and information about: general livestock development program, program and budget allocation for goat production and special guidance and services program for goat farmers. A rapid rural appraisal was then undertaken by visiting 25 goat farms and interviewing the farmers as respondent. Farms were distributed in seven sub-district areas located in Payakumbuh city and 50 Kota district. Selection of sub-districts was based goat population, number of farms and agro-ecosystem condition. Farms were selected based on farm scale, management practices, milk production and quality. Farms were interviewed to gather data and information about: general management practices, goat performances and marketing strategies. Their farms were observed for assessing stall and animal conditions, farmer activities and feeding and feed offered.

Milk sampling and analyzing: Three dairy goat farms were then selected to gain detail information on farming practices, milk production and quality. Farms were selected based on farm scale, management practices and accessibility. Farmers who had an experience of at least three years were interviewed to gain information on farming practices, goat performances, milking procedures and milk handling, marketing. Farms were then observed for evaluation of recently conditions and hygiene status. Milk samples in fresh form were then collected in three different times of weekly interval from each farm. Milk samples were taken in the farms of about 600 ml from milk canes. The milk samples were filled in sterile plastic bags and kept in a cooling box prior to analyzing for microbial and physical qualities. Microbial analysis were focused on selected bacterial pathogens of total plate count (TPC), Coliforms, presumptive S. aureus and E. coli, while for physical characteristics the samples were analyzed for fat content, specific gravity, pH and catalase number. Enumeration of TPC was carried out by employing of standard methods (ISO, 2003b) using plate count agar (PCA, Oxoid CM0325), plates incubated at 37°C for 48 h by spread plate method. Coliforms was carried out by employing of standard methods (ISO, 2003c) using crystal violet neutral red bile lactose (VRBL) agar (Oxoid CM0107), S. aureus was carried out by employing of standard methods (ISO, 2003a) using baird-parker agar (Oxoid CM0275) and E. coli was carried out by employing of standard methods (FDA, 2001). Enumeration of Coliforms was performed by employing three-tube most probable number (MPN) technique. Positive tubes from MPN were streaked onto eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar (Merck) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The typical colony found was confirmed based on their IMViC pattern. The IMViC test was performed on colonies that showed shiny-metallic green to identify E. coli. This procedure are based on standard methods (ISO, 2003c). While, Baird-Parker agar (BPA, Merck) was used to enumerate S. aureus in the samples. Characteristic black colonies surrounded by a clear zone were selected and subjected to coagulase and thermonuclease tests for confirmation of S. aureus (BAM, 2004). Fat content were measured according methods described by Dwitania and Swacita (2013) and physical characteristics of specific density, pH by using lactodensimeter and pH meter, respectively.

Statistical analysis: Data on goat farm survey were statistically analyzed by using descriptive statistics to compare the respective of dairy and meat-type goats. The data on bacterial counts of milk samples were converted into logarithm of number of colony forming units per ml (log CFU/ml). Data on milk quality was statistically analyzed for variance analysis in random block design by IBM SPSS version 19. The differences in means were compared using Duncan New Multiple Range Test (DMRT), statements of statistical significance were based on p<0.05 (Steel et al., 1997).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Importance of dairy goat in compare to meat-type goat: Table 1 showed that total number of goats raised by 25 farms as respondents was 595 heads. There were 14 farms (56%) raising meat-type goat and 11 farms (44%) raising dairy goat, but the population number of dairy goats of 395 heads was found much higher than that of meat-type goat of 200 heads. Each dairy goat farm kept about 35.9 goats, while meat-type goat farm was only 14.3 goats. Goat breeds were dominated by Peranakan Etawa (PE) and Jawarandu for dairy types, while for meat types were Kacang and Benggala. Dairy goat farms were mostly developed in the sub-districts of Payakumbuh Barat of Payakumbuh city and Tanjung Haro Selatan which were located in adjacent to the Payakumbuh city. All dairy goat herds were fed by cut and carry systems. They were offered supplemented concentrated feeds and kept in better housing system, while most of meat-type goat farmer (64.3%) let their goat to graze during the day and kept in stall at night. Because of better management, performances of dairy goat were also found slightly better than that of meat-type goat. Young does of dairy goat gave birth at the first time at 10.8 month of age with average liter size of 2.1 kids, while meat-type goat of 12.4 month and 2.0 kids, respectively. Sexual maturity and litter size is affected by rearing system. It has been shown to be younger and higher in intensive system than in semi-intensive system (Faruque et al., 2010). In compare to meat type, raising dairy goat has two advantages for farmers. They earned daily income from selling milk and buck of dairy breed which had higher
Parameters  Meat-type goat  Dairy goat
Number of goat farms, farms 14 (56.0%) 11 (44.0%)
Education level of farmer, minimum senior high school (%) 5 (35.7%) 8 (72.7%)
Total goat population, heads 200.0 395.0
Average number of goat per farm, heads 14.3 35.9
Breed of goat, breeds 2 (Kacang, Benggala) 2 (PE, Jawarandu)
Number of farms as major income sources for the farmers’ family, farms 4 (28.6%) 5 (45.5%)
Feeding system with cut and carry, farms 5 (35.7%) 11 (100%)

Reproductive performances
Average age at first kidding, months 12.4 10.8
Average litter size per birth, kids 2.0 2.1
Price of buck, 000 IDR/buck 1,000-1,500 2,000-4,500

Milk production, quality and marketing: Table 2 shows data on flock sizes, milk production and milk quality of selected three dairy goat farms in Payakumbuh. The number of females goats kept was higher than that of males in all farms. The main reason for high number of females as reported by farm owners was their use for production of milk as a primary output. Other reasons for limited number of male goats were due to limited stall capacity, to avoid inbreeding and to minimize feed cost. This concurs with the finding of Ogola et al. (2010) who reported that all farmers keep dairy goats mainly for milk production.

Based on fat content and physical characteristics, fresh milk goat from Payakumbuh met the national standard. Fat content of about 5.33 to 6.67% and specific gravity of about 1.0291 to 1.0328 g/ml were found relatively high, pH value of goat milk of 6.5 to 6.6, the catalase number of about 1.0 to 2.30 ml. pH value of goat milk was found in the normal ranges (Sudarwanto and Sudarnika, 2008). Normal pH value for fresh milk ranged from 6.6 to 6.8 (Sanjeev et al., 2012). Number of catalase is affected by temperature and time associated with the growth rate of bacteria in milk. Bacteria that produce catalase enzyme including S. aureus, Coliform, etc. There were several types of bacteria in the goat milk. Saragih et al. (2013) reported there were positive correlation between bacteria count and catalase number. Higher count of bacteria in milk will be follow by higher number of catalase. National standard values for fat content, specific gravity, pH and the number of catalase number were min 3.0%, 1.0270 g/ml, 6.3-6.8 and maks. 3 ml, respectively (BSN, 2011; Firmansyah et al., 2004). It means that in terms of fat content and physical characteristics, fresh dairy goat produced by small-scale farms in Payakumbuh met the standard quality.

In term of microbiological quality, pathogenic bacteria of E. coli and S. aureus were not detected in milk samples collected from the 3 farms, but the values of TPC and Coliforms count were higher than that values of the national standard of 6 log CFU/ml and 1.3 log CFU/ml, respectively (BSN, 2011; BSN, 2009). The highest TPC and Coliforms was found in samples collected from Farm 3 of 8.46 and 4.25 log CFU/ml, respectively (Table 2), even though there were no statistically significant differences (p>0.05). Eventhough the number of TPC and Coliform in goat milk at three farms in Payakumbuh exceeded the nasional standar, goat milk from Payakumbuh is still fit for consumption. In general, dairy goat farms in Payakumbuh have not yet implemented good farming practices properly, especially during milking process. The udders before milking were uncleaned and milker’s hands unwashed with soap or disinfectant prior to milking. Milk cans and other instruments used for milking were also uncleaned properly. Milking was done directly in the stalls. The farms had no special milking room. There were fast no hygienic treatments for milking goats before milking. These conditions lead to milk easily contaminated by feces or other materials. Alexopoulos et al. (2011) reported that milker’s who do not wash their hands with soap or disinfectant and not use mask and gloves during milking, might caused goat milk contaminated. Goat milk can easily contaminated and spoiled due to poor hygienic conditions maintained at farms or improper handling, inadequate storage and transport conditions (Suguna et al., 2012). According to Suwito and Andriani (2012) udder and nipples can be source of pathogenic bacteria if goat were kept in dirty stalls. Goat milk was sold on farm with the price ranged from 25,000 IDR to 40,000 IDR per liter. There was no problem in selling of goat milk. Farmers even said that...
Table 2: Flock sizes, milk production and milk quality of three dairy goat farms selected as respondents in Payakumbuh West Sumatra

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dairy goat population, heads</th>
<th>Farm 1</th>
<th>Farm 2</th>
<th>Farm 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total population</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bucks</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young does</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young bucks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kids</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactation does, heads</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (L/day)</td>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (L/doe/day)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical characteristics of milk</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat content of milk (%)</td>
<td>5.3±0.27</td>
<td>6.3±0.98</td>
<td>6.6±1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity (g/ml)</td>
<td>1.03±0.002</td>
<td>1.03±0.007</td>
<td>1.029±0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.6±0.05</td>
<td>6.5±0.00</td>
<td>6.6±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase number (ml)</td>
<td>1.00±0.00</td>
<td>2.30±0.54</td>
<td>1.17±0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial milk qualities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPC, log CFU/ml</td>
<td>8.13±0.09</td>
<td>8.04±0.38</td>
<td>8.46±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coliforms, log CFU/ml</td>
<td>2.20±0.44a</td>
<td>0.72±0.27</td>
<td>4.25±0.50a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

they could not fulfill demand from their customers which come not only from Payakumbuh but also from Padang, Bukittinggi, Pekanbaru and Medan city. The loyal customer admitted that goat milk has peculiar properties and healthful. Goat milk is richer than cow milk in some important nutrients: vitamin A, niacin, choline and inositol; but it is poorer in folic acid (Kipserem et al., 2011; Norris et al., 2011). Goat milk also contained a higher proportion of short and medium chain fatty acids with smaller globules than cow milk; this makes goat milk promising in relieving stress and constipation (Gurmesa et al., 2011; Ozung et al., 2011). It has also been found to contain higher medicinal value (curing people with migraine and asthma), vitamin B content and higher digestibility than cow milk (Ochepo and Momoh, 2010). Market demand for this product will grow in the future in accordance with the increasing of the human welfare and public awareness on health.

**Conclusion:** Dairy goat farm has better prospect in compare to meat-types as an alternative livestock enterprise suitable for small-scale farmer or part-time livestock producer in Payakumbuh region. The potential market for goat’s milk and higher price of bucks made the farmer to be able to increase their farm scale and to raise their goats more intensively in order to achieve better production performances and incomes. The result shows that dairy goat enterprises are more profitable than meat-type one.
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